

sional provocations to carry out the various cases investigated in the text. This can be said about the discussions on the logistic map (the famous model used by Robert May for the measles epidemic) and baker's transformation, for instance. A number of good books are referred to now and then.

This and the other monographs published in this series are not yet widely known to most students and teachers in the country. Greater effort in publicising these books will be useful. A suggestion from this reviewer for a future monograph is for one on geometry and topology for students of physics and chemistry. This could be a

complementary reading to a chaos.

HARJIT

Department of Chemistry,
Panjab University,
Chandigarh 160 014, India

Errata

Plant life under extreme environments

H. Y. Mohan Ram

Curr. Sci., 1997, 72, 306-315

The cover caption on page 290 should read: The vegetation in deserts is scanty. Goats have learnt to feed on whatever is available to sustain them (H. Y. Mohan Ram).

Page 310. Right-hand side, 2nd Figure, the caption should read: Sewan grass (*Lasiurus indicus*) growing on sand. It is one of the most productive grasses of the Thar desert (M. M. Bhandari).

Page 311. In legend to the figure on left top, the name of the plant should read: *Suaeda fruticosa* and not *Suade fruticosa*.

Science policy in neo-liberal India: Corporate culture, basic science and scientific credibility

Suresh K. Mahajan

Curr. Sci., 1997, 72, 295-299

Footnote on page 295: read 25 January instead of 24 January; read Dept of Civics and Politics instead of Dept of Civics and History.

Page 298, middle column, line 11 from top: read Rs 14,000 crores instead of Rs 1,400 crores.

Manganese mobilization from the Western Continental margin of India

D. N. Yadav

Curr. Sci., 1996, 71, 900-905

Page 903, Table 2, column 2, 1st line. Number should read as ~21 instead of ~35.

Page 904, Figure 4. All units given for F_r , F_s , F_{Mn} should read as g/y instead of $\mu\text{g/y}$.

Page 905, 2nd line. Units for F_s , F_{Mn} should read as g/y.

Conformation of ATPMg(II) bound at the specific site on bovine serum albumin: ^1H -nuclear magnetic resonance study

Hari Pada Maity and Gotam K. Jarori

Curr. Sci., 1996, 71, 906-914

1. Equation (5),

$$W_{ii} = \frac{\gamma^4 \hbar^2 \tau_c}{10} \left[1 + \frac{3}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2} + \frac{6}{1 + 4\omega^2 \tau_c^2} \right] \sum_{k \neq i}$$

should be

$$W_{ii} = \frac{\gamma^4 \hbar^2 \tau_c}{10} \left[1 + \frac{3}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2} + \frac{6}{1 + 4\omega^2 \tau_c^2} \right] \sum_k$$

2. In Table 2

$$\tau = \frac{v_2}{\cos p} \quad \text{should be} \quad \tau = \frac{v_2}{\cos p}$$

3. In page 909, under 'Binding of ATPMg(II)

$$|\omega_A + \omega_B| = \Delta\omega_M < \tau_A^{-1}, \tau_B^{-1}$$

should be

$$|\omega_A - \omega_B| = \Delta\omega_M < \tau_A^{-1}, \tau_B^{-1}$$