Controlled traffic farming: an approach to minimize soil compaction and environmental impact on vegetable and other crops
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Mechanized farming for vegetable production has evolved as an integral part of commercial agriculture during the past few decades. As a first step towards mechanized farming the use of tractors in Indian agriculture has increased by 528% during the period 1990–91 to 2018–19 from 0.15 to 0.8 million/year. Undoubtedly, use of such technologies has made vegetable as well as foodgrain production a profitable venture by easing land preparation, weed management and other intercultural operations, crop harvesting, etc. However, their continuous use in production fields has resulted in the substantial compaction of soil along the wheel lines of tractors and similar heavy machinery. Reports indicate a significant yield loss (13–73%) owing to soil compaction because it restricts root penetration into the soil, limiting nutrient and water uptake by the plants, and also potential water stagnation, which can limit the normal activities of respiring roots leading to retarded plant growth and root diseases. In this context, control traffic farming (CTF), which aims to reduce the area affected by the operation of heavy machinery that otherwise lead to soil compaction, brings a substantial value to the current global focus of sustainable and precision farming. CTF attempts to restrict the spatial movement of machinery wheels to fewer operation lanes during and across production cycles for a long time and allows specifically the undisturbed areas of soil for crop production. Research confirms a significant improvement in crop yield in different crop production systems worldwide and reduction in methane emission due to soil absorption (372–2100%) compared to random traffic farming. In this article, we discuss the advantages of CTF in terms of root growth, nutrient mobilization and energy efficiency of the vegetable production system, and also argue on its scope in the Indian context, given the situation that no or only a few studies have been reported from the country.
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Vegetables are known to be highly sensitive to soil physical conditions such as soil compaction (SC). During the initial phase of crop growth, the effect of SC on root development and penetration into the soil is more pronounced than in the later stages. However, interestingly, the initial phases of standard vegetable production strategies require heavy field operations such as tillage, planting, spraying and other intercultural and harvest operations in a timely manner, which necessitates the employment of powerful and heavy machinery in order to reduce the cost of labour and dependence on their availability. From an engineering perspective, pursuit of a higher operation efficiency and capacity of the farm equipment has gradually led to the increase in vehicle weight, which consequently resulted in traffic-induced SC. Given the reliance on heavy machinery in the current vegetable production systems, the problem of SC is difficult to avoid, especially during field preparation and harvest, because of the fact that a large number of vegetable crops are grown to the dry maturity stage and their harvesting usually occurs when the soil moisture conditions are naturally ideal for compaction. Besides this...
inherent limitation, the lack of integration of track gauge, large differences in the working width between individual machines and various harvesting methods using heavy machinery used for different vegetable crops have contributed to SC. In this context, controlled traffic farming (CTF), a crop production strategy in which the crop-growing zone and traffic-lanes, i.e. the wheel movement areas are permanently and distinctly separated, promises great potential\(^3\). The crop-growing zone remains unaffected and maintains the soil physical properties, as a result of reduced bulk density and penetration resistance, which facilitates greater root development, maintenance of soil organic carbon (SOC), and improvement in water infiltration and hydraulic conductivity\(^4\). The traffic lanes are primarily maintained for the movement of machinery and surface drainage, and become more compacted by repeated operations which indeed improve the operational efficiency of the machinery when the soil is wet or irrigated\(^5\). Furthermore, the controlled traffic treatments have demonstrated improvements in the soil performance, and resulted in 20–60% fewer tillage operations compared to conventional production systems\(^6\).

