The length of the spine-bearing area (a) was studied in relation to the total length of the hind-femur (b). In the solitaria males the ratio b/a is 0.428 ± 0.006 and this figure is significantly less than in females (0.469 ± 0.007) . In the gregaria phase, however, the ratio in males (0.486 ± 0.007) is significantly higher than in females (0.453 ± 0.013) .

Sexual dimorphism in respect of the size, etc., of the spines was also studied. It was found that the size is larger in females than in males.

Fuller results will be published elsewhere.

Zoological Survey of India, M. L. Roonwal,
Calcutta. R. K. Bhanotar.

August 12, 1958.

- 1. Roonwal, M. L., Rec. Indian Mus., Delhi, 1949, 45 (2-3) (1947), 149-65.
- 2. and Nag, M. K., *Ibid.*, 1951, 47 (3-4) (1949), 265-75.
- 3. Misra, S. D., Nair, K. R. and Roonwal, M. L., *Indian J. Ent.*, New Delhi, 1952, 15 (2), 95-152.
- 4. Mukerji, S. and Chatterjee, S. N., *Ibid.*, New Delhi, 1956, **18** (2), 161-64.

ANOTHER CASE OF INTERACTION OF FACTORS IN CICER ARIETINUM L.

Choudhary (1957) described two tiny-seeded segregates in *Cicer* having small-sized leaves and tiny pods containing small seed. The tiny pod and seed were observed to be recessive to the normal pod and seed of the 'Chafa'

variety (Kadam, 1945) and differed from it by a single pair of factors.

A similar small-leaved and tiny-seeded spontaneous mutant was spotted by the author (Argikar: unpublished) in a crop of 'Chafa' gram in 1948-49. In 1953-54, this new mutant was crossed with the tiny-leaved from (Ekbote, 1937; Choudhary and Argikar, 1957; Argikar, 1958), having tiny, clustered pinnules but normal-sized pods and seed. The seed, leaf and leaflet measurements of the two mutants used in the cross and of their F₁ hybrid are presented in Table I along with those of the normal type 'Chafa'. For the sake of convenience, the tiny-leaved form will be denoted as Mutant A and the small-leaved one as Mutant B in this note.

The \mathbf{F}_1 hybrid of the cross between the two mutant forms had, therefore, *normal* leaves, leaflets and seed. The \mathbf{F}_2 segregation observed for the leaf character is presented in Table II.

Since the fit for a digenic ratio is good, it is proposed to designate the Mutant A as Tlv Tlv smlv smlv and the Mutant B as tlv tlv Smlv Smlv.

The F_3 frequencies and the genic symbolization of the types obtained are given in Table III.

The F_3 study confirms the F_2 findings. It will be seen that both the dominant genes Tlv and Smlv interact to produce normal leaf while Tlv alone produces small leaf as found in Mutant B, Smlv causing the leaf to be tiny as in Mutant A. The double recessive also produces tiny leaves.

TABLE I

Name of the type		Mean size of the seed in mm.		lean leaf ength in	Size of the leaflet in mm.		Mean 100 grain weight
	Length	n Wie		mm.	Length	Width	in mg.
Mutant A .	. 7.8		•7	32.5	5.0	1.5	11.79
Mutant B .			•9	35.0	6.5	4.0	4.20
	7-9		•8	40.0	8.5	5.0	12.00
Chafa (normal) .	. 8.0	5	•9	$45 \cdot 0$	8.5	5.0	13.50
			Table	II			
		No.	of plants ha	aving			
Name of the cross		Normal leaves	Small leaves	Tiny leaves	Total	$\mathbf{X_2}$	P value
Mutant A × Mutant E		247	88	97	432	• •	• •
Reciprocal of the above Total observed		90	38	53	181		••
		337	126	150	613	• •	• •
Expected on a 9:3:4 ratio		$344 \cdot 80$	$114 \cdot 94$	$153 \cdot 26$	613	1.59	$0 \cdot 20 - 0 \cdot 30$

TABLE III

F ₂ Phenotype	Genotype	No. of F ₃ progenies	F ₃ frequencies	Ratio	X ₂	P value
Normal- Leaved	Tlv Tlv Smlv Smlv	3	143 Normal-leaved plants	Breeding true	₽ •	• •
	Thy Thy Smlv smlv	8	272 Normal 178 Small-leaved	3:1 Fit not very good	••	• •
	Tly tly Smly Smly	8	284 Normal 110 tiny-leaved	3:1	$1 \cdot 790$	0 • 10 – 0 • 20
	Tly tly Smlv smlv	5	110 Normal 51 Small 53 tiny-leaved	9:3:4	4.070	0.10-0.20
Mutant B	Tiv Tiv smlv smlv	1	63 Small-leaved plants	Breeding true	••	••
	The the smile smile	3	97 Šmall 33 tiny-leaved	3:1	0.033	0.95-0.50
Mutant A	tlv tlv Smlv Smlv tlv tlv Smlv smlv tlv tlv smlv smlv	> 10	213 tiny-leaved plants	Breeding true	* -	- •

ter in the above cross indicated that the normal seed was dominant to the tiny seed, the difference being monogenic. When the two characters, viz., the leaf and seed types are considered together in all the plants that bore seed, it is found that one of the two dominant factors that affect the leaf is also responsible for the production of normal seed; the same character in its recessive condition produces tiny seed. From the recombinations obtained for the leaf and seed characters as are presented in Table IV, it will be observed that the factor

TABLE IV

	TABLE	1 V		
Normal-leaved	Small-leaved	Tiny -leaved	Tiny-leaved	Total
normal-seeded	tiny-seeded	normal-seeded	tiny-seeded	
Observed No. 310	102	97	$\begin{array}{c} 32 \\ 33 \cdot 80 \end{array}$	541 · 00
Expected on a 304.40	101 • 40	101 • 40		541 · 00
9:3:3:1 ratio	ween 0.9		The fit is	

Smlv causes the seed to be of normal size while smlv governs the *tiny* size, the factor Tlv having no effect on the seed character.

The normal leaf in Cicer could, therefore, be now designated as Slv Slv Tlv Tlv Nlv Nlv Glv Glv Alv Alv Smlv Smlv comprising of at least six pairs of factors in continuation of the five already mentioned (Argikar, 1958).

The author is grateful to Dr. R. D'Cruz for his kind help in scrutinising this note.

Bombay Agric. Dept., G. P. ARCIKAR.
Poona, September 10, 1958.

- 1. Argikar, G. P., Curr. Sci., 1958, 27, 183-84.
- 2. Choudhary, B. B. and Argikar, G. P., Ibid., 1957 26, 395.
- 3. -, Ibid., 1956, 25, 330-32.
- 4. Ekbote, R. B., Ibid., 1937, 5, 648-49.
- 5. Kadam, B. S., Indian Farming, 1945, 11-12, 539-40.

ULTRASONIC WELDER

of equipment in a 2,000-watt welder, part of the Sonoweld line which utilizes ultrasonics to join similar and dissimilar metals without fusion, and with very little external deformation.

Ultrasonic welding is a solid state joining process in which the materials to be joined are subjected to high frequency alternating vibra-

tions, which are generated by the transducer in the welding head system and transmitted through the coupling members to the work being done. The resulting joints are accomplished without fusion, and, in many materials, exceed the strength of similar joints made by standard resistance welding methods. J. Frank. Inst., October 1958.