Petrogenesis and geochemical characteristics of pyroxenite dykes in and around Salem Mafic–Ultramafic complex, southern India: an arc-related origin of Alaskan-type
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Arc-related origin of pyroxenites in association with Alaskan-type tectonics has been described in many mafic–ultramafic complexes across the globe. The Salem Mafic–Ultramafic Complex (SMUC) is one such Neoproterozoic Alaskan-type complex exposed at the northern margin of the Cauvery Suture Zone (CSZ), Southern Granulite Terrane, south India. The Complex consists of mafic and ultramafic sequences along with several occurrences of pyroxenite intrusions of varied thickness in the form of dykes. Similar pyroxenite dykes were also observed in and around the Complex at several locations within the basement hornblende gneiss, trending in the NE–SW and E–W directions. Petrography of these dykes indicated websterite variety with cumulate textures and reveals the dominance of clinopyroxene along with orthopyroxene, primary amphibole, minor plagioclase and oxide minerals like magnetite, ilmenite and spinels. The whole-rock chemistry of 10 representative samples showed enrichment of LIL elements (Sr, K, Rb, Th) and depletion of HFSE (Hf, Ti, Y, Yb) with normalized primitive mantle and N-MORB. The clinopyroxene mineral chemistry represented tholeiitic signatures with high Mg# values (Mg/(Mg + Fe)) up to 0.91, and the two-pyroxene thermobarometry of these pyroxenites yielded re-equilibrium crystallization temperatures of 820–932°C with moderate pressures at 11–12 kbar. Various tectonic discrimination plots of clinopyroxene mineral chemistry together with whole-rock chemistry favoured their origin under arc settings with the interactions of fluid-related subduction zone metasomatism relevant to Neoproterozoic Alaskan-type tectonics.
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Pyroxenite intrusions are common constituent lithologies in mafic–ultramafic complexes. Several views have been presented regarding their origin, e.g. metamorphic segregation of the host peridotite entrapments, remnants of subducted oceanic crust and melt-rock replacement reactions between older pyroxenites and peridotites and percolating melts1–3. Another view about their origin is that they occur as high-pressure crystal segregates of magmas by melting the subducted oceanic plate. It has also been reported that they form as crystal precipitates from asthenosphere-derived silicate magmas passing through the lithosphere4–5. These rock types are commonly associated with stratiform complexes, Alpine-type/ophiolite complexes and Alaskan-type complexes. In Alaskan-type complexes, the pyroxenites are important intrusive rocks associated with dunite, peridotite and mafic assemblages like gabbro, gabbroic anorthosite and basalt/amphibolite6. The Alaskan-type complexes are designated as ‘zoned’ ultramafic complexes, commonly emplaced in convergent plate margin settings6 and have evolved from the Neoarchean to the Cenozoic periods with unique geodynamic settings. They act as hosts for a platinum group of elements (PGEs) and nickel–chromium mineralization7–8. They constitute common mineralogy of ultramafic cumulate sequences like olivine, clinopyroxene, hornblende, plagioclases and rarely orthopyroxenes in association with accessory minerals of magnetite and ilmenite–chromitites6. Geochemically, these complexes are tholeiitic in composition and their SiO2 content ranges from 39.4% to 57.4% (ref. 6). They are enriched in large ion lithophile element contents (LILE) and depleted in high field strength elements (HFSEs) along with moderate enrichment of light rare earth elements (LREs)7,9,10. It was also inferred that these ultramafic–mafic intrusions involved Mg-rich hydrous parental magma from the subduction fluid-metasomatized lithospheric mantle source followed by subsequent crystal fractionation–accumulation processes7. The tectonic setting of these Alaskan-type complexes reveals that they tend to represent the products of subduction-derived arc magmas and/or arc-root complexes7,9–14.

The Southern Granulite Terrane (SGT) consists of various lithological assemblages, which evolved in different magmatic and tectonic events from the Archean to Neoproterozoic periods. The mafic–ultramafic complexes of SGT are mostly restricted to the Cauvery Suture Zone (CSZ) and a few occur at its northern margin (Figure 1a). The important ones include: (i) Bhavani–Mettupalliham Ultramafic
Complex (BMUC), (ii) Sittampundi Anorthosite Complex (SAC), (iii) Salem Mafic–Ultramafic Complex (SMUC), and some ophiolite complexes like Devanur, Manamedu, Aniyapuram and Agali15–21 (Figure 1). SMUC, known for its magnesite mineral potential, is located ~7 km north of Salem town, Tamil Nadu, India, which is at the northern margin of the CSZ. The Complex comprises serpentinitized dunite, peridotite, pyroxenite, hornblende, gabbro, amphibolite, mafic granulite, quartz monzonite and ultrapotassic rocks like shonkinite intrusions15. Recently, the tectonic evolution history of the Complex has been described as Neoproterozoic Alaskan-type origin22. At several locations in SMUC, numerous meter-scale pyroxenite dykes have intruded into the peridotite, serpentinitized dunite and hornblende gneisses in around the complex. They occur in the form of elongated to curvilinear dykes of varying thickness and length (Figure 1 b) and trend in several directions, but dominantly along NE–SW in the proximity of CSZ. The present study focuses on the detailed field, petrological, whole-rock geochemistry and mineral chemistry of pyroxenites in and around SMUC, and aims to understand their spatial relationship, origin and tectonic evolution and similarity with Neoproterozoic Alaskan-type evolution. The results provide insights into the characteristics of the southern margin of the Dharwar Craton.