Indian agriculture is limited by the severe problem of land fragmentation, where majority of the farmers have small or marginal land holdings. It may be argued that the use of CTF in such scenarios may result in economic setback to farmers and the farm mechanization process. However, states like Punjab, Haryana and western Uttar Pradesh, have gradually shifted to the heavy reliance on farm mechanization involving tractors, harvester, combiner, etc. It is evident from Figure 1 that the use of tractors for different farm operations has increased radically during the last few decades. Indian agriculture has witnessed an increase in the annual tractor procurement by 528% during the span of the last 26 years, viz. 1990–91 to 2018–19 from 0.15 to 0.8 million/year (ref. 7). It is expected that the use of latest technologies for large-scale vegetable production, which primarily involves utilizing heavy machinery, will also increase in fulltime. In this situation, random traffic farming (RTF) is certainly expected to adversely affect the soil aggregate formation leading to SC\(^8\). In this context it is important to mention that, unfortunately, most of the studies related to RTF/CTF have been confined to Australia, USA and a few other developed nations, but only a few or no studies have been reported from India. The use of CTF can provide extended opportunities for maximizing production efficiency by protecting the soil ecosystem and thereby increasing crop yield sustainably. Thus, in India, there exists a vast opportunity to explore the applicability and profitability of CTF with the aim to maximize the sustainability of production systems with a broad vision of conservation agriculture.

**Soil compaction creates multi-stress environments for vegetable production**

SC can be defined as ‘the process by which the soil particles are rearranged to decrease void space and bring them into closer contact with one another, thereby, increasing the bulk density\(^10\). SC alters the soil structural behaviour leading to low porosity along with less pore continuity and connectivity thereby affecting the chemical properties and microorganism biodiversity of the soil, and consequently the vegetable crop growth (Figure 2). SC favours the anaerobic condition of the root by restricting the soil gas diffusivity and water movement\(^11\). Root and tuber vegetables are highly sensitive to compaction as root growth becomes very slow or completely ceases when penetrometer resistance exceeds 2.0 MPa (ref. 12). Orzolek\(^13\) compared the yield response of nine vegetable crops to SC and found an average yield reduction of 13% (watermelon) to 75% (snapbean). Cabbage, cucumber and sweet corn showed yield reduction of 65%, 66% and 55% respectively, due to SC.

Table 1 presents the negative impacts of SC on plant growth and development by disturbing the soil physico-chemical and biological properties and gaseous exchanges. Studies have proved that the traffic-induced compaction mostly affects the upper layer of soil (top SC) but it is also observed at extended depths (sub-SC; Figure 3). The sub-SC extends the degree of soil degradation and its alleviation is difficult due to unavailability of suitable technologies at greater soil depths, which worsens the problem\(^9\).

