occurs followed by desiccation and death of the above ground portion. In case of severe infection even the underground stem dies resulting in thin crop stand and considerable decrease in yield. The main effect of the disease is evident on underground stolons. The symptoms on the underground stolons consist of pinkish-brown lesions in the earlier stage of the disease which gradually turn into dark brown-black patches. These lesions increase in size finally resulting into soft decay of the entire branch of the affected stolons.

A large number of isolations were made from infected stolons and wilted plants. Isolations from young lesions and infected plants mostly yielded Rhizoctonia whereas old and soft lesions gave a mixture of Rhizoctonia and species of Fusarium.

In order to test the pathogenicity, both dormant stolons as well as the intact potted plants were inoculated with various isolates. Stolons were inoculated by placing a drop of spore suspension or mycelial bit on a small wound made by a sterile scalpel. To test the pathogenicity on intact plants, stolons were planted in steam sterilized soil. After about 8 weeks the plants were inoculated by pouring a suspension of mycelium and spores near the base of the plants, stolons of which were injured slightly with a scalpel.

Three different species of Fusarium isolated from decaying dormant stolons proved nonpathogenic both to dormant stolons as well as to potted plants. All the isolates of Rhizoctonic produced characteristic lesions on the stolons and also caused wilting of potted plants (Fig. 1). The fungus was re-isolated from all the inoculated stolons. Inoculation of intact potted plants during the month of July resulted in yellowing and wilting of the plants six days after inoculation. When the infected plants were removed from the pots and the soil washed away from the roots, most of the stolons and roots were found to be decayed by the fungus. Isolation from these rotten stolons gave a pure culture The isolate of Rhizoctonia of Rhizoctonia. which has been found to be pathogenic has been identified as Macrophomina phaseoli (Maubl) Ashby on the basis of pycnidial characters.

These observations prove that the stolon rot of Japanese mint in India is caused by Rhizoctonia bataticola (Taub) Butler [Macrophomina phaseoli (Maubl) Ashby]. A similar disease of peppermint (Mentha piperita) and spear mint (Mentha spicata) has been reported by Green' from United States. He isolated species of

Rhizoctonia and Fusarium from the diseased stolons. However, the pathogenicity of these organisms was not established, and this is the first report of the establishment of the casual organism of stolon rot of Japanese mint.



FIG. 1. Healthy and wilted mint plants inoculated with Macrophomina phaseoli (Maubl) Ashby.

The authors wish to express their grateful thanks to Mr. Sutton of Commonwealth Mycological Institute, London, for the help in identifying the fungus and to Dr. K. Ganapathi, Director, for facilities and encouragement.

Regional Research Laboratory,

Jammu, October 8, 1964.

Akhtar Husain. K. K. Janardhanan.

BACTERIZATION OF RICE AND OKRA SEEDS WITH AZOTOBACTER CHROCCCCUM AND ESTABLISHMENT OF THE BACTERIUM IN THE RHIZOSPHERES

Bacterization of seeds of some plant species with Azotobacter and its establishment in the rhizosphere have been studied by some workers. 1-6 The results obtained so far are not conclusive on the role of Azotobacter in plant rhizosphere. Studies were made by the authors to examine the possibility of establishing A. chroococcum in the rhizospheres of rice and

^{1.} Green, R. J., U.S. Dept. of Agric, Plant, Dis. Repr., 1961, 45 (4), 288.

Okra (Hibiscus esculentus) by pre-treating the seeds with the bacterial culture and the results are reported here.

An isolate of A. chroococcum obtained from the Culture Collections of the Department of Agriculture, Annamalai University, was used in these studies. Surface sterilized rice and okraseeds were soaked for 18 hr. in a thick suspension in sterile distilled water of the bacterial cells. The suspension contained 82 million bacterial cells/ml. and after treatment the rice seeds carried 7,000 cells/gm. of seed on dry weight basis and the okra seeds 16,000 cells/gm. The treated seeds were dried in shade and sown in sterile or unsterile soil contained in 12 inch

pots. The soil was a clayey loam, with pH 7-2. collected from the University Experimental Farm. Both the treated and untreated seeds were sown in different sets of soils and the plants allowed to grow under identical condi-The rhizosphere samples from each tions. treatment were obtained at periodical intervals and the microbial population estimated following the procedure of Timonin.' The Azotobacter population was estimated using the nitrogenfree medium No. 77 (Allen8). The data on the Azotobacter population in rice rhizosphere are given in Table I and those of okra in Table II.