**Geological setting**

The SGT represents a classic Precambrian high-grade terrane, subjected to multiple tectono-thermal events like subduction–collision tectonics, crustal anatexis and intrusions of ultramafic–mafic to felsic rocks with more polyphase metamorphic events.23,24, SGT preserves a vast Archean–Proterozoic crust of extensive high-grade granulite facies rocks like charnockites, two pyroxene granulites, associated hornblende granitic gneisses and granitoid rocks.17,23,24 (Figure 1). Based on the structure and isotopic evolution history, the terrane has been broadly distinguished into six crustal blocks from the north to south, viz. (i) Northern Block, (ii) Nilgiri Block, (iii) Salem–Madras Block; (iv) Madurai Block, (v) Trivandrum Block and (vi) Nagercoil Block25–28 (Figure 1 a). The detailed geology and tectonics of these blocks have been described by several workers.16,25–27. The terrane is pierced with two major tectonic features: (i) E–W trending CSZ in the north divides southern India into two discrete tectonic blocks, viz. Dharwar Craton in the north and SGT in the south,16,29, and (ii) NE–SW trending Achankovil Shear Zone (AKSZ) in the south and southwest of the terrane, which separates the Madurai and Trivandrum blocks. Based on the age equivalents and tectonic relationships of several lithologies from CSZ, it is described as the Cambrian suture of Gondwana and also known as the Archean–Proterozoic Terrane boundary.26,27. Recently, numerous dismembered Neoproterozoic ophiolites have been reported from CSZ, namely Manamedu Ophiolite Complex (MOC)18,30, Devanur Ophiolitic Complex (DOC)19, Aniyapuram Mafic–Ultramafic Complex (AMUC)20 and Agali Ophiolite Complex (AOC)21. These ophiolite complexes consist of a complete dismembered sequence of dunite, peridotite, pyroxenite, hornblende, gabbro, gabbro norite, anorthosite, mafic

---

**Figure 1.** (a) Geological map of Southern Granulite Terrane (SGT), modified after ref. 78: WDC, Western Dharwar Craton; EDC, Eastern Dharwar Craton; Tz, Transition Zone; CSZ, Cauvery Suture Zone; MSZ, Moyar Shear Zone; Mo, Moyar; Bh, Bhavani; AKSZ, Achankovil Shear Zone; SMUC, Salem Mafic–Ultramafic Complex; SAC, Sittampundi Anorthosite Complex; AMUC, Aniyapuram Mafic–Ultramafic Complex; MOC, Manamedu Ophiolite Complex; DOC, Devanur Ophiolite Complex and box represents the study area. (b) Geological map of SMUC and the adjoining regions showing pyroxenite intrusions and various lithological assemblages (modified after ref. 39 and published Geological Survey of India maps).
dyke, amphibolite, plagiogranite/trondhjemite and a thin pile of ferruginous chert. The ultra-high temperature metamorphic rocks and associated granulite-facies assemblages like orthopyroxene-bearing granulites, sapphire-bearing rock and calc-silicates have also been reported within CSZ. The petrogenesis and geochemistry of these complexes suggest that they are mantle-derived arc magmas evolved under supra-subduction zone tectonic settings. The age of these complexes ranges from Neoproterozoic to Neoarchean to Neoproterozoic periods: gabbros and plagiogranites of MOC (737 ± 23 to 782 ± 24 Ma and 744 ± 11 to 786 ± 7.1 Ma); trondhjemites of DOC (2528 ± 61 to 2545 ± 56 Ma); metagabbros of AOC (2547 ± 17 Ma to 2547 ± 7.4 Ma); and metagabbros of AMUC 2436 ± 22 Ma (ref. 33).

The SMUC is located in the Salem–Attur Shear Zone (SASZ) (Figure 1b), which represents the northern margin of CSZ, separating the Dharwar Craton to the north and SGT to the south. A network of several small-scale, narrow, shear zones trending NE–SW to N–S emerges from SASZ, traversing towards the north with intense tectonic fabrics (Figure 2a). Based on different kinematic indicators and the structural history, SASZ has been described as a transpressional–dextral strike–slip shear zone. It has been recently described as a 10 km thick, low-angle thrust zone and some workers have also described it as a vertical fault based on its predominant down-dip stretching lineations. The shear zone is marked by gently dipping mylonitic foliations with sub-horizontal lineations, S–C fabric structures, mantled porphyroclasts and intragranular faults. Based on the apparent offset position of structural grains between the Billirangan and Nilgiri Hills, earlier workers have also interpreted SASZ to be a dextral strike–slip shear zone. The dominant lithologies within SASZ and the adjacent region are represented by charnockite group of rocks, hypersthene gneisses, two-pyroxene granulites, quartz–feldspathic granulites, migmatitic gneiss, phyllonites, banded magnetite quartzites, mafic–ultramafic rocks and ultrapotassic dykes. These high-grade assemblages are extensively developed in the northern and northeastern parts of SASZ in the form of prominent hill ranges such as Shevroy hills, Yercaud hills and Kalrayan hills. Two-pyroxene granulites are the major rock type in this region; they are grey in colour and fine-to medium-grained with a typical ‘salt and pepper’ texture on the weathered surface. They consist of essential minerals such as diopside, hypersthene hornblende, and biotite along with minor amounts of quartz and plagioclase feldspar. Pyroxenite dykes occur in the form of elongated ridges of about 1–5 m height and of 3–5 m width, dominantly tending in the NE–SW direction. These are mostly located in the western part of SMUC around Toppur, south of Omalur, Tolor, Tirumalagiri village, and around Salem Steel Plant (Figure 2b and c). They are sheared and metamorphosed and show sharp contact with the basement hornblende gneiss. In the field, they are massive, coarse-to fine-grained with dark grey to light greenish colour (Figure 2c). In SMUC, they are associated with serpen
tinized dunite, peridotite and hornblendites in the form of very thin to thick layers (Figure 2d).