**Plant root physiological responses to SC**

Prolonged water stagnation on the compacted soils adversely affects root metabolism, increases the incidence of root diseases\(^14\) and enhances activity of anaerobic denitrifying bacteria that further reduce nitrogen (N) availability to the crops\(^15\). SC increases penetration resistance and reduces oxygen concentration in the soil\(^16,17\). Both result in reduction of root elongation rates, shallow
Table 1. Negative impacts of soil compaction (SC) on plant growth and overall production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crops</th>
<th>Major findings</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Brassica oleracea</em> L., <em>Cucumis sativus</em> L., <em>Phaseolus vulgaris</em> L. and <em>Zea mays</em> L.</td>
<td>Drastic negative change (73%) in the yield of <em>B. oleracea</em> L. while <em>C. sativus</em> L. (41%), <em>Phaseolus vulgaris</em> L. (49%) and <em>Z. mays</em> L. (34%) showed comparatively less reduction in yield.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Z. mays</em> L.</td>
<td>Yielded less <em>Z. mays</em> L. by affecting plant growth and soil properties.</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Raphanus sativus</em> var. <em>longipinnatus</em>, cv. ‘Daiwok’, <em>Brassica napus</em>, cv. ‘Essex’, and <em>Secale cereale</em> L., cv. ‘Wheeler’</td>
<td>Lesser penetration of crop root in the soil. Among crops, the roots of <em>R. sativus</em> var. <em>longipinnatus</em>, cv. ‘Daiwok’ had the highest level of penetrance and could be recommended for biological tillage.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cichorium intybus</em> L.</td>
<td>Bulk density of greater than 1.6 Mg m⁻³ may cause harmful effect on soil microbial activities. Interestingly, carbon mineralization rate showed significant changes when soil was amended with residues.</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hordeum vulgare</em> L., <em>Pennisetum glaucum</em> L., <em>Beta vulgaris</em></td>
<td>Roots became smaller in size, with deformity and inefficacy of nutrient uptake. However, it may increase water use efficiency. Plants tend to induce higher stomata resistance.</td>
<td>Central and Eastern Europe</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Z. mays</em> L.</td>
<td>Produced lesser shoot elongation (27.1%) and leaf area (67.8). There was reduction in live root biomass production (39.1%).</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lupinus angustifolius</em> L., <em>B. napus</em> ssp. <em>Oleifera</em> Hertzg. and <em>H. vulgare</em> L.</td>
<td>Affected root penetrance and showed that <em>L. angustifolius</em> L. and <em>B. napus</em> ssp. <em>Oleifera</em> Hertzg. had better growth performance than <em>H. vulgare</em> L.</td>
<td>Estonian</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Z. mays</em> L., <em>Sorghum</em> spp.</td>
<td>Produced lesser populations of macrofauna and earthworm. Application of 10 Mg axle weight under wet condition generated a negative change in macrofauna (78.57%) and earthworm (95.12%) populations.</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Solanum tuberosum</em> L., var. <em>Calla</em></td>
<td>Under fertilized condition, compacted soil produced higher N₂O emission rate and thus influenced nitrogen uptake from the soil.</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural and mixed forest</td>
<td>Water infiltration rates were lowered by 70–99%.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Soil compaction and its effects on soil physical properties with direct effects on soil chemical properties, crop growth and soil biodiversity.

root growth in root vegetables and delayed initiation of lateral roots for other vegetables. Forking and root splitting are common disorders in radish and carrot due to SC. Low oxygen concentration in the soil air affects the entire root system metabolism. Decline in cellular oxygen concentration in root tissues causes root respiration to be replaced by anaerobic fermentation, resulting in reduced metabolic efficiency, which is undoubtedly unfavourable for shoot
growth and overall yield by limiting water and nutrient uptake.

Environmental impact of SC

Carbon dioxide

Carbon (C) is absorbed by the plants in the form of carbon dioxide (CO₂) through photosynthesis. A fraction of this carbon is incorporated in the soil as organic matter, i.e. SOC, which in the long run can sequester this accumulated carbon. The sequestrated carbon is mineralized by microorganisms. Further, these microorganisms and root respiration release carbon into the atmosphere in the form of CO₂.

Farm practices like harvesting and burning or removal of crop residues can reduce carbon input into the soil, while intensive tillage and intercultural operation increase the soil carbon output. It is known that SC lowers biomass production. As a consequence, the available soil carbon gradually declines. Recent research has indicated that SC reduces soil gas diffusivity and water drainage capacity, which create anaerobic conditions and adversely increase microbial respiration. CTF reduces traffic-induced compaction in crop growth zone and reduces CO₂ fluxes from the soil.

Methane

Methane (CH₄) is more powerful (28 times more potent than CO₂) in contributing towards the greenhouse effect (100-year time horizon)²¹. Based on the availability of oxygen, there is a source and sink relationship in CH₄ and CO₂. Agricultural soils under anaerobic conditions act as a source of CH₄ (i.e. organic C is decomposed to CH₄), while under aerobic conditions they act as a sink (i.e. CH₄ is oxidized to CO₂ and other compounds)²⁰. SC creates anaerobic conditions, which increase CH₄ production and limit oxidation of CH₄ into CO₂, and significantly increase greenhouse effects. The reduced soil gas diffusivity enhanced by SC increases the retention time of N₂O in the soil which augments the denitrification process. Besides N₂O and nitrogen (N₂), SC also increases ammonia (NH₃) emissions due to reduced soil hydraulic conductivity. The emitted NH₃ can precipitate on soil and water causing eutrophication (i.e. hypernutrification: pollution of ecosystems by nutrients), which leads to loss of aquatic biodiversity.

