S. RAMASESHAN: AN APPRECIATION

type which works in the laboratory may not work too well during pilot production, which is followed by the R&D group and industry blaming each other to their mutual detriment. In the eighties, long before incubators became fashionable, the Chitra Institute set up a facility known as 'Techno-prove' where the R&D group and engineers deployed by the industry worked together on the basis of an MOU under GMP conditions to produce a few thousands of a given device over a 2–3 year period. The Techno-prove ensured the success of the transfer of technology of the blood bag, oxygenator and the Chitra–TTK valve and continues to be in active use for the service of the Institute and industry. The Techno-prove was a brainchild of Ramaseshan and its construction was supported by industry. He was the first Chairman of the Technology Transfer Committee of the Chitra Institute and played a major role in bridging the gap between the Chitra laboratory and industry. He did not hesitate to don the hat of the Chairman of a joint sector company which manufactured the blood bag developed by the Chitra Institute – he was as committed as the rest of us to the scientific and commercial success of Chitra technologies.

As I recall my long and precious association with Ramaseshan, memories and images crowd in my mind. He is a quintessential scientist but much more; a technologist with a sharp eye on practicality; a colleague who cheers and inspires his team; a speaker who moves his audience and even holds them spellbound when speaking on Raman or Ramanujan; a connoisseur of literary style; to all these shining qualities, the gods gave him a keen sense of humour to the regalement of his friends. It is curious that we hardly ever touched upon questions relating to faith or religion even through our free-wheeling discussions covered everything from archeometallurgy to zeolites! Nevertheless I am persuaded that his credo would be of a piece with the sumnum bonum of human existence – truth, happiness and beauty (Satyam, Sivam, Sundaram).

It is a privilege to salute Ramaseshan on his 80th birthday.
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S. Ramaseshan, the teacher*

Prof. Ramaseshan started his career in physics as a student of C. V. Raman. Like most of Raman's students he also was infected with optics, a subject that he loves even to this day. In retrospect we can say that his association with Raman had a lasting impact on his tastes in science in general and physics in particular. Anything in optics interests him and everything else in physics he tries to translate into the language of optics. What is more, he has managed to pass on this infection to a few of his students. And we are amongst that fortunate few. It is probably not an understatement if we say that his entry into the domain of crystallography was again through optics, in particular through optical diffraction. But it was only a sojourn in crystallography and not a migration to it. When we joined as Research Fellows he was already a renowned crystallographer. But when crystallography became an industry to determine crystal structures he chose to phase out of it. It was during his withdrawal phase from this area that we were with him as his students. Only we knew that he was undergoing a 'phase' transformation. This period also coincides with his move from an academic institution to a laboratory dedicated to scientific and industrial research. We did academic research in an environment charged with applied research.

We briefly sketch here the personal recollections of our association with Ramaseshan during his stay at the National Aerospace Laboratories (NAL), Bangalore. Those days it was called the National Aeronautical Laboratory. He had moved in a few years earlier to build and develop its Materials Science Division. Here, he was involved in both pure and applied research. In scientific (pure) research he was occupied with problems in crystal physics, high pressure physics, piezo-optics, neutron-optics, disordered structures and scattering processes in metallic and magnetic systems. Of course he had not completely given up formal crystallography. In industrial (applied) research his interests were in stress analysis of air-frame structures, corrosion in metals and alloys, electrochemical machining, composites and opto-electronic materials. We were surprised at his wide spectrum of research interests and even wondered how he kept track of all these activities. This was all the more an enigma since he always met daily each one of us and spent enough time on the research problems that we were working on. When the problems that we were occupied with reached an exciting stage he would always meet us many times a day and this would go on for days. A meeting with him would often go beyond the problem on hand. Being an excellent teacher he could enthuse the student in related topics as well. Thus we learnt lot more than what was necessary for a mere paper or a problem. He would repeatedly stress that physics was like cheese and that one could enter it anywhere and get out of it anywhere else. This is probably why
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we find many of his students being interested in and have often contributed to a variety of topics. He strongly advocated a first order change in the research topic after one’s thesis work. He despised narrow specialization and abhorred professionalism in research.