SMUC, popularly known as Chalk Hills of Salem/Salem Magnesite Complex, is about 7 km from Salem town in the north and is well known for magnesite mining. SMUC structurally overlies the older migmatic gneiss surrounded by high-grade assemblages like charnockites and two-pyroxene granulites (Figure 1b). The complex occurs as two intrusive bodies separated by gneisses, and granulites, and

Figure 2. Field photographs and thin section microphotographs of studied pyroxenite dykes and adjacent rocks in and around SMUC. a. A highly deformed basement hornblende gneiss showing an intense tectonic fabric within the Salem–Attur Shear Zone. b. A thick intrusion of pyroxenite dyke along with big boulders near Thoppur village, west of Salem town. c. Very to fine-grained with dark grey to light greenish coloured pyroxenite vein near Tirumalagiri village, west of Salem town; the boulders are excavated and dumped from the agriculture fields. d. A thick vein of pyroxenite intruded into the serpentinitized dunite at SMUC. e. Primary magmatic cumulus texture in pyroxenite, under XPL. f. Deformed grains of Cpx showing strong foliation fabric due to intense shearing in pyroxenite, under XPL. g. Presence of both primary and secondary amphiboles in pyroxenite, under PPL. h. Spinel with a dark greenish tinge in pyroxenite, under PPL. Cpx, Clinopyroxene; Opx, Orthopyroxene; Amph, Amphibole; Pl, Plagioclase; Mt, Magnetite; Ilm, Ilmenite; spnl, spinel; XPL, Crossed polarized light; PPL, Plane-polarized light; RFL, Reflected light.
both bodies are disposed in an en echelon fashion along the ENE–WSW direction parallel to the general foliation trend of basement gneisses. The larger one extends for about 26 sq. km area in the northern part and the smaller one extends for 4 sq. km areas in the southern part (Figure 1 b). The SMUC consists of a cumulative sequence of serpentinitized dunite dominantly in the centre, followed by layers of peridotite, olivine pyroxenite, wherlite, hornblende, gabbro and amphibolite intrusions. Several ultrapotassic dykes like shonkinite and lamprophyre varieties of younger intrusions also occur around the Complex. The detailed geological history and their petrogenesis have been described by many workers. Based on the chrome spinel chemistry from dunites and peridotites and whole-rock geochemical characteristics of the amphibolites together with zircon U–Pb geochronology of quartz monzonites from the Complex, it is described as Neoproterozoic (~800 Ma) Alaskan-type. Towards the west of SMUC in the basement gneiss, several thin dykes of pink syenites, basic dykes, quartz and pegmatite veins of younger emplacement were also observed, and these are structurally controlled.

Materials and method

Based on the field observations and published literature like Geological Survey of India maps and reports, a simplified geological map of the study area with detailed sketches was drawn (Figure 1 b). Twenty samples of less deformed and unaltered rocks from several dykes were collected for petrological and geochemical studies. After a detailed petrological study, 10 representative samples of pyroxenites were selected for whole-rock analysis. The samples were chipped manually using a hammer and cleaned by the process of ultrasonication with deionized water. Using a steel jaw crusher, the samples were crushed into coarse-grained pieces of <1 cm in length and pulverized to a fine powder using agate mortar. About 1–1.5 g of sample-pressed fine powder of pellets was prepared and the abundance of major elements was determined using WD-XRF (PAnalytical Axiosmax) at National Geophysical Research Institute, Hyderabad. To determine trace and REE concentrations, 0.50 mg of powder from each sample was taken in Savillex Teflon beakers along with a double-distilled acid mixture of HF + HNO₃ + HClO₄ + HCl and heated up to 48 h. After completely drying the samples, 10 ml of HNO₃ was added to each sample and diluted with double-distilled water with follow-up of standard procedures. Rh was used as an internal standard. The analysis was performed using HR–ICP–MS (Nu instruments atom, UK) at NGRI. For both XRF and ICP-MS techniques, international rock standards were used for calibration. Table 1 shows the analytical results. Three samples of previous analytical results of pyroxenites of SMUC (earlier study) were considered references. For mineral chemistry, two representative pyroxenites were chosen. Mineral analysis of clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene and amphiboles was performed on thin polished sections after proper carbon coating using Cameca SX-100 electron probe micro-analyser (EPMA) at NGRI. During analysis, the instrument maintained a 15 kV accelerating voltage with a probe current of 12 nA. The counting times of 40 sec for Si, Al, K, Na, Ca, Mg, Ti, Cr and Ni and 20 sec for Mn and Fe were followed. Data were reduced using ZAF (in JXA8621 and JXA-8200) and phi–rho–z (in SX100) correction methods. Major elemental compositions like SiO₂, Al₂O₃, TiO₂, NiO, Cr₂O₃, FeO, MnO, MgO, CaO, Na₂O, K₂O and P₂O₅ were estimated from the mineral cores. The following standards of natural and synthetic minerals include wollastonite-Ca–Si, aegirine-Na, K-feldspar–K, chromite–Cr, fayalite–Fe, corundum–Al, periclase–Mg, bunessite–Ni, pyrolusite–Mn and rutile–Ti were used for calibration. The analytical results are given in Table 2 (average) and in the supplementary material (in detail).

