It was perhaps appropriate that the meeting was formally inaugurated by the Governor of Andhra Pradesh only after the talk by Carleton Gadjusek on an infectious agent (infectious amyloid) that leaves no DNA fingerprint, Infectious amyloid proteins follow Koch's postulates and are the causative agents for various encephalopathies and amyloidoses of the brain, including Creutzfeldt-Jakob dementia and hovine spongiform encephalitis. The infectious-disease-causing proteins are derived by conformational changes in normal host precursor molecules that are induced via nucleation with an infective molecule. Thus, there are no differences in the amino acid sequence of the normal and infective variants and hence no underlying genetic differences. Mutations in the precursor protein, however, can increase the likelihood of spontaneous generation of amyloids. Amyloids have also been implicated in cases of hereditary blindness, and can affect heart, gut and kidneys.

The conference bore the unmistakable signature of its chairman, Pushpa Bhargava. Two popular talks were open to the general public. One, by Bhargava, illustrated the contributions of Lalji Singh and colleagues at CCMB in fostering DNA fingerprinting awareness even in remote tribal hamlets. The other, by Susumo Ohno, dealt with the persistence of genes even after they have outlived their usefulness (e.g. chicken genes for dentine and tooth enamel). The long half-life of redundant genes (45 million years) explains why ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny as, for example, in the se-

quential development of three kidneys in human embryonic development.

Fine science blended with fine food (including authentic Hyderabadi fare) and fine art. This included folk dancers of Gujarat (Dr Parul Shah's troupe), an evening at Golconda, followed by qawaalis and a 'chowki dinner' at the nearby Qutb Shahi tombs (courtesy AP Department of Tourism), and a visit to an artists' camp at the Sanghinagar township (built around a polyester plant). The Fourth International Conference on DNA Fingerprinting in Melbourne (December 1996) will surely find TICDF a hard act to follow!

Durgadas P. Kasbekar, Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Hyderabad

RESEARCH NEWS

Complex domestic conflicts in a bird family

Raghavendra Gadagkar and H. S. Arathi

Birds exhibit, more than any other group of higher animals, such noble virtues as monogamy, pair-bonding for life, male parental care and cooperative efforts by both parents in nest building and care of the chicks. Not surprisingly, these virtues of the birds are often extolled by poets and philosophers, especially while admonishing fellow humans. However, as scientists probe deeper into the secrets of bird family life, many unexpected domestic conflicts are coming to the fore. A particularly devastating revelation has come from the recent use of DNA technology in determining the parentage of chicks being reared in nests of monogamously paired parents, much as forensic laboratories have begun to do in cases of disputed parentage among humans. Many species that were fondly thought to be monogamous have turned out to be rather promiscuous. Females from apparently monogamous pairs often mate, on the sly as it were, with males from neighbouring monogamous pairs and lay at least some of the eggs that are not sired by the partners who help them

in parental duties 1-3.

A novel and more complicated domestic conflict has recently been documented by Norwegian scientists at the University of Oslo. Slagsvold et al.4 conducted a fouryear study of the breeding biology of the blue tit, a small passerine bird, not unlike the common house sparrow. These birds are monogamous and both parents share parental duties. The female lays about 10 eggs in a span of about 10 days and incubates them. While the male does not help with the incubation, he feeds the female while she does so and, later, when the chicks hatch, both parents feed the chicks. A matter of dispute concerns when the female should start incubating. If she starts too early (say, as soon as she lays her first egg), the chicks will all hatch on different days and the parents will have a very asynchronous batch of brood to take care of. If she starts late (say, after she has already laid all her eggs), then the chicks will all hatch at about the same time and the parents will have a very synchronous batch of brood.

It turns out that synchronous and asynchronous broods have very different consequences for the male and female parents. In one experiment, broods were artificially manipulated to produce especially synchronous or asynchronous broods. Male parents had a higher chance of surviving to breed again the following year when they were given asynchronous (47%; n = 46) brood than when they were given synchronous brood (25%; n = 36). Conversely, female parents had a higher chance of surviving to breed the following year when they were given synchronous brood (43%; n = 43) rather than asynchronous brood (29%; n = 51). As a precaution, it was confirmed that male and female parents had similar survival rates when synchronous and asynchronous broods were combined in the analysis (males = 35%; n = 82, and females = 37%; n = 94). Similarly, when data on male and female parents were combined in the analysis, birds attending synchronous and asynchronous brood have similar survival probabilities (synchronous brood = 37%; n = 79, and

asynchronous brood = 36%; n = 97). Thus, the mother is better off raising a synchronous batch of brood while the father is better off with an asynchronous batch of brood.

