**Table 1** Percent recovery of 2-aryl-2,3-dihydro-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (Ia–Xa) and 2-hydroxychalkone (lb–Xb) at equilibrium attained from either direction over silica gel.

Comp. No <sup>a</sup>	R¹	R <sup>2</sup>	R³	Percent recovery of		Om . 4. 1
				4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (a)	2'-hydroxychalkone (b)	Total recovery (%)
	Н	H	H	40	45	85
]]	Br	H	H	75	18	93
]]]	Br	H	4-OMe	52	40	92
IV	COEt	H	4-OMe	55	38	93
V	COPh	H	H	60	29	89
Vſ	COPh	H	3-OMe	60	32	92
VII	COCH = CHPh	H	H	58	32	90
ΛΊΙΙ	$COCH = CHC_6H_4$ - $OMe_P$	H	4-OMe	<b>5</b> 5	40	95
IX	H.	OMe	Н	54	38	92
X				52	38	90

a Compound numbers given in this column refer to those described in figure 1. For compounds XI and XII, R<sup>2</sup> is H and OMe respectively while R<sup>1</sup> is H for both.

#### 8 April 1985; Revised 19 August 1985

- 1. Tiroflat, J. and Corvaisier, A., Compt. Rend., 1961, 252, 3818.
- 2. Shimokoriyama, M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 4190 and references cited therein.
- 3. Rakosi-David, E. and Bognar, R., Acta Univ. Debrecen, 1961, 7, 141; Chem. Abstr., 1963, 58, 5617h.
- 4. Datta, C. P. and Roy, Lala, P. K., Indian J. Chem., 1975, 13, 425 and references cited therein.
- 5. Lowenbein, A., Ber. dt. Chem. Ges., 1924, 57B, 1515.
- Chang, C. T., Chen, F. C., Chen, T. S., Hsu, K. K., Uneg, T. and Hung, M., J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 3414.
- 7. Chen, F. C. and Chang, C. T., J. Chem. Soc., 1958, 146.
- 8. Joshi, U. and Amin, G. C., Izv. Akad. Nauk, S.S.S.R., Otdel, Khim. Nauk, 1960, 267; Chem. Abstr., 1960, 54, 21067g.
- 9. Sangwan, N. K., Verma, B. S. and Dhindsa, K. S., Chem. Ind. London, 1984, No. 7, 271.
- 10. Sangwan, N. K., Dhindsa, K. S., Malik, O. P. and Malik, M. S., Chim. Acta Turc., 1983, 11, 65.
- 11. Sangwan, N. K., Dhindsa, K. S. and Malik, O. P., Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res., 1983, 13, 380.
- 12. Sangwan, N. K. and Rastogi, S. N., Indian J. Chem., 1980, 19B, 500.
- 13. Koton, M. M., Andreeva, I. V., Turbina, A. I. and Sinyavskii, V. G., Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1964, 159, 602; Chem. Abstr., 1965, 62, 6570d.

# RUTHENIUM(III) CATALYZED OXIDATION OF SECONDARY ALCOHOLS BY N-METHYLMORPHOLINE-N-OXIDE

### K. VIJAYASRI, J. RAJARAM and J. C. KURIACOSE

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras 600 036 India.

Oxides like trimethylamine-N-oxide and N-methyl-morpholine-N-oxide (N-oxide) in the presence of OsO<sub>4</sub> has been reported<sup>1-3</sup>. Sharpless et al<sup>4</sup> studied the Ru(III)-catalyzed oxidations by N-oxide of cholestanol, geraniol etc.

However, there are no reports of studies on the oxidation of secondary alcohols using N-oxide as oxidant. Pyridine-N-oxide and trimethylamine-N-oxide have been estimated using Ti(III) as a reductant<sup>5</sup>. We have carried out kinetic investigations on Ru(III)-catalyzed oxidation of cyclohexanol, 1-phenylethanol and 2-propanol by N-oxide in DMF as solvent, by determining titanometrically the concentration of N-oxide remaining at any instant. Aliquots of the reaction mixture were quenched by adding a known excess of Ti(III) solution. Conc. HCl and sodium citrate were added to prevent hydrolysis and to form a complex respectively. The excess of Ti(III) was back-titrated against standard Fe<sup>3+</sup> using ammonium thiocyanate as indicator.

