## Organic chemists conquer the synthesis of $C_{60}$

## G. Narahari Sastry

Fullerenes and particularly C<sub>60</sub>, the new allotropic form of carbon, is arguably the most important discovery of a new class of compounds in the recent past<sup>1</sup>. Named as the molecule of the year in 1991 it received tremendous prominence resulting in the award of Nobel prize to its discoverers<sup>2</sup>. It continues to be a topic of interest across the fields, from chemistry, physics, biology to medicine. The successes in high temperature superconductivity, applications in medicine and potential applications in material science of its congener class of molecules, namely carbon nanotubes, have heightened the interest of this class of compounds. Although the truncated icosahedral structure for C<sub>60</sub> was conceived in the seventies, it remained of esoteric interest until its discovery in 1985.

However, the generation of fullerenes by arcing graphite or soot extraction is far from being any rational or controlled attempt and borders on black-box operations. Synthetic organic chemists like to boast that, given enough resources, any molecule that is capable of existence can be synthesized. Justifiably, the synthetic accomplishments include taxol, palytoxin, vancomycin, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, and many other complex natural compounds as well as molecules with aesthetically appealing shapes such as prismane, cubane, dodecahedrane adopting beautiful and elegant strategies, add credibility to such claims. However, even the first production of C<sub>60</sub> by physicists adopting not-so-rational methods was so embarrassing to the synthetic chemists that many synthetic chemistry groups were set out to achieve the synthesis of C<sub>60</sub> by rational means. One particular synthetic organic chemistry group of Chapman and UCLA has devised several carefully crafted synthetic attempts towards the synthesis of C<sub>60</sub>, even in the postfullerene era (prior to 85) albeit unsuccessfully3. However, the discovery of fullerenes has triggered off the quest to conquer the synthesis of C<sub>60</sub> in several synthetic organic chemistry groups across the globe. The renewed synthetic attempts towards this beautiful molecule began in early nineties but failed to achieve success until November 2001.

Scott et al.4 have reported the first rational synthesis of C<sub>60</sub>. The synthesis involved carefully crafted synthetic strategies, years of work involving sevecomputational and experimental studies on several smaller buckybowl molecules followed by elegant execution using the state-of-art experimental techniques. The ground work for the successful synthesis was also revealed a few months ago in the same journal<sup>5</sup>. One vital aspect of the rational synthesis is choosing the right precursor, and in this successful case multi-gram quantities of precursor was prepared in 11 steps all of which are well known. The precursor molecules are arrived at in the following way, described in the author's own words: 'If you put a molecular model of  $C_{60}$  on the table with a benzene ring at the south pole, then cut every other bond of the benzene ring at the north pole and peel it like a banana, you end up with this propeller-shaped molecule that has three arms radiating out of a central benzene ring.' However, the unfunctionalized propeller type hydrocarbon C<sub>60</sub>H<sub>30</sub> still required proper functionalization of at least three key positions to ensure the zipping of the molecule into  $C_{60}$ . Thus, the C<sub>60</sub>H<sub>27</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub> molecule, where the three Cl atoms are properly placed to initiate the stitching processing resulted in the formation of C<sub>60</sub>, involving a cascade of cyclodehydrgenation reactions. In the published paper in Science, Scott points out that a decade of work in his laboratory is to be categorized as a rational synthesis, with careful planning, and adequately demonstrated the rationality of using FVP to convert a C<sub>30</sub> precursor into a bowl-like polyarene. It is important to note that they got what they set out to make and not random products. Figure 1 depicts the successful synthetic route employed by Scott et al. to obtain  $C_{60}$ .