The most likely reasons for these malefemale differences are the following. The authors of the same study have data suggesting that male blue tits, while participating in parental care, are apparently not as conscientious as the females. They take care of the larger and stronger chicks. and when such chicks are successfully fledged, they stop working and pay more attention to territorial defence and moulting and enhance their future survival probabilities. The burden of difficult and prolonged care of small and weak chicks falls on the mother. When the chicks are all of more or less the same age, the mother thus has more help from the father, who in turn has to work harder as all the chicks satisfy his criteria of being big and strong. When the brood is asynchronous, however, the male benefits by stopping his work early while the female carries on alone, caring for the smaller and weaker chicks, and in the process, lowers her chances of being alive and fit to breed again the following уеаг.

Now why should males and females be so different in their commitment to parental care? Firstly, female parental care is more fundamental and as soon as there is any opportunity for one of the parents to desert, it is usually the male who is

the first one to jump at it. This happens throughout the animal kingdom and may be related to the fact that females invest more in their offspring, starting right from the cost of an egg, while males invest much less, often nothing more than inexpensive sperm^{5, 6}. Hence, females have much more at stake in the survival of their offspring than males do. Secondly, the small and late-hatching chicks in a nest are more likely, at least in some species, to be sired by neighbouring males in extra-pair copulations^{7,8}, so that the male has even less interest in the welfare of these particular chicks^{1,3,9,10}. Interestingly, however, it is the female that appears to win in this domestic quarrel about whether the brood should hatch synchronously or asynchronously. Only the female incubates and it is thus only she who decides when to start incubating and, therefore, how synchronous the brood should be.

Until not too long ago, unexpected conflicts among animals were buried under the carpet as being pathological. The evolutionary approach to animal behaviour permits us to face such unexpected conflicts head-on and even to predict when conflicts may occur and how they may be resolved. As a bonus, our understanding of animal behaviour grows in richness. But if these revelations of domestic conflict in birds appear to make them unsuitable as role models of good behaviour, we must reflect on the fact that they are still able to maintain

an external appearance of faithfully bonded monogamous pairs in spite of such simmering discontent!

- Burke, T., Davies, N. B, Bruford, M. W. and Hatchwell, B. J. Nature, 1989, 338, 249-251.
- 2. Westneat, D. F, Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol., 1990, 27, 67-76.
- 3. Dixon, A., Ross, D., O'Malley, S. L. C. and Burke, T., Nature, 1994, 371, 698-700.
- 4. Slagsvold, T., Amundsen, T. and Dale, S., Nature, 1994, 370, 136-138.
- 5. Trivers, R L., in Sexual Selection and the Descent of Man (ed. Campbell, B.), Aldine, Chicago, 1972, pp. 1871-1971
- 6. Alexander, R. D. and Borgia, G., in Sexual Selection and Reproductive Competition in Insects (eds. Blum, M. S. and Blum, N. A.), Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- 7. Gottlander, K., Ornis Scand., 1987, 18, 269-276.
- Westneat, D. F. and Sherman, P. W., Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol., 1993, 4, 66-77.
- Davies, N. B., Hatchwell, B. J., Robson,
 T. and Burke, T., Animal Behav., 1992,
 43, 729-745.
- 10. Westneat, D. F., Am. Nat., 1994, 144, 343-354.

Raghavendra Gadagkar is in the Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India and Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Jakkur, Bangalore 560 064, India; and H. S. Arathi is in the Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India.

OPINION

University science education: Who funds?

Who should fund university science education? Can the private sector generate enough resources to be able to sustain universities in their intellectual pursuits and not merely merchandise education? The questions have appeared rather suddenly on the horizon. Pt Nehru's vision of modern India, the first Education Policy and the National Policy on Education 1986, carved a direction for science education in the country and led to creation of a strong infrastructure. Yet, the science

education system needs reforming to respond to the challenges of national economy and international competitiveness. The current policy of the government of releasing control to the industry and the people and the Punnanya Committee recommendations in cutting down the establishment expenditure call for greater support to universities from the industry, NRI and affluent members of the society. The creation of the infrastructure, the areas of concern, the role played by

public and private sector so far and the response actions for attracting multisource funding are discussed in this paper.

Areas of concern

With the increasing cost of research, lack of suitably trained manpower and the shrinking budget, enormous pressure is being put on university education. The current situation is seen as reflecting the existence of a mismatch between the