Effect of integrated nutrient management in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) on alluvial soil
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Alluvial soil, carbon fractions, fluorescein diacetate hydrolysing activity, integrated nutrient management in sunflower (cv. GK 2002) in alluvial soil at Agricultural Experimental Farm, University of Calcutta, Baruipur, India, during 2013–14 and 2014–15. There were ten different treatments with three replications. After analysis, the data clearly showed significant difference among treatments in sunflower yield and a prominent impact of integrated use of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers was found under the treatments. Microbial biomass carbon, basal soil respiration, activities of soil enzyme and different carbon fractions showed higher values for those treatments where only organic inputs were given. The best possible combination for higher seed yield was recorded in T10/T6 treatment (vermicompost 2.5 t ha–1 or FYM (5 t ha–1) with phosphate solubilizing bacteria @ 8 kg ha–1 soil application + Azotobacter @ 8 kg ha–1 soil application + 50% recommended dose of chemical fertilizers + foliar spray (2% urea)). T10/T6 treatment is thus recommended for sunflower cultivation in alluvial soil considering the soil quality and seed yield of sunflower.
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EMERGING evidence indicates that management of soil fertility constraints depends on the judicious use of combined organic and inorganic resources. Organic, inorganic or microbial fertilizer has both advantages and disadvantages for nutrients supply which affect soil health and crop quality. So, there is a need to develop an efficient nutrition management system for enhancing sustainable agricultural production and to safeguard the environment.

Soil organic matter (SOM) plays a key role in maintaining soil health. It has a strong influence on living organisms present in the soil and their beneficial functions. Management of SOM and plant nutrients is a major part of sustainable agricultural systems which can be done through judicious application of inorganic fertilizers with organic inputs such as animal manures, biological nitrogen fixation, crop residues and green manures. Soil organic matter controls soil microbial populations and their related functions in soil, such as decomposition and nutrient cycling. Application of organic manure in combination with inorganic fertilizers was found to be beneficial for health of the soil and also increased the available as well as total soil organic carbon, macro, secondary and micro nutrients status and improved soil microbial growth. Such combinations of different organic and inorganic nutrient sources vary depending on the local land-use system and the ecological, social and economic conditions.

Sunflower is a day neutral, short duration, drought and salinity tolerant oil seed crop belonging to the family Compositae. Among the different edible oil producing crops, it is one of the most important annual crops in the world. In India, sunflower is cultivated in states like Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and West Bengal covering an area of 0.33 m ha with a production of 0.21 million tonnes and productivity of 738 kg ha–1 during 2017–18 (ref. 5). In West Bengal (during 2016–17) sunflower was grown in an area of 0.01150 m ha with a production of 0.01509 million tonnes and a productivity of 1312 kg ha–1 (ref. 6). Sunflower cultivation in West Bengal is mostly restricted in the districts of Uttar and Dakshin Dinajpur, Malda, Murshidabad, Purulia, Nadia and South 24 Parganas. Sunflower grown in February/March gives quality performance in Gangetic alluvial zone. It is considered to be an exhaustve crop. Even though the area under sunflower crop is increasing, the productivity is not on par with the cultivable area. Among the several reasons attributable to low productivity, inadequate and imbalanced nutrition of essential nutrients is considered as a major one.

With this backdrop the proposed experiment was undertaken to evaluate the impact of conjoint application of inorganic, organic and biofertilizers on crop yield, organic carbon pools, microbial biomass and activity in sunflower production under Gangetic alluvial soil.

The two-year study was carried out at the Agricultural Experimental Farm (88°26.164′E and 22°22.526′N), University of Calcutta, Baruipur, 24 Parganas (South) in the Gangetic alluvial region of West Bengal across two successive seasons of January to May 2014 and 2015, to study the effect of combined application of inorganic and
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organic fertilizer (vermicompost (VC) and farm yard manure (FYM)) with biofertilizer (including the genera *Azotobacter*, and phosphate solubilizing bacteria) on yield and general physico-chemical, biological and biochemical properties of soil of hybrid sunflower (c.v. GK-2002).

The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications in 4 m × 4 m plot size. The nutrient contents of FYM and VC are shown in Table 1. Compost was added and mixed thoroughly in soil one week before seeding. Full doses of phosphatic and potassic fertilizers were applied to the respective plots during land preparation. Nitrogen (N) was applied in two splits, half the N during land preparation as basal and other half after 30 days of sowing (DAS). Nitrogen as urea, phosphate as single super phosphate and potash as muriate of potash were applied to the soil. The experiments included ten treatments as follows

Control without inputs – T₁
100% Recommended doses of chemical fertilizer (RDF) – T₂
Well decomposed FYM (10 t ha⁻¹) – T₃
FYM (10 t ha⁻¹) + phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) + *Azotobacter* – T₄
FYM (5 t ha⁻¹) + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 50% RDF – T₅
FYM (5 t ha⁻¹) + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 50% RDF + foliar spray (2% urea) – T₆
VC (5 t ha⁻¹) – T₇
VC (5 t ha⁻¹) + PSB + *Azotobacter* – T₈
VC (2.5 t ha⁻¹) + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 50% RDF – T₉
VC (2.5 t ha⁻¹) + PSB + *Azotobacter* + 50% RDF + foliar spray (2% urea) – T₁₀.