Synthesizing  $C_{60}$  in a rational manner based on organochemical transformations is a very valuable accomplishment

$$\begin{array}{c} CI \\ \\ CI \\ \\ CH_3 \\ \\ CH_4 \\ \\ CH_5 \\ \\ CH$$

Figure 1. The schematic diagram of Scott's successful synthesis of C<sub>so</sub>.

because it helps in devising strategies for producing the right derivatives. It may be argued that the first rational synthesis of  $C_{60}$  is the most significant total synthesis of a target molecule since the first synthesis of taxol or vancomycin. In addition to Scott's group, Rabideau and coworkers<sup>6</sup> at Iowa State University, and Siegel's group at University of California-San Diego are notable7. Scott, Rabideau and Siegel's groups are responsible for development and extensive use of both pyrolytic and non-pyrolytic methods to achieve the syntheses of buckybowls. While the flash vaccum pyrolysis (a pyrolytic method) was used in the successful synthesis of fullerenes, the nonpyrolytic methods have shown substantial yield improvements and are amenable for scale up. Another earlier attempt towards C60 was also reported from the Rassat group<sup>8</sup>. In India, G. Mehta's group initially at Hyderabad University and later at Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore made elegant attempts towards these fascinating compounds and have obtained and conceived interesting buckybowl structures9.

Thus, although many synthetic attempts towards  $C_{60}$  had to face frustrating setbacks, the chemistry of the curved polycyclic aromatics has flourished and also lead to the development of useful techniques to achieve the synthesis of strained polycyclic systems. These compounds, popularly called as buckybowls, have become interesting in their own right. The considerable attention given to the  $C_{60}$  has led to renewed interest in the

curved polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons known as buckybowls. Buckybowls appear to be the natural synthetic precursors in the rational attempts towards the synthesis of  $C_{60}$  and also these molecules are expected to potentially mimic some of the unique properties of  $C_{60}$ . Our group has reported interesting ways to modulate the curvature, dynamics and other physicochemical properties through site-specific substitution in buckybowls<sup>10</sup>. In this context, several beautiful molecules were synthesized which are interesting in their own right.

The synthetic success of C<sub>60</sub> achieved by Scott and coworkers definitely adds one more illustrious feather in the cap of organic chemistry community. While the synthesis of C<sub>60</sub> is certainly interesting from an academic point of view, the strategy employed to achieve it should pave the way to rationally design feasible synthetic methodologies to access the derivatives of fullerenes and related molecules of industrial importance. In recent years, the organic synthesis has had profound influence in a range of disciplines starting from biology to nanotechnology. Digging out more effective synthetic methods hidden in the mines of wisdom is a continuous challenge.

- Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, **36**, 1578–1593; Smalley, R. E., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, **36**, 1594–1603
- Loguercio, D. Jr., Ph D thesis, UCLA, 1988.
- 4. Scott, L. T. et al., Science, 2002, 295, 1500–1503.
- Boorum, M. M., Vasil'ev, Y. V., Drewello, T. and Scott, L. T., Science, 2001, 294, 828–831.
- Rabideau, P. W. and Sygula, A., Acc. Chem. Res., 1996, 29, 235–242, and references cited therein.
- Seiders, T. J., Baldridge, K. K., Grube, G. H. and Siegel, J. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 517–525, and references cited therein.
- 8. Fabre, C. and Rassat, A., C. R. Acad. Sci., 1989, 308, 1223–1228.
- Mehta, G. and Panda, G., Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad., 1998, A64, 587-608, and references cited therein.
- Sastry, G. N., Rao, H. S. P., Bednarek, P. and Priyakumar, U. D., *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 833–834; Priyakumar, U. D. and Sastry, G. N., *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, 66, 6523–6530.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I thank Prof. Larry Scott for providing useful information.

G. Narahari Sastry is in the Molecular Modeling Group, Organic Chemical Sciences Division, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India e-mail: gnsastry@iict.ap.nic.in

Kroto, H. W., Heath, J. R., O'Brian, S. C., Curl, R. F. and Smalley, R. E., Nature, 1985, 318, 162–163.

Curl, R. F., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 1566–1576; Kroto, R.,