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and phytosanitary measures and codex alimentarius standards. There is also need for launching a Jal Swaraj and Wa
ter Literacy Movement. Above all, there is need for a paradigm shift from jobless to job-led growth in order to ensure that every poor person is enabled to earn his or her daily bread.

In a predominantly rural and agricultural country like India, agricultural progress (i.e. crop and animal husbandry, fisheries, forestry and agro-forestry and agro-processing) will be the most effective social safety net against hunger and poverty. Hence, the ongoing fatigue of the green revolution in wheat, rice and other major crops should be converted into an evergreen revolution designed to promote productivity improvement in perpetuity without associated ecological harm. Agricultural and rural development, if given adequate and appropriate attention, will help the country to take to the path of job-led economic growth.

Our substantial grain and foreign exchange reserves and the three million elected women and men members of local bodies have provided us with an uncommon opportunity for launching a frontal attack on hunger and poverty. It will be a tragedy if we do not act, when we are in a position to act.

To conclude, the ‘Agenda 2007: Hunger-Free Area Programme’ should keep in mind the following advice of Gandhiji given before his death:

‘Forget the past. Remember every day dawns for us from the moment we wake up. Let us all, every one, wake up now’.
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Research Workers and the Patent System*
P. K. Kapre

The first question which arises before the mind of a person who has made an invention is whether it is desirable for him to protect it by means of a patent. Presuming that the inventor can take out a patent for his invention, this question can be considered either from the standpoint of an inventor who from purely altruistic motives wants to make his invention freely available to the public so that they may enjoy its full benefits, or from the point of view of an inventor who has the motive of enjoying as many of the benefits of his invention as possible. To decide whether it will be worth the inventor’s while to take out a patent in order to achieve either of the two above-mentioned objects, a thorough estimate of the assets and liabilities which will accrue to the inventor by taking out a patent for his invention must be made.

Before trying to analyse what will be on the credit and the debit sides if a person takes out a patent, it will not be out of place here to refer to a few misconceptions about the patent system, which are prevalent in the minds of many people.

There is a class of people who seem to think that a patent is akin to a certificate of merit whereby the utility claimed for the inventions is endorsed by the Government. This is not so. By the grant of the Patent rights, the Patent Office does not in any way vouch for all that is expounded or claimed by the patentee.

Another misconception is, that by possessing a patent a person would be able to manufacture an article by a slight alteration of a manufactured article based on an existing patent, so that the new article produced embodies all the essential features of the old one in addition to slight variations in non-essential details. This also is not true, as a later patent can in no circumstances prejudice the rights of an earlier patentee.

Now, a patent is a privilege or a right conferred by the Government by which the patentee can enjoy the exclusive right of working the patented invention, or authorising others to do so, as long as the patent right is in force. This right, how-
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