Nitrous oxide, ammonia and atmospheric nitrogen

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is more powerful (265 times than CO₂) in enhancing the greenhouse effect (100-year time horizon)²¹. In agricultural soils, N₂O emission takes place as a result of microbial transformation of ammonium (NH₄⁺) through the nitrification and denitrification processes. SC enhances anaerobic conditions, which promote denitrification, and consequently increase the production of N₂O. In addition, SC decreases the root accessibility to nutrients and thus enhances further nutrient loss. The reduced soil gas diffusivity enhanced by SC increases the retention time of N₂O in the soil which augments the denitrification process. Besides N₂O and nitrogen (N₂), SC also increases ammonia (NH₃) emissions due to reduced soil hydraulic conductivity. The emitted NH₃ can precipitate on soil and water causing eutrophication (i.e. hypernutrification: pollution of ecosystems by nutrients), which leads to loss of aquatic biodiversity.

Benefits of CTF for vegetable production

Reducing trafficked areas using CTF is a potential management tool to alleviate the problems associated with SC. Unlike cereals, vegetable crops require more intercultural operations and staggered multiple harvesting. Additionally, a number of vegetables are grown to dry maturity, and supply schedules are demanding; harvest usually occurs when soil moisture conditions are ideal for compaction. All these technical hitches forced the vegetable industry to adopt CTF in some of the developed nations. The production of hand-harvested vegetable crops (e.g. lettuce, cucurbits, etc.) is well suited to the use of permanent bed, controlled traffic production systems.

Table 2. Soil CH₄ fluxes and N₂O emissions in control traffic farming (CTF) and random traffic farming (RTF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spinach</th>
<th>Carrot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ fluxes (CH₄ ha⁻¹ day⁻¹)</td>
<td>CTF⁷</td>
<td>–11.0⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RTF</td>
<td>–0.5⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O emissions (N₂O ha⁻¹ day⁻¹)</td>
<td>CTF⁷</td>
<td>123.2⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RTF</td>
<td>225.6¹⁰</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Vermeulen and Mosquera²⁴.
In such a situation, the main issues to be considered are the dimensional integration of machinery appropriate to particular vegetable crops and maintenance equipment to maintain the integrity of wheel tracks and beds, and farm layout to ensure adequate drainage and improve paddock access in wet conditions. Furthermore, studies on CTF specify a range of direct and indirect effects (Figure 4), that include the following points:\(^\text{4,32,33}\):

- **Seasonal CTF** helped in the improvement of crop yield by 31%, 35% and 10% for *Pisum sativum* L., *Spinacea oleracea* L. and *Allium cepa* L. respectively\(^\text{24}\).
- **Adoption of CTF** limits the compacted area to less than 15% for permanent wheel tracks, compared to more than 50% in RTF. CTF reduces traffic-induced SC, improves physical properties of soil, plant available water, and facilitates root respiration and ultimately overall crop production, while potentially decreasing soil erosion, run-off and displacement of nutrients and agriculturally important chemicals\(^\text{34,35}\).
- **CTF system** separates the traffic zone, which results in the smooth functioning of tractors or heavy machinery, and also improves the working life of tractors, ensuring lower capital replacement costs.
- **The CTF system** reduces input over usage (fertilizers, fuel, seeds and chemicals). It was reported that fuel cost is reduced up to 50% and spraying cost by up to 10% (ref. 35). The adoption of CTF can save Rs 6000/ha from input cost\(^\text{36}\).
- **Introduction of precision agriculture technologies** such as variable rate technology, zone mapping, other sensor-based technologies and shielded spraying can be easy when CTF systems are already in use\(^\text{37}\).
- **Compaction of traffic zone** improves the timeliness of culture practices like sowing, fertilization and weeding as better traction allows machinery to enter paddocks sooner in wet fields after heavy rainfall\(^\text{38}\).
- **CTF also tends to increase the beneficial soil microbial communities** via favouring the microclimate of the soil\(^\text{39}\).

