Conservation agriculture (CA) technologies involve paradigm shift from traditional agriculture and include minimum soil disturbance, permanent soil cover through crop residues and crop diversification for achieving sustained productivity and making rational use of resources. The climate-resilient crop management technologies of CA reverse the degradation process inherent to the conventional agricultural practices like intensive agriculture, declining factor productivity and provide opportunities to reduce production cost, save inputs, improve soil properties, make efficient resource use and benefit the environment. For nearly two decades, several researches and policies have been disseminated that made significant multiple ecosystem services in the rice–wheat system of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Likewise, there is a huge potential of CA in jute-based cropping system in eastern India, and there is an urgent need to frame policies and strategies to promote CA in the jute-growing regions of the country to bring sustenance in its production and quality. This article focuses on the emerging concerns due to the practice of conventional methods, and analyses the scope, constraints and research needs for CA and resource management in jute-based cropping systems of India.
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Achieving food and nutritional security for the burgeoning population while sustaining agricultural production system under the recent spell of depleting natural resources, negative impact of climate change, spiralling production costs and the rise in food prices have come to the forefront of global political agenda. Climate change coupled with intensive unsustainable crop production has exacerbated the constraints of agriculture which include decline in soil organic matter (SOM), soil quality deterioration, reduced water infiltration rate, surface sealing and crusting, inadequate return of organic matter to soil, pest incidence and eventual loss of crop productivity due to monocropping. Therefore, there is a pressing need for a paradigm shift in production technology through eliminating conventional agricultural practices (ploughing the soil, organic material oxidation, monoculture) for sustaining future food, feed and fibre productivity while conserving the natural resources.

Conservation agriculture (CA) is being steadily practised worldwide and covers about ~8% of the arable land, i.e. 124.8 M ha (ref. 1). India was able to accomplish food security through the Green Revolution, but traditional agriculture being highly mechanized, has resulted in the over-exploitation and misuse of natural resources coupled with indiscriminate use of inorganic chemicals, declining factor productivity, loss of biodiversity, increasing soil salinity, lowering of the groundwater table, eutrophication, environmental pollution due to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, pest resurgence and land degradation1. Therefore, the success of the Green Revolution has now been masked by the drawbacks posed by it, which are a threat for promoting productive capacity of soil and crops. The eastern region of India has been in focus to usher the second Green Revolution, and its potential needs to be harnessed by advocating CA, particularly in rice–jute-based cropping system.

Conservation agriculture as defined by FAO, is an approach for managing agro-ecosystems to attain higher and sustained production levels, acceptable profits and food security for enhancing both above- and below-ground natural biological processes. CA is based on the three linked principles of minimum mechanical disturbance of the soil, i.e. no vertical perturbation of soil, permanent organic cover of the soil surface and crop diversification or association of crops, along with other complementary good agricultural practices of crop production. It is a potent alternative to traditional agriculture, attenuating its obstacles as it stresses on the favourable impacts of a conservative way of cultivation on soil, water and air biodiversity1,4.

CA, often referred to as resource-efficient agriculture aims to conserve, improve and utilize natural resources through integrated management of available soil, water and biological resources combined with external inputs to achieve a balance of agricultural, economic and environmental benefits. It promotes most soils to have a wide array of bioactivity and biodiversity, provides better soil...
structure, protects against raindrops and reduces run-off losses. Thus, CA is a win-win approach with recognized benefits of conservation goals like savings of labour, fuel, mitigation of negative climate effects, reduced operational costs and enhanced yields.

Jute (Corchorus spp.), the golden fibre of India, is eco-friendly, biodegradable and has much higher CO₂ absorption rate, which is creating new avenues for the endurance and development of the jute industry in the era of ecological concerns. Jute can sequester a significant amount of carbon in its vegetative stage, and reports confirm that 1 ha of jute crop can absorb about 15 t of atmospheric CO₂ and liberate about 11 Mt of O₂, during 120 days of growing period. There is negative GHG emission from jute as it acts as a sink of carbon by assimilating about 5.8 t CO₂ during its lifetime. Barma et al. from Bangladesh reported that apart from wheat, rice, maize, oilseeds and pulses, jute can be grown successfully through CA technology. Given the increasing focus on today’s environmental issues, it is obvious that there is noteworthy prospect of jute crop being grown based on the principles of CA in India. In this background, the present article discusses the current status of research and development with respect to yield, soil health, resource use efficiency, the boon and bane of its adoption to tap new growth sources in jute-based cropping.