Petrography

The pyroxenites in and around SMUC, in hand specimens, appeared dark greyish to light green in colour, and coarse to fine-grained in nature. Under the microscope, most of them showed primary magmatic cumulus textures (Figure 2 e) with clinopyroxene (Cpx: 50–65%), orthopyroxene (Opx: 5–30%) and amphiboles (5–20%) occurring as common minerals along with oxide minerals like magnetite, ilmenite and spinels up to 1–2% in the rocks. The modal composition showed that they belonged to the websterite variety. Cpx was the dominant mineral, which was coarse-grained, exhibiting wavy extinction and exsolution texture. In some samples, Cpx was strongly deformed and elongated (Figure 2 f). Strain effects were clearly visible (Figure 2 f), and both Cpx and Opx were anointed. The presence of reaction rims around Cpx was also noted in some samples. Opx was relatively less compared to Cpx and in some samples, an equal proportion of both Cpx and Opx were observed. Opx was euhedral to subhedral in shape, representing granulations of the phenocrysts of Cpx (Figure 2 d). In some places, Opx also showed strong deformation and was broken into sub-grains at the margins. Amphibole was mainly hornblende; it occurred as an interstitial phase between pyroxene crystals and as an alteration product of Cpx and Opx (Figure 2 g). Most of the amphiboles were primary hornblends with wavy extinctions in a few samples and they were typically accompanied by opaque minerals like ilmenites and magnetite (Figure 2 f and g). In some samples, brown amphiboles were dominant. Feldspars were seen in minor amounts along the grain boundaries of both Cpx and Opx. Ilmenites are small, brownish-red in colour, anhedral to subhedral in shape with tiny inclusions of magnetite. In some samples, greenish-coloured spinels were also observed (Figure 2 h).
Table 1. Whole rock analysis of pyroxenites in and around the Salem Mafic–Ultramafic Complex (SMUC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>52.37</td>
<td>53.27</td>
<td>46.17</td>
<td>50.98</td>
<td>47.54</td>
<td>51.94</td>
<td>53.67</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td>46.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>15.36</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.45</td>
<td>99.64</td>
<td>98.81</td>
<td>99.11</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>99.03</td>
<td>98.97</td>
<td>99.21</td>
<td>99.26</td>
<td>99.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The pyroxenites showed moderate SiO$_2$ (44.41–53.27 wt%) and Al$_2$O$_3$ varied from 1.93 to 7.56 wt%. They showed relatively higher MgO (15.13–21.42 wt%) and FeO (6.64–12.85 wt%) content. The alkali content was relatively low with Na$_2$O of 0.57–2.38 wt% and K$_2$O less than 1 wt%, except for one sample with 1.84 wt%. When compared with the pyroxenites of orogenic and ophiolitic sequences worldwide (Figure 3), they were richer in CaO (8.60–19.02 wt%) content with moderate TiO$_2$ (0.19–1.0 wt%). The trace element concentration was relatively higher; the Ni contents ranged from 274 to 877 ppm and Cr from 1139 to 2285 ppm. V varied from 87 to 278 ppm and Co from 29 to 92 ppm. The LIL elements such as Ba showed low to slightly high concentration (20.79–1072 ppm) and Sr from 19 to 487 ppm. Rb concentration was very low from (0.79–13 ppm; except for one sample of 85 ppm) and K/Rb ratio was relatively higher (179–936; one sample with a higher value of 2206). The incompatible trace elements like Nb, Ta and Hf were relatively low in abundance. However, zircon varied from 18.82 to 64.96 ppm, and the Zr/Y ratio ranged from 1.38 to 3.7. The Ti/Zr ratio ranged from 31 to 113, Nb/Tb ratio from 0.43 to 4.4 (expect one sample of 102) and Zr/Nb ratio from 7.11 to 189 (one sample of a higher ratio of 490). The total REE concentration of these pyroxenites was relatively higher (11.52–91.14). On the chondrite normalization spider plot, they showed progressive LREE enrichment (Figure 4a) and were strongly fractionated by both LREE and middle rare earth elements (MREE) ((La/Gd)$_{CN}$ = 0.55–3.3). From MREE to heavy rare earth elements (HREE), they exhibited slightly negative slopes ((Gd/Lu)$_{CN}$ = 1.0–2.71) along with negative Eu anomalies ((Eu/Eu*)$_{CN}$ = 0.7–1.1; except for one sample of 2.34). The primitive mantle (PM) and N-MORB normalization of diagrams (Figure 4b and c) respectively of these pyroxenites represent strong enrichment in LILE such as Cs, Rb, Ba, K and also other fluid-mobile elements of Th, U and Pb (except a few samples) compared to the neighbouring lithophile elements. HFSE (Nb, Y, Zr, Hf and Ti) were strongly depleted in both PM and MORB normalization, particularly with low Zr ratios ((Zr/Hf)$_{PM}$ = 0.36–1.3).