It will be unfair if we do not at least briefly comment upon some of the specific areas of research that we were involved in when we were his students. Some of the topics of research were: Effect of mechanical stress on natural and magnetic rotation in single and polycrystals; optical diffraction in chiral, periodic and random media; anomalous scattering of X-rays and neutrons in disordered systems; stress-induced dichroism in absorbing systems; neutron optical rotation in heli-magnetic structures, electrical resistivity of metals, alloys and liquid metals; high pressure studies in metals, alloys and spin systems. In many of these topics, optics played a role, one way or the other. To do optics and not to know the Poincaré sphere was alien to him. In addition, he repeatedly emphasized the usefulness of Jones’ matrices in optical calculations. As his associates we also got infected by both the Poincaré sphere and Jones’ matrices. Thus we often ended up playing with the Poincaré sphere and employed Jones’ matrix method in our calculations. In a similar vein, we picked up Fourier optics too. Another area to which he turned his attention was lattice dynamics and structure of non-crystalline materials. With K. S. Viswanathan (of the Mathematical Science Division of NAL, who as a student of C. V. Raman had become an expert in lattice dynamics) he established the breakdown of Friedel’s Law in inelastic neutron scattering. Later on, one of us (TGR) collaborated with him on this problem and showed that the initial phase of the elliptic motion corresponding to a complex polarization vector can be got again from anomalous scattering. It was also shown that the static displacements of atoms in a binary system could be obtained from diffuse anomalous scattering. In a similar vein, multi-wavelength method was proposed for separating the partial structure factors in liquids. The method of partial waves employed in the quantum theory of scattering along with the ‘optical’ theorem of Bohr, Peierls and Placek led to the development of a unified approach to the theory of anomalous scattering of X-rays and neutrons. It was around this time that Ramaseshan invited the high pressure physicist A. Jayaraman of AT&T Laboratories (Bell Laboratories at that time) to set-up a high pressure facility at NAL. Jayaraman’s work on caesium became an inspiration for the ‘two-species’ model developed for understanding the effect of pressure on the electronic behaviour of liquid caesium.

All the main features of the resistivity behaviour could be explained on this model within the framework of Krishnan–Bhatia–Ziman theory of liquid alloys. This model also led to some interesting results in the pressure dependence of the thermoelectric power of liquid caesium.

In today’s environment, it seems improbable that Ramaseshan could have built a group of research students working on basic physics at the Materials Science Division at NAL. He often attracted young students through talks. One of us (RN) was lured by his two lectures on ‘Waves’ at the Theosophical Society and one on the ‘Fourth State of Matter’ at the Vivekananda College, Madras. In fact, the second author (TGR) took to research and became his student after hearing his illuminating lectures on the strength of materials, at the Physics Department of the University of Mysore, Mysore. He delivered his talks in his intense, almost charismatic style, and he would finish with an account of what his students and colleagues, all mentioned by name, were doing. To many, his broad physics perspective together with exciting research was irresistible.

Any new entrant to his group would spend time in four or five labs of his younger colleagues, getting exposed to stress measurement by X-rays, by polarized light, with mechanical methods, and in composites. A young research scholar took for granted the prevailing congenial atmosphere for research, only to realize decades later how much thought, effort and leadership had gone into creating such an atmosphere. The first few years of research are surely as formative and important as those of childhood, and we remember vividly some of the highlights. The scientific atmosphere that prevailed during Ramaseshan’s stay at NAL was truly outstanding. We were always encouraged to interact with other groups and with visitors, some of whom were very distinguished. We have fond memories of interacting and discussing our research problems with such distinguished physicists as Ashcroft, Bardeen, Bloembergen, deGennes, Fisher, Goodenough, A. Jayaraman, Kapitza, G. N. Ramachandran and Ziman, to name a few. At least three of them got the Nobel prize – after their visits to NAL which were a part of their visits to Bangalore. Again, we took it for granted then that such visitors would be found in other places as well. But now we realize that this was a result of the special regard they had for him.

As students, our own reading was gently pushed towards classics. Nye on symmetry and crystal properties, Born or Sommerfeld on optics. Ramaseshan felt that Wolf’s elaboration of Born’s book had not really clicked. When it came to crystallography or advanced optics, there were of course the books and articles by G. N. Ramachandran and him. But these were just sources and the various topics...
Ramaseshan’s contributions to Indian Academy of Sciences and Current Science*

Ramaseshan became a member of the Academy Council in 1968, even before the demise of C. V. Raman in 1970. In 1971 he was elected one of its Vice-Presidents and was primarily responsible for running the affairs of the Academy. Ramaseshan became its president in 1983. Even after he ceased to be in the Council after 1988, he was formally asked to look after the day-to-day routines of the offices which he did until 1997, when health reasons prevented his continued involvement. Between 1968 and 1997 he attended every meeting of the Academy Council and every Annual Meeting.

The post-Raman era was a period of intense growth in the Academy, thanks to successive presidents—T. S. Sadasivan, M. G. K. Menon, Satish Dhawan, S. Varadarajan, Obaid Siddiqi, C. N. R. Rao and Roddam Narasimha—all of whom had a clear vision for the Academy. Ramaseshan worked closely with all these presidents, who in turn greatly depended on him for implementing the decisions of the Council. In what follows, some of his major contributions are listed.

Until the 1970s, the procedure relating to elections to the Academy Fellowship was comparatively simple, with a statutory limitation on both the annual intake and the total Fellowship. In 1973, an amendment to the statutes paved the way for the election of nearly 200 new Fellows in just two years, bringing into the fold of the Academy many who could not have been elected otherwise. The statutes were rewritten to make them more comprehensive. A document on the ‘Role of the Academy’ was prepared which set the tone for a clear picture of what the Academy should strive for in the changed scenario. It also stressed the need for concrete proposals to translate science into action.

Sectional Committees were constituted, for the first time, to advise the Council on election of Fellows. Detailed guidelines were framed for the working of the Sectional Committees to ensure that the process of election is made as transparent as possible. Election of the best scien-
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