**Scope of conservation agriculture in jute-based cropping sequences**

*Soil quality, nutrient recycling and yield*

CA takes care of soil health, plant growth and environment and is being practised to meet the global food demand with minimum ecological footprint. Field experiments conducted at ICAR-Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibres, Kolkata, with zero tillage (ZT), zero tillage + residue (ZT + R) along with conventional tillage (CT) (control) under the most predominant cropping systems, i.e. jute–rice–wheat/lentil/mustard systems revealed that minimum soil disturbances coupled with residue retention optimized soil properties and provided better soil environment for plant growth. The soil residue retention under zero tillage treatment was >30% followed by additional brown manuring practice (broadcasting of Sesbania crop @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ between rows of jute crop, grown for 30 days and then incorporated). Soil organic carbon (SOC) was positively correlated with mean weight diameter (MWD) (0.83) and available N (0.68) but negatively correlated with BD (−0.74). Hati et al.¹¹ and Bandyopadhyay et al.¹² reported significant positive correlation between MWD and SOC, and %WMSA (water stable macro-aggregates) and SOC respectively. Evaluation of soil quality index (SQI) showed better values in jute–rice–lentil (range 0.42–0.62) under zero tillage with residue (range 0.45–0.62) compared to other systems, depicting significant cumulative effect of lentil crop along with zero tillage (ZT) and residue incorporation on soil quality (Figure 1). Similar findings have been reported by Kumar et al.¹³. Removal of surface residues and exposing the surface soil through tillage might be responsible for accelerated decomposition and reduced aggregate stability in CT. Gallaher and Ferrer¹⁴ reported that at 0–5 cm soil depth, soil under no tillage contains 20–43% more nitrogen than CT. A positive correlation (R² = 0.64) between SQI values and jute equivalent yield (JEY) implies that the index may have practical utility in quantifying soil quality under CA. JEY among the cropping systems followed the sequence: jute–rice–lentil (7.32 t ha⁻¹) > jute–rice–wheat (7.19 t ha⁻¹) > jute–rice–mustard (6.75 t ha⁻¹) (Figures 2 and 3).

Summarizing the effect of conservation practices in Bangladesh, during *kharif* in transplanted aman rice–boro rice–jute cropping pattern with conservation machinery such as strip till planting method (ST), PTOS method (planting by power tiller-operated seeder (mixed tillage)) along with conventional tillage and broadcasting, and conventional puddling and transplanting (farmers’
Table 1. Effect of different tillage systems on yield and yield-contributing parameters of jute at Baratia, Dumuria, Khulna, Bangladesh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Plant population (per m²)</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Base diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Middle diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Stalk yield (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Fibre yield (t ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>39.5b</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>12.8b</td>
<td>8.96b</td>
<td>5.1b</td>
<td>2.62b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTOS</td>
<td>36.5b</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>14.2a</td>
<td>10.4a</td>
<td>6.52a</td>
<td>3.03a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTa</td>
<td>48.0a</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>11.9b</td>
<td>8.59b</td>
<td>4.82b</td>
<td>2.54b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>31.5c</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>12.2b</td>
<td>8.57b</td>
<td>1.68c</td>
<td>1.12c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-test</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ST, Strip till planting method; PTOS, Planting by power tiller-operated seeder (mixed tillage); CTa, Conventional tillage and broadcasting; CTL, Conventional puddling and transplanting.

Figure 3. Rice crop under various tillage practices.

ST, Strip till planting method; PTOS, Planting by power tiller-operated seeder (mixed tillage); CTa, Conventional tillage and broadcasting; CTL, Conventional puddling and transplanting.
Table 2. Effects of tillage on soil organic matter (SOM), total nitrogen (TN), extractable P and Zn contents after three crop cycles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tillage</th>
<th>SOM (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>TN (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Extractable P (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Extractable S (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Extractable Zn (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZT</td>
<td>10.2a</td>
<td>0.531a</td>
<td>10.1a</td>
<td>17.7a</td>
<td>0.49a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>10.1ab</td>
<td>0.509a</td>
<td>9.9a</td>
<td>17.2a</td>
<td>0.47ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>9.6b</td>
<td>0.496ab</td>
<td>9.3b</td>
<td>16.5ab</td>
<td>0.44b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>9.1c</td>
<td>0.450b</td>
<td>7.8c</td>
<td>15.6b</td>
<td>0.40c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial value</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZT, Zero tillage; SP, Strip planting; BP, Bed planting; CT, Conventional tillage.