### Mineral chemistry

**Clinopyroxene:** Cpx analysis was carried out for two samples (SL-4 and SL-9) and the results showed that these were highly Mg-rich with a nearly uniform concentration in terms of Fe–Mg ratio (Table 2). They exhibited higher Mg# of 0.84–0.98 (Mg# = Mg/(Mg + Fe$^2$)) and lower Ti

### Analytical results

**Whole-rock chemistry**

The pyroxenites showed moderate SiO$_2$ (44.41–53.27 wt%) and Al$_2$O$_3$ varied from 1.93 to 7.56 wt%. They showed relatively higher MgO (15.13–21.42 wt%) and FeO (6.64–12.85 wt%) content. The alkali content was relatively low with Na$_2$O of 0.57–2.38 wt% and K$_2$O less than 1 wt%, except for one sample with 1.84 wt%. When compared with the pyroxenites of orogenic and ophiolitic sequences worldwide (Figure 3), they were richer in CaO (8.60–19.02 wt%) content with moderate TiO$_2$ (0.19–1.0 wt%). The trace element concentration was relatively higher; the Ni contents ranged from 274 to 877 ppm and Cr from 1139 to 2285 ppm. V varied from 87 to 278 ppm and Co from 29 to 92 ppm. The LIL elements such as Ba showed low to slightly high concentration (20.79–1072 ppm) and Sr from 19 to 487 ppm. Rb concentration was very low from (0.79–13 ppm; except for one sample of 85 ppm) and K/Rb ratio was relatively higher (179–936; one sample with a higher value of 2206). The incompatible trace elements like Nb, Ta and Hf were relatively low in abundance. However, zircon varied from 18.82 to 64.96 ppm, and the Zr/Y ratio ranged from 1.38 to 3.7. The Ti/Zr ratio ranged from 31 to 113, Nb/Tb ratio from 0.43 to 4.4 (expect one sample of 102) and Zr/Nb ratio from 7.11 to 189 (one sample of a higher ratio of 490). The total REE concentration of these pyroxenites was relatively higher (11.52–91.14). On the chondrite normalization spider plot, they showed progressive LREE enrichment (Figure 4a) and were strongly fractionated by both LREE and middle rare earth elements (MREE) ((La/Gd)$_{CN}$ = 0.55–3.3). From MREE to heavy rare earth elements (HREE), they exhibited slightly negative slopes ((Gd/Lu)$_{CN}$ = 1.0–2.71) along with negative Eu anomalies ((Eu/Eu*)$_{CN}$ = 0.7–1.1; except for one sample of 2.34). The primitive mantle (PM) and N-MORB normalization of diagrams (Figure 4b and c) respectively of these pyroxenites represent strong enrichment in LILE such as Cs, Rb, Ba, K and also other fluid-mobile elements of Th, U and Pb (except a few samples) compared to the neighbouring lithophile elements. HFSE (Nb, Y, Zr, Hf and Ti) were strongly depleted in both PM and MORB normalization, particularly with low Zr ratios ((Zr/Hf)$_{PM}$ = 0.36–1.3).
Orthopyroxene: Opx analysis was carried out from the same two samples of less alteration. They showed the homogeneous composition of Fe–Mg ratio (Table 2) and magnesium content (Mg#) varied from 0.79 to 0.84. These were enstatitic in nature and showed slightly higher compositional ranges of En: 64.6–83.1 mol%, and lower concentration of Wo: 0.15–1.8 mol% (except one grain with a slightly higher content of 11.22 mol%), and average composition of Fs: 16.4–24.18 mol%. The TiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ contents varied from 48.13 to 53.28 wt% and 2.1–4.57 wt% respectively. The TiO$_2$ contents were very low (0.02–0.1 wt%) and CaO was below 1 wt%, except for one grain with 5.48 wt%. The Na$_2$O and K$_2$O contents were less than 0.5 wt%. On the trilinear diagram of En–Wo–Fs (Figure 5 a), these orthopyroxenes plot in the enstatite field.

Amphibole: Amphibole analysis was carried out from one sample (SL-4). Many of these are primary amphiboles which show slightly higher Mg# (0.79–0.92) and Na + K$^+$ in the range (0.4–0.9). Si ranged from 5.98 to 8.83 wt% and FeO from 7.88 to 9.81 wt%. Al$_2$O$_3$ varied from 6.51 to 15.94 wt% and Ca# was slightly higher (0.82 to 0.92) in these amphiboles. The Mg# versus Si and Al$_{iv}$ versus (Na + K) plots (Figure 6 a and b respectively) indicate that
these amphiboles belong to the pargasite group, except for one grain plotted in the edenite field, possibly due to breakdown rim. NiO ranged from 0.02 to 0.21 wt%. On the Ca + Na + K versus Si diagram (Figure 6c)\textsuperscript{44}, their composition is plotted in the field of igneous amphiboles. Further, the (Na + K)\textsuperscript{A} versus Al\textsubscript{(IV)}, (Na + K)\textsuperscript{A} versus TiO\textsubscript{2}, and (Na/Ca + Na) versus (Al/Si + Al) plots (Figure 6d–f respectively)\textsuperscript{35}, strongly resemble the primary amphibole trends.

