

Figure 2. Effect of fenvalerate on I_{Na} amplitude. **A**, Whole cell inward I_{Na} elicited by step depolarizations to -55 , -45 , -35 and -25 mV from a holding potential (V_h) of -75 mV in control (**a**) and in presence of $50 \mu\text{M}$ of fenvalerate (**b**); **B**, Current (I) vs. voltage (V) plot of peak I_{Na} amplitudes for data shown in **A**.

current amplitude at the different membrane potentials tested.

Figure 3 shows plots of I_{Na} in control and in presence of $50 \mu\text{M}$ fenvalerate from the same cell shown in Figure 2, following a step depolarization to -45 mV from a holding potential of -75 mV. Shown in the records are Na^+ tail current relaxations on returning the test pulse to holding potential level. The inactivating component of I_{Na} and the tail current relaxations were fitted with either a single exponential function of the form:

$$I_{Na}(t) = I'_{Na} \exp(-t/\tau_h), \quad (1)$$

or a double exponential function of the form:

$$I_{Na}(t) = I'_{Na} \exp(-t/\tau_1) + I''_{Na} \exp(-t/\tau_2), \quad (2)$$

where I'_{Na} or I''_{Na} are amplitudes, t is time, and τ_1 and




Figure 3. Kinetic analysis of I_{Na} inactivation and tail current relaxations. The plots are of inward currents elicited by depolarizing the membrane to -45 mV from a holding potential of -75 mV in control (**a**) and in presence of $50 \mu\text{M}$ fenvalerate (**b**). The smooth line over the current record indicates the exponential curve contained within the analysis area. An iterative nonlinear Levenberg-Marquadt algorithm (SSQMIN, K. Brown, Univ. of Cincinnati) was used to perform the fit defined by equations (1) and (2) given in the text. τ_h , inactivation time constant; τ_1 and τ_2 tail current relaxation time constants.

τ_2 are time constants of fast and slow processes respectively.

The time constant of inactivation (τ_h) determined from the exponential fit (equation (1)) was 3.56 ms in control, while in the presence of $50 \mu\text{M}$ fenvalerate it was 6.48 ms, indicating a slowing of the inactivation kinetics.

The tail current relaxation in control was fit by a single exponential function as in equation (1) with a time constant (τ_1) of 0.8 ms. However, in the presence of fenvalerate the current relaxation was fit by a double exponential function (equation (2)) with two time constant values (τ_1 and τ_2) of 0.56 and 5.4 ms respectively, indicative of an inherent fast (τ_1) and slow (τ_2) kinetic process. For exponential fit of tail current relaxations, 2.5 ms of the current trace following the step depolarization was discarded to avoid contamination by capacitive current component.

From Figures 2 and 3 it is clear that fenvalerate acts on I_{Na} of DRG neuron to: (a) decrease the current amplitude, (b) slow the inactivation process at least at some membrane potentials and (c) affect the tail current relaxation to induce an additional slow kinetic process. Similar observations were made in a total of four different DRG neurons obtained from different rats.

The whole-cell I_{Na} recorded in Figures 2 and 3 represents the summated current due to opening of a number of individual channel proteins that undergo conformational changes among at least three functionally distinct states during voltage activation, viz. $R \leftrightarrow O \leftrightarrow I$, where R is the resting state, O the open state, and I the inactivated state. The inactivating component of the whole-cell I_{Na} record appears due to progressive decrease in the probability of opening of

single Na^+ channels during the period of pulse application, following pulse onset. The amplitude of the tail current is proportional to the Na^+ current at the time of repolarization and is only seen if repolarization occurs before the inactivation process is complete, and its analysis is particularly used in insecticide research since the tail current characteristics give information about channels in the open state. The tail current in fenvalerate-treated condition is also proportional to the number of open channels, but the decay of the current is much slower. In Figure 3b it is seen that there is an additional slow component of tail current that does not decay during the time scale shown. This slow component is most likely due to modified open channels which return to the resting state slower by a factor of about 10 as opposed to normal channels. It is also likely that fenvalerate induces some inactivated channels to open spontaneously and become modified, resulting in the appearance of the slow tail current component following fenvalerate addition, as has been suggested earlier for the pyrethroid tetramethrin in squid axons⁹. While both fenvalerate and tetramethrin slow the inactivation and the tail current characteristics, fenvalerate decreases the amplitude of the current elicited during a depolarization pulse, and tetramethrin does not. The difference can partly be explained by the structural differences between fenvalerate and tetramethrin; while fenvalerate is a cyano pyrethroid compound, tetramethrin is a non-cyano pyrethroid.

