



**Figures 2-4.** *C. marchica*. 2. From basal inorganic medium in light ( $\times 445$ ); 3. From fructose-supplemented medium in light showing thick sheath layer around the trichome ( $\times 385$ ); 4. From fructose-supplemented medium in dark showing elongated trichome surrounded by thick sheath layer ( $\times 360$ ).

synthesis of sheath layer around the trichome.

Financial assistance from UGC, New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged.

12 March 1987; Revised 23 July 1987

1. Adhikary, S. P. and Pattnaik, H., *Hydrobiolo-*

*gy*, 1979, 67, 241.

2. Sahu, J. and Adhikary, S. P., *Z. Allg. Microbiol.*, 1981, 21, 273.
3. Sahu, J. and Adhikary, S. P., *Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl.*, 1982, 63, 189.
4. Allen, M. B. and Arnon, D. I., *Plant Physiol.*, 1955, 30, 366.
5. Fay, P., *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 1965, 39, 11.
6. Adhikary, S. P., *Curr. Sci.*, 1986, 55, 669.
7. Pope, D. H., *Can. J. Bot.*, 1974, 52, 2369.
8. Rodriguez-Lopez, M., *Arch. Microbiol.*, 1965, 53, 319.
9. Adhikary, S. P., Weckesser, J., Jürgens, U. J., Golekei, J. R. and Borowiak, D., *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 1986, 132, 2595.
10. Weckesser, J., Broll, C., Adhikary, S. P., Jürgens, U. J., *Arch. Microbiol.*, 1987, 147, 300.

#### RADIO PROTECTIVE EFFECT OF GIBBERELIC ACID IN WHEAT VARIETY C 306

S. UPPAL and N. MAHERCHANDANI

*Department of Genetics, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125 004, India.*

CHROMOSOMAL damage produced by gamma radiations was reduced in the germinating barley<sup>1,2</sup>, oats<sup>3</sup> and wheat<sup>4</sup> seeds with GA<sub>3</sub> post-treatment. Growth-promoting effect of GA<sub>3</sub> has been observed in many plant species including barley<sup>2</sup>, rice<sup>5</sup>, corn<sup>6</sup> and wheat<sup>4,7,8</sup>. The present study was planned to see the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> concentrations on the seedling height and chromosomal damage in a responsive wheat variety C 306.

Seeds of wheat variety C 306 were exposed to 20 kr of gamma irradiation at a dose rate of 370 R per min. The moisture content during irradiation was 11%. After irradiation the seeds were soaked, either in water or in GA<sub>3</sub> solution of different concentrations, for 16 h. The seeds were then removed from the solutions and germinated in 9 cm petri dishes on filter papers soaked with solutions of the same concentrations. Root tips were fixed for cytological studies after 36 h. After keeping in fixative for 24 h, the root tips were transferred to 70% ethanol and kept in refrigerator. Seedling height was recorded on 7-day-old seedlings.

GA<sub>3</sub> reduced the frequency of cytologically aberrant cells as seen at different mitotic stages (table 1). Also it may be seen that increasing GA<sub>3</sub> concentra-



**Table 1** Frequency of chromosome aberrations induced by gamma radiation with various concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> in wheat variety C 306

Concentration of GA <sub>3</sub> (ppm)	% cells with fragments at metaphase	% cells with bridges at anaphase and early telophase	% cells with micronuclei at interphase
0	28.73 (181)	28.95 (525)	7.93 (1298)
2	27.93 (111)	23.47 (455)	6.76 ( 917)
5	25.49 (111)	22.46 (325)	6.80 ( 882)
10	19.87 (151)	21.16 (567)	6.53 (1210)
30	20.15 (134)	19.42 (618)	5.72 (1347)
50	19.79 (139)	18.08 (730)	4.14 (1109)

Figures in parentheses refer to total number of cells studied.

tion resulted in a corresponding decrease of cytological abnormalities (table 1).

The chromosomal damage results from the absorption of energy and formation of free radicals after irradiation<sup>9,10</sup>. Removal of peroxy radicals should lead to the reduction in the extent of the damage produced. GA<sub>3</sub> results in enhanced activity of several enzymes and peroxidase<sup>11,12</sup> is one of them. This increased peroxidase activity probably eliminates the surviving peroxy radicals resulting in less cytological damage. Another reason for reduced cytological damage could be that GA<sub>3</sub> by its stimulatory action on metabolic processes<sup>13</sup> promotes repair of the radiation damages.

The seedling height also increased with GA<sub>3</sub> post-treatment (table 2). However, there was no effect of increasing concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub>. The reason for absence of dose effect on seedling height is that 2 ppm concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> is sufficient for eliciting maximum growth response and an increase

**Table 2** Effect of various concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> on gamma-irradiated seeds of wheat variety C 306

Concentrations of GA <sub>3</sub> (ppm)	Seedling height (cm)
0	5.95 ± 0.44
2	8.63 ± 0.23
5	7.71 ± 0.21
10	7.87 ± 0.21
30	8.50 ± 0.15
50	8.74 ± 0.24

C. D. (5% level of significance) = 1.67 seedling height based on 4 replicates, each replicate having 25 seedlings.

in concentration beyond 2 ppm has no further effect.

It may also be noted that cytological damage per unit concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> applied decreases as GA<sub>3</sub> concentration increases. It appears that further increase in GA<sub>3</sub> concentration beyond 50 ppm may have little effect.

The concentration required for eliciting maximum growth is much lower than that required for maximum effect on cytological damage suggesting that these two aspects of GA<sub>3</sub> effects may be independent. This differential effect of GA<sub>3</sub> is seen because the cellular mechanisms which affect repair of radiation damage are different from those which affect seedling growth. The first one is the nuclear phenomena modifying microenvironment in the chromosomal vicinity and the second is the cytoplasmic phenomenon resulting in cell enlargement.

21 March 1987; Revised 15 June 1987

1. Kumar, S., *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breeding*, 1967, 27, 154.
2. Maherchandani, N. and Vasudeva, M., *Curr. Sci.*, 1984, 53, 923.
3. Maherchandani, N. and Vasudeva, M., *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, 1985, 15, 399.
4. Uppal, S., Arora, R. and Maherchandani, N., *XV Int. Congr. Genet.*, 1983, Abs. No. 881, p. 494.
5. El. Aishy, S. M., Salem, A. Alla-Abd and El-Keredy, M. S., *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 1976, 16, 69.
6. Gaur, B. K. and Notani, N. K., *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, 1960, 2, 257.
7. Ananthaswamy, H. N., Vakil, U. K. and Sreenivasan, A., *Radiat. Bot.*, 1971, 11, 1.
8. Grilli, I., Angricellesi, M. A. C., Floris, C., Lorenzini, N. and Meletti, P., *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanz.*, 1980, 175, 587.
9. Zimmer, K. G., Ehrenberg, L and Ehrenberg, A., *Strapantbera Pie.*, 1957, 103, 1.
10. Caldecott, S., In: *Effects of ionizing radiations on seeds*, IAEA, Vienna, 1961, p. 1.
11. Lee, T. T., *Can. J. Bot.*, 1972, 50, 2471.
12. Jain, R. K., Maherchandani, N., Sharma, D. R. and Chowdhury, V. K., *Indian J. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1980, 17, 93.
13. Paleg, L. G., *Plant Physiol.*, 1960, 35, 293.