The results indicate that A. chroococcum could establish and multiply in sterile and

TABLE I Azotobacter population in the rhizosphere of rice crop arising from seeds pre-treated

with A. chroococcum (Population expressed as 10⁵/gm. of moisture-free soil) Age of the crop in days

		Age or the crop in days											
		10		20		3 0		40		50		60	
Treatment		P	R:S	P	R:S	P	R:S	P	R:S	P R	: S	P F	: S
Treated seeds sown in sterile soil:				_	_				••		-		
Rhizosphere	••	9•8 6•1	26.0	13 - 7	115.0	38.3	180.0	45-0	200-0	48-9	130-0	43.3	
Soil	• •	1.6		1.9		3.0		4.0		4-1		3.0	_
Treated seeds sown in unsterile soi	l:												
Rhizosphere	• •	$2 \cdot 5$	_	20· 5		85•0		96 -0		$123 \cdot 0$		88.0	
Soil Untreated seeds sown in unsterile	••	1 • 2	2.1	1.8	11-4	2.5	34.0	2.6	36•9	3-0	41 · 0	2.5	35-2
soil:													
Rhizosphere	••	1.8	6.0	10.8	27.0	18.5	30.8	23.0	33 • 0	28.0	35.0	30 - 6	33 ·3
Soil		0.3		0.4		0.6		0-7		0.8		0.9	

P = Population

R: S = Rhizosphere-Soil ratio

TABLE II

Azotobacter population in the rhizosphere of okra crop arising from seeds pre-treated with A. chroococcum

(Population expressed as 10⁶/gm. of moisture free soil)

Treatment			10	20		Age of the o		crop in days		50		60)
		Þ	R:S	p	R:S	P	R:S	P	R:S	P	R:S	P	R : S
Treated seeds sown in sterile so	il:				-		<u> </u>					·	·
Rhizosphere	• •	12.0		64.0		220.0		215.0		167-0		75•0	
a		1 0	12.0		35.6		55.0	5 2 · 4		47.7		37-5	
Soil	• •	1-0		1.8		4.1		4.1		3.5		2.0	
Treated seeds sown in unsterile s	ioil:												
Rhizosphere	3.0		15.0		92.0		108-0)	95·0 43·2		42.0		
			6.0		12.5		46.0	45.0				35.0	
Soil	• •	0.5		1.2		2.0		2-4		2.5		1 • 2	
Untreated seeds sown in unsteri													
soil:													
Rhizosphere	••	2•1		10.4		17.3		22 - 5	5	28.	0	25.0	
			7.0		20.0		34.6		37.5		40-0		35-7
Soil	• •	0-3		0.4		0.5		0.6		0.		0.7	

P = Populaiton
R: S = Rhizosphere soil ratio

unsterile soils. It could also establish in rhizospheres of rice and okra, growing in sterile and unsterile soils. In the two rhizospheres Azotobacter population increased in the early stages and dropped after 50 days in rice and after 40 days in okra. In the untreated check plants there were fewer Azotobacter populations, which did not decline much with age of the plants. Also the Azotobacter population got more readily established in sterile soil and in the rhizosphere of plants growing in sterile soil than in the unsterile soil.

