SURVEY OF VESICULAR-ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAE IN MANGROVE VEGETATION

V. MOHANKUMAR and A. MAHADEVAN Centre for Advanced Study in Botany, University of Madras, Madras 600 025, India.

DESPITE the ubiquitous occurrence of mycorrhizae in forests and cultivated soils¹, very little is known about their distribution in mangrove plants and soil. In this note, the results on the distribution of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae in Pitchavaram mangrove forest, Tamil Nadu are reported.

Roots of mangrove plants and soil samples were collected from the mangrove forest ecosystem. Mycorrhizal infection was measured² by observing 100 root segments. At least 6 plants of each species were screened for mycorrhizal infection. To recover mycorrhizal spores from the soil, wet-sieving and decanting method was followed³.

Twenty-five plant species from 12 families were screened (table 1) for mycorrhizal association in samples collected in May 1985. Surprisingly, no mycorrhizal association was recorded in the roots nor in the soil. The samples collected in March 1986 were also screened for mycorrhizae. No mycorrhizal association was recorded in the roots. Nor did we isolate spores from soil.

Klecka and Vukolov⁴ reported the presence of mycorrhizae in Salicornia and Suaeda, but both were reported to be non-mycorrhizal^{5,6}. The roots of Rhizophora mangle, a mangrove plant, did not harbour any mycorrhizae⁷.

Soil from the mangrove forest was clay and, we believe that this soil would influence the distribution of mycorrhizae. Indeed in clay soil, very few mycorrhizal fungi were present compared to sandy soil⁸. Furthermore, soil moisture substantially reduced the mycorrhizal association⁹ due to insufficient availability of oxygen. In alkaline soils, fungal growth was always poor^{10,11}. In addition to alkalinity, the clay nature of the soil is unsuitable for fungal growth because of their consequent poor aeration¹². Clearly, besides soil type, the soil moisture, alkalinity and salinity influence the mycorrhizal association. The mangrove vegetation, we believe, is highly specialized with reference to mineral nutrition and deserves serious study.

VM thanks UGC, New Delhi for a research fellowship and AM thanks DST New Delhi for financial support.

Table 1 Plant species screened for mycorrhizae from the mangrove forest

Family	Plant species
Acanthaceae	Acanthus ilicifolius L
Aegicerataceae	Aegiceras corrniculatum (L) Blanco
Chenopodiaceae	Arthrocnemum indicum (Willd) Moq
	Salicornia brachiatae Roxb
	Suaeda martima (L) Dumort
	S. monoica (Forsskal ex) J Gmelin
	S. nudiflora Moq
Combretaceae	Lumnitzira racemosa Willd
Euphorbiaceae	Excoecaria agallocha L
Aizoaceae	Sesuvium portulacastrum L
Meliaceae	Xylocarpus granatum J Koeing
Papilionaceae	Dalbergia horrida (Dennst) Mabb
	D. spinosa Roxb
	Derris trifoliata Lour
	D. uliginosa Benth
Rhizophoraceae	Bruguiera cylindrica (L) Blume
	B. gymnorrhizae (L) Savigny
	Ceriops decandra (Griffith) Ding Hou
	C. roxburghiana Arn
	Rhizophora apiculata Blume
	R. mucronata Poiret
Salvadoraceae	Salvadora persica L
Sonneratiaceae	Sonneratia apetala Buch-Ham
Verbenaceae	Avicennia marina (Forsskal) Viesh
	A. officinalis L

25 April 1986

- 1. Gerdemann, J. W., In: Proc. Ist N. Am. Conf. Mycorrhizae; (ed.) E. Hacskaylo, 1969, 9.
- 2. Phillips, J. M. and Hayman, D. S., Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 1970, 55, 158.
- 3. Gerdemann, J. W. and Nicolson, T. H., Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 1963, 46, 235.
- 4. Klecka, A. and Vukolov, V., Ann. Acad. Tehecosl. Agric., 1937, 12, 190.
- 5. Mason, E., New Phytol., 1928, 27, 193.
- 6. Nicolson, T. H., Ph.D. thesis, 1955 Univ. of Nottingham.
- 7. Lee, B. K. H. and Baker, G. E., Mycologia, 1973, 65, 894.
- 8. Manjunath, A., Mohan, R. and Bagyaraj, D. J., Can. J. Bot., 1983, 61, 2729.
- 9. Mohankumar, V. Ph.D. thesis, 1985. Univ. of Madras.
- 10. Waksman, S. A., *Ecology*, 1924, 5, 54.
- 11. Jensen, H. L., Soil Sci., 1931, 31, 123.
- 12. Pugh, G. J. F., Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 1962, 45, 560.