**Geothermobarometry:** The studied rocks were subjected to deformation and high-grade metamorphism and hence there is a possibility of cation exchange of highly mobile elements (e.g. Ca, Na), which results in some compositional variations. There are several geothermobarometers available in the literature for these kinds of igneous rocks\textsuperscript{46,47}. In this study, for the estimation of temperature and pressure, two-pyroxene thermobarometry\textsuperscript{47} and Cpx barometry\textsuperscript{48} have been used. The Cpx with higher Mg\# values (above...
Figure 6. Binary diagrams of amphibole classification in studied pyroxenites. (a) Mg# versus Si plot. (b) Alv, versus Na + K plot. (c) Ca + Na + K (apfu) versus Si (apfu) plot. (d) Occupancy of Na + K in 3-site versus occupancy of Al in the tetrahedral site. (e) Na + K versus TiO₂ content (wt.%). (f) (Na/Ca + Na) versus 2Al/(Si + Al) plot. For comparison, various Alaskan-type layered intrusions are shown in the figure; square represents Karayasmak ultramafic-mafic association, Northeast Turkey, diamond represents the Gabbro Akarem mafic-ultramafic complex, Eastern desert, Egypt, circle represents the mafic-ultramafic Abu Hamamid intrusion from south eastern desert, Egypt, and triangle represents the amphiboles from the pyroxenites in and around SMUC of the present study.

For these systems offers better results. For P-T estimation, the homogeneous composition of Opx and Cpx concentration was selected. The moderate re-equilibrium temperatures were obtained from each rock; sample SL-9 showed a temperature range 860–908°C (average 880°C) and pressure of 10.5–11.74 kbar (average 11.17 kbar). Sample SL-4 showed the temperatures range 820–931°C (average 887°C) along with pressure of 10.5–12.23 kbar (average 11.6 kbar). The obtained temperature and pressure were close to the equilibrium temperature of 1022–1088°C for wehrlite and ~900°C for olivine clinopyroxenite, and pressure of 11 kbar determined by earlier workers from the SMUC.

Discussion

There are several processes described in the literature for the genesis of different types of pyroxenites, which are commonly associated with the ophiolitic complexes Alaskan-type complexes, and layered ultramafic complexes.
These studies revealed that the pyroxenites commonly intruded the mantle peridotites in the form of thin to thick veins or dykes and layers on various scales and also occurred as cumulates related to crustal magma chambers. Orthopyroxenite, clinopyroxenite and websterites were the important varieties in this category, with a representation of up to 5% in the upper mantle. The subduction of the oceanic lithosphere and recycling of layers within the ‘subduction zone through mantle convection’, and the interactions of melts or fluids in the upper mantle can also result in the formation of pyroxenites. Our field observations suggest that the pyroxenites in and around SMUC represent intrusives in the form of thick veins and dykes within the ultramafic rocks as well as in the basement migmatic gneiss. Petrography reveals that these are cumulates with the dominance of clinopyroxene and the model composition indicates websterite variety. The clinopyroxene chemistry represents low TiO₂ (<1.0 wt%) and Na₂O (<1.5 wt%) contents, typical of igneous characteristics described from non-ultrabasic rocks of tholeiitic signature. The presence of low TiO₂ and Na₂O contents also reflects their origin under sub-oceanic source. Various tectonic discrimination diagrams of clinopyroxene chemistry, such as Al₂O₃ versus TiO₂ wt%; Ti + Cr versus Ca and Ti versus Al₂O₃ plots, reveal their formation under arc environment in subduction zone tectonics (Figure 7a, c and d). Similarly, the clinopyroxene composition on a multivariate statistical diagram for discriminating tectonic zones of various groups of mafic–ultramafic rocks represented garnet facies (zones I and II), spinel facies (zones III and IV) and plagioclases facies (zones V, VI and VII), suggesting the island arc origin (VII) for these pyroxenites (Figure 7b).

The whole-rock chemistry showed the presence of different Mg# (54–74), coupled with a relatively higher concentration of Cr (1139–2742 ppm) and Ni (139–876 ppm) along with LIL enrichment (Sr, K, Rb, Ba, Th) and HFSE (Ti, Hf, Y, Yb) depletion (Table 1). It also showed negative Nb anomalies (Figure 4b and c), with higher ratios of Zr/Nb (7–490) and La/Nb (2–55) and lower ratios of Ce/Pb (0.3–3.4, except for one sample with 14.35) and Th/La (<0.5; Table 1). The characteristic features reported above reflect the arc origin of these pyroxenites derived from subduction zone settings. The geochemical characteristics of pyroxenites are also well correlated with the geochemistry of mafic magmas of SMUC, which was described earlier as an Alaskan-type complex of Neoproterozoic evolution. It was also reported that ultramafic rocks like dunites from SMUC might have formed through mantle melting, while wehrlites and olivine clinopyroxenites formed through subduction as progressive cumulative products of high magnesium melts. The foregoing strongly indicates that these pyroxenite intrusions might have been closely associated with the arc environment of Alaskan-type. A similar type of arc-related origin of pyroxenites was also described from many Alaskan arc-type environments such as North America-Canada, Tonsina Ultramafic-Mafic Complex, Alaska, ultramafic–mafic complexes of southeastern Alaska; intra-oceanic arc-related cumulates from southern New Zealand and pyroxenite-rich peridotites from Cabo Ortegal-Spain, Galmoenan Pyroxenite–Dunite Plutonic Complex of Koryak Highland, Far East Russia, the western part of Cuddapah Basin, Bharwara Craton and Alaskan-type complexes of Eastern Desert, Egypt.

