## Metal complexes in the management of parasitic diseases: *In vitro* antiprotozoal studies of metal complexes of some antimalarial drugs

Parasitic infections are common in developing areas of the world, where the poorest of the poor live and affect an estimated 3.5 billion people. The three infections which constitute the highest disease burden are malaria caused by Plasmodium spp., African human trypanosomiasis caused by Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense and T. b. gambiense, and Chagas disease caused by Trypanosoma cruzi. Malaria was successfully reduced after World War II because of easy access to cheap insecticide and readily available drugs. At present, there are an estimated 300-500 million cases, and up to 2.7 million deaths each year<sup>1,2</sup>. The genus *Try*panosoma contains a large number of parasitic species which infect wild and domesticated animals and humans. Only four drugs are in use for the treatment of trypanosomiasis. All of them have to be administered parenterally and frequently cause serious side effects<sup>3,4</sup>. The emergence and spreading of parasites resistant to drugs in use for their treatment indicates that novel compounds need to be developed by identification of novel chemotherapeutic targets. Hence, the search for new anti-malarial therapies is a high priority for control of the disease. In the search for novel drugs against resistant parasites, the use of metal complexes has received considerable attention in recent years<sup>5–7</sup>. In our effort to contribute to the search for novel chemotherapeutic drugs against parasitic diseases, we present the antiplasmodial and antitrypanosomal screening of metal complexes of some antimalarial drugs.

The metal complexes were synthesized as reported in the literature<sup>8-11</sup>. The tests were performed as micro plate assays using *T. b. rhodesiense* (STIB 900), *T. cruzi* (Tulahuene C4), *Leishmania dono-*

vani (MHOM-ET-67/L82) and K1 strain of *Plasmodium falciparum* (resistant to chloroquine and pyrimethamine). A description of these assays is reported elsewhere<sup>12</sup>. The following were used as standard: melarsoprols (*T. b. rhodesiense*), Benznidazole (*T. cruzi*), Miltefosine (*L. donovani*) and chloroquine (*P. falciparum*).

The exact IC<sub>50</sub> values for the antiparasitic assays and cytotoxicity of the complexes are presented in Table 1. The Cu(II) complex of trimethoprim [Cu-(TMP)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>4</sub>] exhibited the highest antiplasmodial activity (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $3.7231 \mu M$ ). It is more active than trimethoprim  $(IC_{50} = 4.733 \mu M)$  and less toxic than trimethoprim (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $46.474 \mu M$ ), and the used chloroquine 188.5 µM)<sup>13</sup>. All the metal complexes showed some level of activity against T. b. rhodesiense, with two Cu(II) complexes being the most active. The Cu(II) complexes of trimethoprim [Cu(TMP)<sub>2</sub>-(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>4</sub>] showed the highest activity  $(IC_{50} = 3.411 \,\mu\text{M})$ , while the Cu(II) complex of sulphadiazine [Cu(SD)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>],  $(IC_{50} = 15.063 \mu M)$  was the least toxic of all the complexes. The Cu(II) complex of trimethoprim (IC<sub>50</sub> = 16.3  $\mu$ M) was the only active compound against T. cruzi, while the Pt(II) (IC<sub>50</sub> = 11.9  $\mu$ M) and Pd(II)  $(IC_{50} = 16.6 \mu M)$  complexes of trimethoprim were the only active compounds against axenic L. donovani.

The incorporation of metals into the drugs has improved the antiprotozoal activities of some of the metal complexes. The Cu(II) complex of trimethoprim showed enhanced antiplasmodial activity against the resistant strain of *P. falciparum*, while both Cu(II) complexes of sulfadiazine and trimethoprim showed activity against *T. b. rhodesiense*, and were far less toxic than chloroquine. Al-

though the activities of the complexes are not yet a challenge for the current generation of drugs in use, they will serve as leads for further structural modifications in the search for alternative therapy.

- 1. World Health Organization, World Health Report, Geneva, 2002.
- Breman, J. G., Alilio, M. S. and Mills, A., Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 2004, 71, 1–15.
- 3. Pepin, J. and Mpia, B., *Emerg. Inf. Dis.*, 2005, **11**, 921–927.
- Barrett, M. P., Boykin, D. W., Brun, R. and Tidwell, R. R., Br. J. Pharmacol., 2007, 152, 1155–1171.
- 5. Sanchez-Delgado, R. A. and Anzellotti, A., Mini-Rev. Med. Chem., 2004, 4, 23–30.
- Navarro, M., Vasquez, F., Sanchez-Delgado, R. A., Perez, H., Sinou, V. and Sehrevel, J., J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 5204.
- 7. Delhaes, L. et al., Parasitol. Res., 2001, **87**, 239–244.
- Dermatin, F., Manassero, M., Naldini, L. and Zoroddu, M. A., *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1983, 77, L213.
- Ajibade, P. A., Kolawole, G. A., O'Brien,
  P., Helliwell, M. and Raftery, J., *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2006, 359, 3111–3116.
- Ajibade, P. A., Kolawole, G. A., O'Brien,
  P. and Helliwell, M., J. Coord. Chem.,
  2006. 59, 1621–1628.
- Ajibade, P. A., Kolawole, G. A., O'Brien, P., Helliwell, M. and Raftery, J., J. Coord. Chem., 2008, 61, 328–340.
- 12. Seebacher, W., Brun, R. and Weis, R., Eur. J. Pharm. Sci., 2004, **21**, 225–233.
- Seebacher, W., Brun, R., Kaiser, M., Saf, R. and Weis, R., Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2005, 40, 888–896.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I thank Prof. Reto Brun and Prof. Marcel Kaizer, Swiss Tropical Institute for help, and the National Research Foundation, South Africa and University of Fort Hare, South Africa for financial support.

Received 17 April 2008; revised accepted 15 October 2008

Peter A	AHRADE

Department of Chemistry, University of Fort Hare, Private Bag X1314, Alice 5700, South Africa e-mail: PAjibade@ufh.ac.za

Table 1. In vitro antiplasmodial and antitrypanosomal tests

Compound	Plasmodium falciparum K <sub>1</sub> (µM)	Trypanosoma brucei rho- desiense (µM)	Trypanosoma cruzi (µM)	Leishmania donovani axenic (µM)	Cytotoxicity (µM)
$\overline{\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{SD})_2(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})_2\right]}$	>5	15.05	>30	>30	19.90
[Cu(TMP) <sub>2</sub> (CH <sub>3</sub> COO) <sub>4</sub> ]	3.72	3.41	16.3	>30	40.83
[Pd(TMP)(H <sub>2</sub> O)Cl <sub>2</sub> ]·H <sub>2</sub> O	>5	43.64	>30	16.60	>90
$[Co(TMP)_2Cl_2]$	>5	63.18	>30	>30	>90
[Pt(TMP)(H <sub>2</sub> O)Cl <sub>2</sub> ]·H <sub>2</sub> O	>5	37.91	>30	11.90	>90
$[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{SD})_2(\mathrm{H_2O})_2]$	>5	50.50	>30	>30	>90