These results indicate that A, chroococcum could be established in plant rhizosphere through the seed. Being present in the rhizosphere region in considerable numbers, it could influence the plant growth either directly or indirectly. It is interesting to note, however, that the normal Azotobacter flora of the region did not reduce with plant age, whereas the introduced A. chroococcum reduced with age. These results appear to support the findings of Tribunskaya.9 According to Clark4 the Azotobacter population in tomato rhizosphere declined rapidly, whereas Daste⁶ found that Azotobacter multiplied for sometime in the rhizosphere followed by a progressive decline. Zinoveva¹⁰ and Nalivaiko and Romeiko11 obtained results to support the establishment of Azotobacter in the rhizosphere of some plants. According to Federov and Tepper2 the failure of Azotobacter inoculations in the rhizosphere is due to either insufficient excretion of carbonaceous materials from the roots or due to other unfavourable conditions for the growth of the organisms, the chief determining factors being the activity of the plant root system and the bacterial physiology. Studies on the influence of plant root excretions on Azobacter population are needed for a better understanding.

S. NEELAKANTAN. Microbiology Laboratory, G. RANGASWAMI. Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Oct. 11, 1964.

- 9. Tribunskaya, A. Y., Mikrob'ol., 1954, 23, 283; Soils and Fert., 1954, 17, 1977.
- 10. Zinoveva, K. G., Mikrobiol. Zh. Akad. Nauk. Ukrain S.S.R., 1954, 2, 3; Soils and Fert., 1956, 19, 827.
- 11. Nalivaiko, G. S. and Romeiko, I. N., Dokl. Akad. S-Kh. Nauk., 1956, 9, 43; Soils and Fert. **1957**, **20**, 258.

GALL INDUCTION ON CYNODON DACTYLON BY USTILAGO

Cynodon dactylon (Dubgrass) is the common lawn grass of Delhi. Generally its inflorescence comprises four digitate fascicles which are slender and smooth. In this area it is frequently found infected by Ustilago cynodontis (see also Chona et al.2). The fungus is systemic in the host but produces smut spores mostly in the inflorescence! which shows various degrees of transformation. Of the four fascicles only one, two or three may be attacked by the fungus. Occasionally only a part of the fascicle shows smut spores and the remaining part bears normal flowers (see Mehta³). The smut sori frequently extend to the stalk of the inflorescence and the flag leaf. In short, the reaction of the host to this fungus is extremely variable.

To this variety of responses, I wish to add a hitherto unrecorded response the formation of green galls in the inflorescence. Only the fascicles are involved in gall formation and the inflorescence axis and the flag leaf remain unaffected. Galls were noticed in a small patch of grass in the Delhi University Campus, during the rainy season of 1964. The specimens represented in Figs. 1-6 were collected on August 20, 1964. Figure 1 shows an infected inflorescence with the usual symptoms. All the four fascicles have retained their slender appearance and bear smut sori. In Fig. 2 only one of the fascicles is seen turned into a gall while the other three are underdeveloped and uninfected. Generally all the four fascicles are transformed into short and thick galls (Figs. 3, 6). Occasionally the tip of the inflorescence axis bears only three galls (Fig. 4). A gall is an oval structure (1-2 cm. long and 3-5 mm. in diameter) consisting of a few thick glumes enclosing a mass of teliospores. glumes are leaf-like and are even differentiated into the sheath and the lamina (Fig. 3). The covering glumes separate from each other or degenerate at places (Fig. 6) thus exposing tho spore mass for dispersal by wind. The gall represented in Fig. 5 is exceptional in that the fascicles and the glumes cannot be made out and the entire inflorescence is represented by

^{1.} Jensen, H. L., Austr. J. Sci., 1942. 4, 117.

^{2.} Federov, M. V. and Tepper, E. S., Mikrobiol., 1954, 23, 275; Soils and Fert., 1954, 17, 1978.

^{3.} Timonin, M. I., Proc. Soil. Sci. Amer., 1948, 13. 242.

^{4.} Clark, F. E., Soil Sci., 1948, 65, 193.

^{5.} Cooper, R., Soils and Fert., 1959. 22, 327. 6. Daste, P., Rev. Gen. Bot., 1950, 57, 685; Soils

and Fert., 1951, 14, 624.

^{7.} Timonin, M. L., Can. J. Res. C., 1940, 18, 307. 8. Allen, O. N., Experiments in Soil Bacteriology,

Burgess Publ. Co., Minneapolis, Minn., U.S.A., 1953,