The Alaskan-type of intrusions vary in composition and the crystallization history of such magmas remains controversial. One is that they derived from fractionation of alkaline ultramafic parental magmas and the other, through fractional crystallization of H₂O-saturated sub-alkaline island-arc basaltic magmas. Along with other ultramafic rocks from SMUC, these pyroxenites are subjected to metamorphism from low-grade to upper amphibolite facies rather than serpentinization. The higher Mg# content of clinopyroxenes from these pyroxenites indicates that they might have evolved through crystal fractionation–accumulation processes of Mg-rich, hydrous, parental magmas as typical Alaskan-type intrusions (Figure 5e). Several workers have well documented such Mg-rich mafic and ultramafic intrusions from many Alaskan-type complexes. The Cr-spinel chemistry from dunite as well as peridotites and the Cpx mineral chemistry of gabbro and amphibolites from SMUC point its origin to Alaskan-type. The results of the present study like the plot of Mg# versus Al₂O₃ (Figure 7e) for Cpx from these pyroxenites, substantiate our earlier conclusion of Alaskan-type evolution under relatively high oxygen fugacity (Figure 7f). The occurrence of amphiboles from these intrusions is considered evidence for primary igneous phases. Comparative plots of these amphibole compositions with various Alaskan-type layered ultramafic to mafic intrusions/complexes of magmatic amphiboles indicate close similarities (Figure 6c–f), further strongly supporting the evolution under Alaskan-type.

The estimated two-pyroxene thermobarometry of these pyroxenites showed re-equilibrium temperatures of 820–932°C with moderate pressure of 10–12 kbar. A similar temperature of 1022–1088°C was also reported from the wehrlite and 900°C from olivine, along with a pressure of 9.8–10.6 kbar for Cpx evolution. It is also suggested that the extracted high magnesium melts were responsible for the generation of wehrlite as well as olivine clinopyroxenite cumulates under the pressure of 11 kbar, which were equilibrated at shallower levels near the base of the crust. The Ti-rich gabbros from SMUC also showed a similar temperature of 893–1014°C and medium pressure of 11–13 kbar respectively. Similar P–T conditions of different lithologies obtained for the rocks around SMUC favour a common tectonic history.

It is well explained from many petrogenic models of Alaskan-type of intrusions that a heterogeneous mantle source can be related to variations in subducting slab components of aqueous fluids or melts. These metasomatic agents are the main cause of change in trace elemental concentration and isotopic records of the metasomatized mantle due to...
Figure 7. Tectonic classification diagrams of clinopyroxenes of studied pyroxenites. a, TiO$_2$ versus Al$_z$ plot$^{62}$; the fields of the Alaskan-type complex is after Quetico$^9$; Tulameen complex$^{13}$; Gabbro Akarem complex$^{13}$. Non-alkaline and alkaline boundary fields are after$^{48}$ and Al$_z$ refers to the percentage of Al in the tetrahedral sites (100 $\times$ Al$_{IV}$)/2; Arc cumulate, ophiolite and Mid-Atlantic Ridge trends fields from$^{85}$. b, P1 versus P2 diagram for pyroxenitic Cpx; fields I–VII and parameters are as defined ref. 63: P1 = –0.02SiO$_2$ + 0.09TiO$_2$ + 0.64Al$_2$O$_3$ + 0.59Cr$_2$O$_3$ + 1.35FeO + 9.65MnO–0.50MgO + 0.29CaO + 2.26Na$_2$O + 8.0 and P2 = 0.93TiO$_2$ + 0.07Al$_2$O$_3$ + 1.23Cr$_2$O$_3$ – 0.46FeO + 1.74MnO + 0.36MgO + 0.10CaO – 1.66Na$_2$O + 8.0. Fields: I, Peridotite xenoliths in kimberlites; II, Eclogites and Pyrope-bearing pyroxenites in kimberlites; III, Spinel peridotites; IV, Spinel pyroxenites; V, Island arc peridotites; VI, Island arc pyroxenites and VII, Plagioclase-bearing xenoliths in volcanic rocks from island arcs. Data sources: island arc and mid-ocean ridges$^{63}$, Izu-Bonin-Mariana forearc$^{86}$, Ronda pyroxenites field after$^{87}$; MARK (Mid-Atlantic Ridge Kane Fracture Zone)$^{88}$. c, Ti versus Al$_{al}$ plot of Cpx$^{61}$. d, Ca versus Ti + Cr plot$^{61}$. e, Mg# versus Al$_2$O$_3$ wt% plot; the field of Alaskan-type intrusions-southeastern Alaska and Tonsina Alaskan ultramafic-mafic rocks after$^{6,12}$ are used for comparison. f, Al$_{al}$ + Na versus Al$_{al}$ + 2TiCr plot for oxygen fugacity determination$^{89}$. Diamond represents Cpx concentration of studied pyroxenites.
Figure 8. Discrimination plots of whole rock data. a, (Hf/Sm)$_{PM}$ versus (Ta/La)$_{PM}$ after ref. 73. b, Sr/Th versus Th/Ce after ref. 73. c, Sm/Yb versus Sm. d, Sm/Yb versus La/Sm. In (a), PM represents the values normalized to the primitive mantle; the subduction and carbonatite-related metasomatism fields after ref. 73. c and d, The melting curves are after ref. 90, calculated with different starting materials (garnet lherzolite, garnet-spinel lherzolite and spinel lherzolite) using the non-modal batch melting equations. The dashed and solid lines represent the melting trends for depleted mantle (DM, Sm = 0.3 ppm and Sm/Yb = 0.86, after ref. 91) and enriched sub-continental lithospheric mantle (SCLM, Sm = 0.6 ppm and Sm/Yb = 0.96, after ref. 75) respectively. Partition coefficients are from the compilation of earlier workers$^{91}$. Degrees of partial melting are based on the given sources which are marked beside the curves. Square symbol represents Depleted Mantle concentration, Circle represents PM concentration, Diamond represents SCLM concentration, and triangles represents the concentrations of studied pyroxenites.