There is also reason to believe that fenvalerate acts differently on the Na channels of animals in the evolutionary scale. Thus, while fenvalerate decreases the Na current characteristics during depolarization, viz. current amplitude and inactivation time constant of mammalian DRG neurons suggested by our experiments, it only affects the tail current kinetics of amphibian Na channels without affecting the sodium current elicited during the depolarization pulse, demonstrated earlier in myelinated nerve fibres of the clawed frog, *Xenopus laevis*⁴. This difference can partly be explained by inherent subtle differences in the Na channel structures, although it is true that basic structural and functional aspects of voltage-gated ionic channels are strongly conserved over evolution.

The decrease in peak I_{Na} amplitude with fenvalerate addition can be attributed to either closure of a subpopulation of Na^+ channels in the resting state and/or channel modification to decrease single channel conductance. Further, the effects described above could be due to fenvalerate's action on a 'pyrethroid receptor' on the Na^+ channel³. These are aspects which are in the process of detailed investigation.

1. IUPAC Int. Prog. on Chem. Safety EHC 95, Fenvalerate, WHO, UN Environment Prog ILO, 1990, p. 15.
2. Salgado, V. L. and Narahashi, T., *Soc. Neurosci. Abst.*, 1982, 8, 251.
3. Vijverberg, H. P. M., Van der Zalm, J. M., Van Kleef, R. G. D. M. and Van Den Bercken, J., *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1983, 728, 73-82.
4. Miller, T. A. and Salgado, V. L., in *Pyrethroid Insecticides* (Leahey, J. P.), Taylor and Francis, London, 1985, pp 43-97.
5. Hamill, O. P., Marty, A., Neher, E., Sakmann, B. and Sigworth, F. J., *Pflügers Archiv*, 1981, 391, 85-100.
6. Neher, E. and Sakmann, B., *Sci. Am.*, 1992, 266(3), 28-35.
7. Mason, W. T. and Sikdar, S. K., *J. Physiol.*, 1988, 399, 493-517.
8. Lund, A. E. and Narahashi, T., *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, 1981, 219, 464-473.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The research work was supported by grants from the Erna and Victor Hasselblad Foundation, Sweden and the Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India. S. N. S. was a recipient of a CSIR junior research scholarship, while S. H. was a recipient, of an NBTP fellowship from DBT. S. K. S. is grateful to Prof. K. R. K. Easwaran of the Molecular Biophysics Unit for the help and support in initiating and establishing the patch-clamp technique. Technical grade fenvalerate was kindly supplied by Dr Mityananda (Rallis, India).

Received 29 June 1992; revised accepted 7 November 1992

Characterization of cellulose and hemicellulose degrading *Bacillus* sp. from termite infested soil

Jaishree Paul and A. K. Varma

Microbiology Unit, School of Life Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi 110 067, India

A *Bacillus* strain having a broad spectrum of enzymes responsible for degradation of cellulosic and hemicellulosic components of agricultural wastes was isolated from the termite infested soil. The optimum temperature and pH for growth of the bacterium were 37°C and 7.2 respectively. The isolate was capable of fast growth on soluble products, xylan ($\mu_{\text{max}} = 0.45 \text{ h}^{-1}$) and carboxymethyl cellulose ($\mu_{\text{max}} = 0.20 \text{ h}^{-1}$) rather than on the rice husk ($\mu_{\text{max}} = 0.15 \text{ h}^{-1}$), the insoluble substrate. The organism was characterized morphologically and biochemically. Similarities with *Bacillus licheniformis* are very evident.

TERMITES are prominent members of arid ecosystems. They are considered to be supreme converters of organic matters in soil from the tropics to the desert; they stir and mix and with the aid of bacteria, protozoa and fungi recycle cellulosic materials¹. The importance of bacterial component of the termite's intestinal microbiota in cellulose digestion has been extensively reviewed², however, the role of microorganisms inhibiting termite infested soil is scanty. It is therefore of interest to ascertain the characteristics of bacteria isolated from this specialized ecological niche located in

1. Kaneko, H., Ohkawa, H. and Miyamoto, J., *J. Pesticide Sci.*, 1981, 6, 317-326