**Current status of CTF in India and adoption possibilities**

The concept of CTF is not new but for a country like India, where majority of land is fragmented and dominated by small and marginal farmers with diversified cropping practices, its adoption is difficult. Big farmers holding large land area at a stretch can be the innovators in the adoption process. Presently, the share of big farmers who use CTF for their crop production fields may be <1%. Currently, the acreage is not even more than 10,000/ha, which is negligible for a large agriculture-based country like India. Therefore, there is a huge scope for this practice in India.

Adoption of CTF is beneficial for farmers, be it economic or ecosystem sustainability. The adoption of this method requires harmony between integrated extension effort, awareness building and policy interventions, beside a strong research-based data resource across crops and agroclimatic zones for convincing the growers and policy makers at the local level. Above all, it needs to mention that no matter how good the technology is; it does not diffuse without any effort. Integrated approach has always been beneficial with regard to such novel technology dissemination. Participation of adopters, engagement of organizations in research extension continuum as well as Government policy support are important for such kinds of technology, where the benefit is not immediately and directly seen. Definitely, the farmers can raise their concerns about 15% of their lands accounted for traffic movement as no crop can be cultivated in this area. This may increase the chances of rejection of the CTF technology by majority of small and marginal farmers. The extension agents can convince the farmers by demonstrating the better yield potential from rest of the 85% undisturbed land area. Environmental benefits too are not visible apparently, which need sensitization through field functionaries working at the village level. Adoption of CTF requires a set of practices, which are crucial for harnessing the benefits, like hard wheel tract for tractor running, and only soft beds for crops. No wheel should enter into the crop zone. It has been seen that wide tracks, wide implements and narrow tyres deliver efficiency and effectiveness to farm operations. A clear-cut machinery plan must be chosen accordingly. CTF works better in zero tillage system. Adopters of zero tillage can also be encouraged to follow CTF in case of cereals. Here innovative training modules, such as training of tractor drivers under the Skill India’s programme can be promoted. In addition, the other modern approaches like CTF, zero tillage, use of combined harvester, straw...
rollers, etc. can be covered under skill training. Presently, there is no provision for training of tractor drivers in India. Proper training of tractor drivers can save a lot of farmer inputs. The custom hiring centres and agribusiness centres in villages operating heavy machinery in agricultural fields should also be encouraged to appoint only trained drivers to perform field operations. Skill development in the farming sector needs a planned and focused approach. Recently, the national policy on skill development has also emphasized the same, which needs further strengthening. Moreover, the grassroots organizations engaged in extension activities must be involved in this process. Mainstreaming the institutional innovations to bring about desirable behavioural changes in the farming community is essential to achieve the overall success of CTF, like any other evolving technology.

Conclusion

This article summarizes the advantages of CTF over conventional system. However, the adoption of CTF sill required modification in different farm machinery involved in the production system. One of the main advantages of CTF is the reduction in the number of tillage operations. The effect of CTF on soil physical properties is evident through beneficial changes in soil bulk density, infiltration and soil resistance, and enhancement in soil fertility through improved carbon storage in the soil. While writing this article, India-based studies were hard to find. Under Indian conditions, more research is needed such as: (i) performance studies of vegetables and other horticultural crops under CTF in different soil and agroclimatic zones; (ii) quantification of environmental and economic impacts due to adoption of CTF; (iii) farm mechanization-related adaptive research in Indian soil conditions; (iv) farm innovations at farmers’ field-related studies, and many more. Though there has been rapid advancement in farm mechanization in the Indian agricultural system, still Indian farming communities have mainly relied on RTF. Given the burning issue of farm profit maximization (doubling farmers’ income) and environmental sustainability, it is the high time to realize the importance of CTF in Indian condition with solid weightage on conservation agriculture mandates.
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