**Figure 4.** Carbon-based sustainability index \( C_s \) in fibre crops.

The net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of CO₂ in high biomass-producing jute agro-ecosystem as measured by the open path eddy covariance (EC) technique was positive at sowing to germination stage (highest NEE of \(-126.86 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \) or \( -465.15 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \) at fibre development stage), and thereafter it became negative up to the maturity stage of the crop. The negative values of NEE indicate carbon intake by jute crop when the rate of photosynthesis is more than the ecosystem respiration, and vice versa.

**Weed control efficiency**

About 40% of the total cost of cultivation is for the weeding process alone. Fibre yield loss up to 90% has been recorded if not weeded at the proper time. In many of the
CA systems, weed control represents one of the major setbacks and thus necessitates knowledge about weed biology and ecology, and their physical and chemical control. The weed dynamics has changed significantly over the years under surface residue condition. Under no disturbance, weed seeds tend to accumulate and are expected to emerge from the top layer. During the initial period of zero/minimum tillage practice, annual weeds pose a problem till the exhaustion of weed seed bank in the surface soil (0–2 cm). After their exhaustion, annual weeds get suppressed significantly as deep-buried weed seeds can hardly come out of the surface soil. Furthermore, the surface-lying weed seeds are subjected to decay through various management practices and predation. Sometimes, surface residue decomposition secretes allelochemicals which inhibit the emergence of germinating weeds. After 3–5 years of cropping under zero-tillage conditions, annual species get reduced while perennial weeds can flourish due to their vegetative propagating material. Saha et al. reported higher density of broad-leaved weeds in CT compared to no tillage (NT); however, it was at par with no tillage with additional crop residue (NT + R). Cynodon dactylon, a perennial grassy weed showed significantly higher density under NT system, whereas the density of Cyperus rotundus did not vary significantly among different tillage systems. Comparatively, total weed population was found to be more in NT. Hence, strategic weed management along with preventive and curative measures is crucial. Controlling emerged weeds through pre- and post-emergence herbicides or mechanical control, and managing the leftover weeds through non-selective herbicides are the recommended strategies for their management in CA. Since most of the seeds remain on the surface in CA, they can be controlled by stale seed-bed technique followed by application of non-selective herbicide. CRIJAF Nail weeder operation in jute field at 4–5 days after jute emergence (on field capacity soil) reduces weeds by 80–85%, and helps in soil mulching.

In well-drained soils, mulching with rice straw at 10 t ha–1 reduced weed dry matter by 75%. Besides, companion cropping of jute with red amaranthus + radish and pulse inclusion as intercrop smothered sedges and dicots up to 54% (ref. 37). Moreover, jute + green gram provides nutritional security, improves soil health and strengthens the economy of poverty-stricken jute farmers. Higher weed control efficiency (68–82%) was obtained in intercropping system compared to the conventional and cumbersome manual weeding twice (63.62%). Benefit–cost ratio (BCR) under this system varied between 2.20 and 2.46 compared to 1.80 in manual weeding. Green gram in intercropping system produced 2 t ha–1 of waste (average nitrogen), which is equivalent to 10 t of farmyard manure. This can also be used as nutritious fodder and when incorporated in jute soil will improve its health.

Soil biodiversity

Soil biodiversity is the variability of living biota, soil macro- and micro-fauna and flora within a habitat involved in agricultural activity. CA practices are key for maintaining the layer of organic matter in the topsoil which provides substrate, energy and habitat to the residing soil biota. Soil micro- and macro-flora mainly in the form of bacteria and fungi play a crucial role in soil health enrichment by decomposing organic matter, and recycling nutrients. Improved microclimate, addition of root exudates and increased C availability due to residue retention have resulted in higher soil microbial biomass and proliferation of other enzymatic activities. Researchers suggest that CA practices help increase earthworm abundance by 127% compared to conventional agriculture. Earthworms, the ecosystem engineer and nature’s plough, are a significant part of macrofauna in the soil and enrich soil properties by breaking down leaf litter and binding the soil with their excretion. Jute has been used in phytoextraction studies related to heavy metal toxicity due to its distinct biological and physiological activities. Saleem et al. concluded that jute is a hyper-accumulator species of different heavy metals from soil, water and field, and can be used as a bio-indicator. Having a strong antioxidant defence system, it can overcome the effect of oxidative stress caused by metal toxicity. After phytoremediation, the jute biomass can be utilized for the production of value-added by-products like sheet, roof tiles, chairs and tables.