Inoculation was carried out by dipping the sunflower seeds in the cell suspension of 10⁶ CFU/ml for 15 min. Local isolates, *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria as well as VC used in this study were supplied by the Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, University of Calcutta.

The field was cultivated about 20 cm deep. Before seeding, it was subsequently disked twice for loose and friable seed bed. Seeding was accomplished on 22 January 2014 and 16 January 2015 by putting two to three seeds at each hill maintaining a proper spacing of 60 cm × 30 cm. Thinning was undertaken after 15 DAS to maintain optimum plant population. Two times hand weeding for control of weeds with one hand hoeing for aeration in the soil was done during the growth period. Irrigation was given three times during the whole growing season.

Heads from net plot were cut, rubbed against ground and seeds were separated and cleaned by winnowing. Weight of sun dried seed in terms of kg per net plot was recorded and projected as t ha⁻¹.

Initial randomized surface (0–20 cm) soil samples were taken from the experimental site before seeds were sown. Another soil sampling was done during the flowering stage of the sunflower from all the 30 experimental plots. The soil samples were brought to the laboratory and air-dried for analysis of their general physico-chemical properties, while field moist soil samples were used for microbiological and biochemical analysis. Soil pH was measured in a 1 : 2.5 soil–water suspension using a glass electrode⁸. Soil electrical conductivity (EC) was determined by measuring the electrical conductance of soil water (1 : 5) solution⁹. Organic carbon of soil was determined by Walkley and Black method⁹. Total N of soil was determined by Macro-Kjeldahl method with the help of Kelpus automated N-analyzer¹⁰. Available phosphorus and available potassium contents were measured by Olsen’s method¹¹ and flame photometric method using neutral normal ammonium acetate as extractant¹² respectively.

The microbial biomass carbon (MBC) was determined following the chloroform fumigation extraction (CFE) method using a recovery factor (Kec) of 0.38 according to Vance et al.¹³. The basal soil respiration (BSR) was measured according to the method of Alef¹⁴, with some modifications like: 20 g of field moist soil was taken in a 500 ml airtight conical flask with a hanging vial containing 10 ml of 1 M NaOH and was incubated for 10 days in the dark at 25°C. The CO₂–C evolved was determined by titration method at every 2 days interval and was calculated based on cumulative CO₂ evolution over a 10-day period. The substrate (glucose)-induced respirations¹⁵. The fluorescein diacetate hydrolysing activity (FDHA) of the soils were measured based on Alef’s research¹⁵.

Water soluble carbon was analysed based on the method Vance et al.¹⁵ and the total carbohydrate carbon present in the soil was estimated by anthrone method¹⁶. Humus carbon of soil¹⁷ was also determined.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out by randomized block design (RBD) using SPSS 11.0 statistical package. The least significant difference (LSD) test was applied to evaluate the significance of differences between individual treatments. The treatment means were compared by Duncan’s multiple range tests at $P = 0.05$.

The soil pH exhibited statistically significant difference among the treatments (Table 2). Soil pH for all the treatments showed neutral to slightly alkaline nature varying from 6.66 to 7.25. The highest pH was observed in T₇ treatment (7.25) in which VC, AZ and PSB were

### Table 1. Physico-chemical characteristics of FYM and VC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>FYM</th>
<th>VC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture per cent</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (per cent dry weight basis)</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen (per cent dry weight basis)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available phosphorous (per cent dry weight basis)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available potassium (per cent dry weight basis)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
among different treatments was influenced by the availability of P content of the soil which could be attributed to faster proliferation of soil microbes which in turn increase the MBC content of the soil. The variation in MBC was recorded with higher value in T4 treatment (632 μg g–1), followed by T8 treatment (425 μg g–1). Such beneficial effect of organics on soil K was reported23. Addition of bio-organics increased the availability of K status of soil over control. The significantly highest available K in soil could be detected in the T2 treatment (184 kg ha–1). The application of different bio-organics in conjunction has helped in the increment of available K status of the soil22. Such beneficial effect of organics on soil K was reported23.

The MBC was recorded with higher value in T4 treatment (632 μg g–1) consisting of combined application of VC, AZ and PSB; lowest EC could be detected in T3 and T7 treatments (0.011 dS m–1).

The treatments which received different organic and inorganic fertilizers showed significant difference on the status of soil organic carbon (OC) after harvest of the sunflower. The application of FYM combined with AZ and PSB (T4) resulted in significant increase in OC (8.45 g kg–1) over the other treatments. Addition of FYM at the rate of 10 t ha–1 might have helped for the stimulation of the soil microorganisms’ activity by the formation of humic acid and thus increased the OC status of the soil18. Next in the order was T8 treatment (8.26 g kg–1) over the other treatments. Addition of FYM combined with VC resulted in significant increase in OC (8.45 g kg–1) over the other treatments. Addition of FYM combined with VC had helped in increasing the available P by solubilizing the unavailable forms of P in soil22.