to the preference of LILE over HFSE to enter aqueous fluids$^{8}$. This results in recognition of a host of well-recorded elemental ratios capable of monitoring potential fluid contributions to the magma sources. The (Ta/La) and (Hf/Sm) primitive normalized ratios of these pyroxenite intrusions were 0.1–0.5 (except for one sample of 2.1) and 0.31–1.3 respectively, on plotting with Hf/Sm$_{PM}$ versus Ta/La$_{PM}$ (Figure 8a)$^{73}$, they reflect the dominance of metasomatized fluid addition from the mantle source. The Sr/Th ratios were highly elevated and Sr/Th versus Th/Ce plot (Figure 8b)$^{72}$ also reveals a major contribution from an aqueous fluid component for pyroxenite magmas. Distinctive elemental abundances and their ratios in the basaltic magmas are helpful for the characterization of the source mineralogy of the mantle. In this aspect, such elemental abundances like REE concentrations and their ratios are useful proxies because of their progressive increase incompatibility from LREE to HREE for characterization of source mineralogy$^{75}$.

The La/Sm and Sm/Yb ratios of these pyroxenites varied from 0.67 to 3.16 and 0.95 to 3.6 respectively. On La/Sm versus Sm/Yb and Sm/Yb versus Sm plots (Figure 8c and d) respectively, these intrusions fall between the garnet and spinel lherzolite melting trajectories, suggesting the presence of garnet-dominated mineral assemblages in their source region with varying degrees of partial melting of a fertile subcontinental lithospheric mantle source.

CSZ has been described as a Neoproterozoic Gondwana suture and its further westward continuation has been observed in Madagascar (Betsimisaraka suture) as well as in the African continent$^{27}$. Neoproterozoic, subduction-related magmatism associated with high-grade assemblages, including two-pyroxene granulites, charnockites, ophiolites and granitoids of arc environment of equivalent age groups, has been described by several workers within the CSZ$^{17,18,22,25–28}$. The ultrapotassic rocks like shonkinites with ages of 808 ± 18 Ma were also described from SMUC$^{40}$. The U–Pb zircon
ages from quartz monzonite intruding hornblendites from SMUC also yielded similar ages of 819 ± 2.4 Ma (ref. 22), and the evolution of the Complex has been described as Neoproterozoic Alaskan-type. Further, Neoproterozoic (~800 Ma) ages of dismembered ophiolites and arc magmatism have been reported from many parts of the CSZ18,30,76. Cryogenian alkaline magmatism of similar age (~800 Ma) equivalents was also reported from the western parts of CSZ27. All these evidences strongly reveal a major Neoproterozoic event of subduction-related arc volcanism at the interface of the SG and the southern margin of the Dharwar Craton, resulting in the development of pyroxenites in and around SMUC, genetically related to the evolution of CSZ.

**Conclusion**

The variety pyroxenite dykes in and around SMUC are of websterite and consist primarily of Cpx, along with Opx, primary amphiboles, magnetites, ilmenite and spinels. The geochemical characteristics of these pyroxenites indicate an enrichment of LILE and depletion of HFSE with negative Nb anomalies similar to the arc-related origin of magmas. The Cpx mineral chemistry reveals the tholeiitic signature of the magmas that are derived under the arc environment of Alaskan-type evolution in association with the interactions of subduction-related fluids. The two-pyroxene thermobarometry shows relatively lower re-equilibrium temperatures of 820–932°C, and moderate to slightly higher pressures of 10.5–12 kbar for their evolution. The geochemical results of pyroxenites from the present study in and around SMUC are similar to those of amphibolites and gabbros of the SMUC. The above results together with the available ages from the complex suggest that there might be a possible syn-tectonic evolution of these pyroxenite intrusions along with the host rocks in and around the complex during the Neoproterozoic.
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