The oxidation reaction follows 1:1 stoichiometry

and the ketone formed has been qualitatively detected and quantitatively estimated according to the method due to Lappin<sup>6</sup>. The orders are one each in N-oxide and Ru(III). The order with respect to the substrate (S) is fractional at low concentrations (0.05 to 0.2 M) and zero at higher concentrations (0.5 M onwards). Spectral studies indicate that a 1:1 complex of Ru(III) and N-oxide is formed. EPR studies indicate that the oxidation state of Ru is not altered during the reaction. The mechanism given below

Ru(III) + N-oxide 
$$\frac{k_1}{k_{-1}}$$
 complex

complex + S 
$$\frac{k_2}{\text{slow}}$$
 product + Ru(III)

leading to the rate expression

$$-\frac{d[N-oxide]}{dt} = \frac{k_1k_2[Ru(III)][N-oxide][S]}{k_{-1}+k_2[S]}$$
(1)

accounts for the experimental results satisfactorily. Equation (1) can be rearranged as

$$\frac{1}{\text{Rate}} = \frac{(k_{-1}/k_2)}{k_1 \left[\text{Ru(III)}\right] \left[\text{N-oxide}\right] \left[\text{S}\right]} + \frac{1}{k_1 \left[\text{Ru(III)}\right] \left[\text{N-oxide}\right]}.$$
(2)

Using the data from experiments at low concentrations of the substrate, (2) has been verified (table 1).

The agreement between the values of  $k_1$  in table 1 supports the mechanism. At high concentrations of substrate,  $[S] \gg k_{-1}/k_2$ , a zero order in the substrate is observed. At low concentrations of substrate,  $k_{-1}/k_2$  cannot be neglected in comparison with [S] and a fractional order in the substrate is observed.

Though the ligand, PPh<sub>3</sub>, in the complex RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, is oxidised to PPh<sub>3</sub>O by the N-oxide, this complex is able to catalyse the oxidation reaction. In order to heterogenize this catalyst, experiments

**Table 1** Evaluation of rate constants from double reciprocal plots at low concentrations of substrate ([S] < 0.2 M)

	$k_1$ in M			
Substrate	From pseudo first order plots	From double reciprocal plots	$(k_{-1}/k_2)$	
Cyclohexanol	$36.56 \pm 0.81$	35.72	0.044	
1-Phenylethanol 2-Propanol	$39.11 \pm 0.47$ $34.29 \pm 0.49$	38.80 34.50	0.041	

were carried out with RuCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> anchored to polystyrene divinylbenzene polymer with 2% cross linking. DMF could not be used since it leaches out the ruthenium species. When benzene is used as solvent no leaching takes place, but since N-oxide is not soluble phenyliodosoacetate (PIA) is used as oxidant. The anchored ruthenium on phosphinated polymer, prepared by the method of Allum et al<sup>7</sup> contains about 1.13 % of ruthenium as estimated by thiourea method<sup>8</sup>. Though the percentage of the product formed in the case of the anchored catalyst (12.5% conversion in 15 min) is about six times less than that of the homogeneous catalyst (80% conversion in 15 min), the anchored catalyst can be regenerated and the regenerated catalyst is found to be as effective as the original one. Only very low concentrations of PIA are used (< 0.001 M) in the case of anchored catalyst, since excess of PIA leads to leaching.

Financial assistance from CSIR is gratefully acknowledged by KV.

### 29 August 1985

- 1. Schroder, M., Chem. Rev., 1980, 80, 187.
- 2. VanRheenan, V., Kelly, R. C. and Cha, D. Y., Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 29, 1973.
- 3. Corey, E. J., Danheiser, R. L., Chandrasekaran, S. and Siret, P., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 803.
- 4. Sharpless, K. B., Akashi, K. and Oshima, K., Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 29, 2503.
- 5. Brooks, R. T. and Sternglanz, P. D., Anal. Chem., 1959, 31, 561.
- Lappin, G. R. and Clark, L. C., Anal. Chem., 1951,
   23, 541.
- 7. Allum, K. G. and Hancock, R. D., Br. Patent, 1972, 1277737.
- 8. Ayres, G. H. and Young, F., Anal. Chem., 1950, 22, 1277.

# MID-HOLOCENE FOSSIL WOOD FROM BHIMA BASIN IN SOLAPUR DISTRICT, MAHARASHTRA.

#### T. D. TANDALE

Irrigation Projects and Water Resources Investigation Circle, Pune 411001, India.

MORE than ten carbon-14 dates for Pre-Early Holocene and two for Mid-Holocene alluvial phases