Energy use efficiency and fuel savings

By adoption of conservation tillage practices, reduction of energy takes place due to reduced field operations. It has been reported that 16% of global fossil-fuel emission can be reduced due to its adoption. Hossain et al. reported that the effective field capacities of ST and PTOS operations, and power tiller for dryland and wetland (puddling) tillage were found to be 0.098, 0.099, 0.100 and 0.096 ha h–1 respectively, whereas, the field efficiencies were estimated to be 69.78%, 71.33%, 84.66% and 81.99% respectively. Fuel consumption for ST and PTOS operations was the same (13.90 l ha–1), whereas in case of power tiller for dry and wetland preparation it was 56.60 and 41.10 l ha–1 respectively. Single pass was enough for tilling and jute seeds planting in the ST and PTOS methods.

Economic efficiency

For jute cultivation, the highest BCR was obtained from PTOS (2.54) followed by ST (2.31) and CT-cum-broadcasting (2.20) methods. The lowest BCR was...
Behera et al.\textsuperscript{45} reported that inclusion of medicinal plants in the jute cropping sequence like jute–kharif rice–ashwagandha recorded net returns of ₹186,831 ha\textsuperscript{-1} followed by asalio (₹148,426 ha\textsuperscript{-1}), whereas traditional crop potato registered highest net returns of ₹247,204 ha\textsuperscript{-1}. The maximum BCR of 2.22 was recorded in case of jute–kharif rice–ashwagandha compared to traditional cropping sequence of jute–kharif rice–potato (1.89). Considering the system economics, jute–stevia–autumn rice recorded highest net returns of ₹524,467 ha\textsuperscript{-1}, which was 306.68% higher compared to traditional crop tomato (₹128,961 ha\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{45}. The maximum BCR (2.61) was recorded in the jute–stevia–autumn rice cropping sequence.

**Challenges in promotion of conservation agriculture technology**

CA as an impending paradigm for raising crops in a jute-based sequence will necessitate several inventive features to address the challenges which impede wide-scale adoption of the approach. Successful adoption of CA principles in jute-based cropping sequence in eastern India calls for improvement, standardization and adoption of quality farm machinery for jute seeding, crop harvesting and management strategies. Suitability of machinery depends on farmer’s plot size, availability of local repair shops and skilled manpower. High cost of machinery and low returns from agricultural produce discourage investments in agriculture. Besides, resource-poor farmer and small landholders face the risk of crop failure with new technology. Research–extension–farmers linkage should be well established for the CA technologies. Further, transfer and policy support should be accelerated for custom hiring. Hobbs and Govaerts\textsuperscript{46} reported that a major hurdle for CA and its successful adoption is in convincing the farmers about zero and minimum tillage. Being complex in nature, site-specific knowledge of different ecologies has been another setback to the spread of the CA system\textsuperscript{4}. This implies that an entire package of practices for crop production, including sowing and harvesting, water, nutrients and pest management needs to be developed, assessed and coordinated in the context of new frameworks and systems. CA is a sustainable technology and indeed in many situations, results of resource improvement occur gradually, and paybacks come only with time.

**Conclusion**

Challenges of conventional agriculture accentuate the requirement and urgency to address tactics by which threats to farming by virtue of natural resource degradation, soaring production costs and devastating consequences of climate change can be met effectively. CA can reverse the ill-effects of resource degradation, decreasing produce costs, GHG emission and making agricultural interventions economically, ecologically and socially sustainable. A shift in paradigm has become a prerequisite as a result of the widespread resource degradation which accompanied the past strategies to boost production with no concern for resource integrity. Studies illustrate that the jute-based cropping system in eastern India has a huge potential for enactment of conservation practices; likewise the rice–wheat cropping system in northwestern India. It is thus imperative to have the farmers involved with demonstration and experiments in their own fields to accelerate the process of adoption of CA. Furthermore, there is a pressing need of multidisciplinary approach to reorient farming practices for producing more with less cost by adopting less vulnerable options and pathways. Hence, jute cultivation with conventional agriculture practices does not fetch sustainable gains. Hence CA-based crop management solutions adapted to local needs will play a critical role for ecological upliftment.
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