## INHERITANCE OF RESISTANCE TO CERCOSPORA SORGHI ELLIS AND EVERH. CAUSING GREY LEAF SPOT OF SORGHUM

GREY leaf spot of sorghum caused by Cercospora sorghi is a destructive disease causing loss in grain and fodder yield and also affecting quality of fodder (Porter<sup>1</sup>, Ramakrishnan<sup>2</sup>, Sharma and Jain<sup>2</sup>). The disease is favoured by wet weather (Ramakrishnan<sup>2</sup>). As the chemical control would be uneconomic, breeding resistant varieties seem to be more effective and cheap. Successful planning of resistance breeding programme depends on the availability of the resistant variety and the knowledge on the inheritance of resistance. An attempt has therefore teen made to study this aspect at the Regional Research Station, Dharwar, during Kharif 1979 and the results are reported here.

SPV-81, a tan plant variety possessing high level of resistance to foliar diseases, was selected and crossed to CSV-2, a susceptible high yielding variety. Subsequently the  $F_1$  was back crossed to both the parents to develop six generations. The parents, F,  $F_2$  and two back-crosses were planted on 15th July 1979 in a randomized block design with three replications.

TABLE I Disease reaction of parents,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and back-cross generations of SPV-81  $\times$  CSV-2

Generation	Disease score* (Number of plants						
	1	2	3	4	5		
P, (SPV-81)	74	20		••			
$P_2$ (CSV-2)				64	24		
$F_1$ (SPV-81 $\times$							
CSV-2)			40	58			
$BC_1$ (SPV-81 $\times$							
$CSV-2) \times CSV-2$	• •	• •		146	371		
$BC_2$ (SPV-81 $\times$							
$\overline{\text{CSV}}$ -2) $\times$ SPV-81	110	50	92	71			
$F_2$ (SPV-81 $\times$ CSV-	2) 41	121	138	324	25		

<sup>\*1.</sup> Traces or no symptoms, 2. upto 10% leaf area affected, 3. 11 to 25% leaf area affected, 4. 26 to 50% leaf area affected, 5. 51% and more leaf area affected.

Table II

Number of susceptible and resistant plants in  $F_2$  and test cross of SPV-81  $\times$  CSV-2 and the values of  $x^2$  based on 3:1 and 1:1 segregation in  $F_2$  and test cross

Cross	Total No.	Succeptible		Recistant		x2	P value
		Obseived	Expected	Obcerved	Expected		
SPV-81 × CSV-2	651	489	488.75	162	162.75	0.000454	0·9 to 1·0
(SPV-81 × CSV-2) × SPV-8	323 1	163	161 · 50	160	161.5	0.020000	0.9

Recommended dose of fertilizer was given and the insect control measures were adopted. The disease appeared in epiphytotic form as the artificial spray inoculation with rich conidial suspension was done four times on alternate days from 45th day of sowing. The observations were recorded at grain maturity following 1 to 5 scale where grades 1 and 2 are resistant and grades 3, 4 and 5 are susceptible. The data were statistically analysed and subjected to  $x^2$  test (Tables I and II).

The  $F_1$  showed susceptible reaction indicating its dominance over resistance. The back-cross to susceptible parent further confirmed this observation. It can be seen that the data in  $F_2$  and test cross generation had a good fit for ratio of 3:1 in  $F_2$  and 1:1 in test cross indicating simply monogenic inheritance

of the disease. This information should assist in breeding resistant varieties.

Department of Plant Pathology, K. H. ANAHOSUR. and Agricultural Botany, B. T. S. Gowda. College of Agriculture, S. H. Patil. Dharwar 580 005,

 Porter, R. H., Plant Dis. Reptr. Suppl., 1926, 46, 153.

December 12, 1979.

- 2. Ramakrishnan, T. S., Diseases of Millets, L.C.A.R., New Delhi, 1962, 152 pp.
- 3. Sharma, H. C. and Jain, N. K., Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 1975, B 81.