The significantly highest available K in soil could be detected in the T2 treatment (184 kg ha–1). The application of different bio-organics in conjunction has helped in the increment of available K status of soil over control. Such beneficial effect of organics on soil K was reported23.

The MBC was recorded with higher value in T4 treatment (632 μg g–1) and the lowest in T1 treatment (345 μg g–1). In general, all the treatments with bio-organics in combination showed higher MBC than the treatments without PSB. The addition of PSB had helped in increasing the available P by solubilizing the unavailable forms of P in soil22.

*Values with the same letter are statistically significant at 5% probability level by DMRT.
Similar to MBC, application of different treatments also significantly influenced the BSR. Highest BSR could be seen in T8 treatment (0.89 μg g⁻¹) and the lowest in T1 treatment (0.51 μg g⁻¹). All the treatments recorded higher BSR than the initial value prior to the experiment. SIR, which is an indicator of the active microbial population clearly indicated that microbial population was more active in T4 and T8 treatments (7.59 μg g⁻¹) than the other treatments.

FDHA was recorded highest in the treatments with combined application of FYM/VC and biofertilizers than the treatment receiving only recommended dose of chemical fertilizers (T3) and control (without any fertilizer) treatment (T1). The highest FDHA was detected in T10 treatment (56.50 μg g⁻¹) while it was lowest in T1 treatment (28.29 μg g⁻¹). The assay of FDHA provides the activities of enzymes like lipase, esterase and protease in soil. Data clearly indicated that T10 treatment maintained higher activities of these enzymes than other treatments.

The water soluble carbon, which is one of the most labile fractions of soil OC, is readily available to the soil microorganisms as an energy source. Higher the water soluble carbon, higher will be the microbial function and hence higher soil fertility. The control treatment (326 μg g⁻¹) showed the lowest water soluble carbon than other treatments and the initial value. The reduction was the effect of sunflower crop cultivation without any form of nutrient supplements either inorganic or organic. T4 treatment (477 μg g⁻¹) had the highest water soluble carbon. Treatments with conjoint application of various organic sources and biofertilizers recorded higher water soluble carbon than treatment with only recommended dose of chemical fertilizers. The total carbohydrate carbon status of the treated soils with integration of different inorganic and organic supplements varied similarly between the treatments as in water soluble carbon. The highest total carbohydrate carbon was in T2 (4871 μg g⁻¹) followed by statistically and significantly lower value in T3 treatment (4727 μg g⁻¹). The humus carbon fraction in soil is not easily available to the soil microorganisms, but with time it may be hydrolyzed to get utilized by the microorganisms. The highest humus carbon was recorded in T4 treatment (2147 μg g⁻¹) and the lowest in T1 (1227 μg g⁻¹). The other treatments could be ranked as T8 > T7 > T6 > T10 > T9 > T8 > T4 > T2.

The data of seed yield graphically represented in Figure 1 clearly indicated significant statistical difference among the treatments. The highest yield of sunflower seeds was recorded in T10 treatment (4.42 h ha⁻¹) followed by treatments T9 (4.32 t ha⁻¹), T5 and T6 without having any statistically significant difference between themselves. The other treatments could be ranked as T6 > T9 > T4 > T8 > T7 > T3 > T2. The treatments comprising of integrated application of fertilizers and organics contributed 68.07% to 107.51% increase of seed yield while it was 59.62% in the sole fertilizer treatment over control. Similar findings were also stated by Kalaiyarasan and Vaiyapuri et al.

Integrated nutrient management holds great promise in meeting the growing nutrient demand of modern agriculture. It can also help in maintaining production sustainability without deteriorating of the soil health and crop quality. The present field experiment clearly stated that the application of VC (2.5 t ha⁻¹) or FYM (5 t ha⁻¹) with AZ, PSB and 50% recommended doses of chemical fertilizers in alluvial soil with foliar spray of 2% urea (treatment – T10/T6) in sunflower, was the best possible combination for higher yield of the seed. The study thus indicated that the integrated application of the organics along with inorganic fertilizers resulted in maintaining higher chemical, microbiological, biochemical parameters of soils and increased seed yields of sunflower.
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Genetic lineage of *Zeugodacus caudatus* (Diptera: Tephritidae) detected with *mtCOI* gene analysis from India
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*Zeugodacus caudatus* (Fabricius) is a pest of eucarbit plants. The present study was conducted to draw the relationship among Indian *Z. caudatus* populations with the other defined genetic lineage of the species. A total of 18 individuals' *mtCOI* gene sequences from 3 populations of India were analysed along with 34 individuals' *mtCOI* gene sequences from Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand and China and generated 14 haplotypes. Phylogenetic study revealed the presence of distinct genetic lineage in *Z. caudatus* populations collected from India. The genetic distance between three distinct lineages of *Z. caudatus* was 0.057, 0.055 and 0.018 between Indonesia and Malaysia, India and
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