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Boron measurement in tourmaline from pegmatite veins, Simdega area, Chhotanagpur Gneissic Complex, Eastern India using Electron Probe Microanalysis
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Tourmaline group of minerals, the primary source of boron, are cyclosilicates which are widespread in the earth’s crust. Earlier studies involving the nomenclature and classification of tourmaline were based on the measurement of its common elements (Al, Mn, Fe, Mg, etc.). In all such studies, boron was assumed to be fixed in the composition and restricted only to the triangular structural site. However, recent discovery of the presence of boron in the tetrahedral structural site as well, necessitates the measurement of boron content. Much of the earlier attempts to measure boron were based on solution methods, and electron microprobe analysis (EPMA) was the least used due to low levels of detection of its analytical crystals. In the present study, we quantify boron – particularly along with fluorine and other major elements – in tourmaline grains using high-sensitivity PC3 analytical crystal. We found that the measured boron content slightly exceeds that of the stoichiometrically calculated boron. Also, the studied tourmalines come under the alkali group in general and belong to the schorl–dravite solid solution series in particular.
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Tourmaline group of minerals are cyclosilicates with significant amount of boron and are widespread in the earth’s crust. Tourmaline is regarded as a good indicator for understanding the crustal evolution because its paragenesis extends to a wide range of physico-chemical fields and can constitute a petrological indicator. Being a chemically and mechanically resistant mineral, its occurrence in clastic sedimentary rocks is common. Tourmaline can also form during the late stage of diagenesis. It can be related to two completely miscible solid solution series: schorl–dravite and schorl–elbaite. Some common end-members in the tourmaline group include schorl, dravite, elbaite, tsiliasite, uvite, liddicoa- tite, alkali-defect tourmaline, proton-deficient tourmaline, buergerite and ferridravite.
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Tourmaline can be defined with the generalized formula $X_T Z_6 (T_O 18)(B_{3}O_{18}) V_{3} W$ (ref. 11). It has two different types of octahedral sites: $Z$ site and the slightly larger $Y$ site$^{12,13}$. The $Z$ site is filled by Al, but Fe$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Ti, Mg, Cr and V$^{3+}$ can also replace it$^{14-20}$. The relatively larger $Y$ site allows extensive elemental substitutions involving monovalent, divalent, trivalent and quadrivalent cations such as Li, Mg, Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Zn, Al, Cr$^{3+}$, V$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Ti$^{4+}$ (refs 17, 21–23). The $X$ site accommodates Na, Ca, Mg and vacancies$^{24}$. Boron (=3) has no apparent substitution in the triangular site$^{25,26}$. However, excess boron (>3) can substitute for Si in the tetrahedral (T) site$^{27-31}$. F$^-$ or O$^{2-}$ can substitute for OH$^-$ in the hydroxyl sites V and W$^{24,32}$.

Knowledge about the concentration of low atomic number elements (boron, oxygen and fluorine) in tourmaline is significant in understanding the crystallization history. Quantification of low atomic number elements, especially that of boron, was previously attempted using different methods. For example, Iyengar$^{33}$ measured boron in fibrous tourmaline by the wet chemical method; Babu$^{34}$ estimated boron in achirote variety of tourmaline by the gravimetry method. Bastin and Heijligers$^{35}$ analysed boron in chemical compounds (TiB$_2$, ZrB$_2$) using electron microprobe analysis (EMPA). Ertl et al.$^{36}$ showed using secondary ion mass spectrometer (SIMS) that (i) B and Al substitute for Si at the tetrahedral T site, and (ii) B and Al show inverse relation from core to rim at the T site in tourmaline of the Himalaya mine, Mesa Grande, California, USA. On the other hand, tetrahedral boron (>3) concentration at the T site by combining chemical, crystallographic and spectroscopic data with NMR study has also been reported$^{27-31}$. Henry et al.$^{37}$ came up with an assumption, based on total concentration of boron in various tourmaline series, that this element fully occupies the triangular B site and can be calculated using stoichiometric constraints (i.e. B = 3). Thus, despite the fact that chemical analysis of boron was attempted, earlier studies involving EPMA have been limited on geological samples; they also lacked analytical accuracy and precision, and hence were not satisfactory.

In recent years, advancement in technology through the development of new EPMA models involving high-sensitivity crystals has further increased scientific interest in the characterization of minerals containing elements with low atomic number (<9), such as beryllium, boron, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and fluorine, which motivated us to initiate the present study. Here we quantify boron together along with fluorine and other major elements in tourmaline grains using SXFive EPMA made by CAMECA, France.

The east-west trending Chhotanagpur Gneissic Complex (CGC) is a sub-arcuate belt of the east Indian Shield$^{38-40}$ (Figure 1a). The study area in CGC is marked by two dominant lithologies, namely: (i) granite-gneiss, and (ii) phyllite and mica schist. The granite-gneiss has enclaves of older ENE–WSW trending supracrustals which contain metabasics, metapelites and metapsammites$^{41}$. Several basic to metabasic dykes cross-cut the
Figure 2. Photomicrographs of tourmaline occurring in Simdega pegmatites. *a–f*, Tur I showing no optical zoning. *g–i*, Tur II showing optical zoning. *f* and *i* are backscattered electron images. Mc, Microcline; Tur, Tourmaline; Qtz, Quartz.

Figure 3. Wavelength dispersive spectra of boron produced at different voltage and current values using PC3 crystal at two different standards (boron metal standard and boron nitride (BN) standard).
country rock (Figure 1b). Phyllite and mica schist dominantly occur in the southern part having veins and patches of quartzite and limestone of varied composition. Tourmaline development is common in the form of quartz–tourmaline pegmatitic veins at the contact region of granite-gneiss and metapelites. Two types of tourmaline in the pegmatitic veins have been observed from the Simdega area, i.e. Tur I: euhedral prismatic brown schorl tourmaline with no apparent optical zoning collected from the Konskeli, Kolangdega and Kodopani villages, and Tur II – oval to prismatic, green dravite tourmaline cluster showing optical zoning collected from the Bongera (Figure 2).

The electron probe microanalyzer has five spectrometers (SP1-LiF, SP2-PC3, SP3-LPET, SP4-LTAP and SP5-PC0) and a combination of different crystals installed in these spectrometers. Thin sections were coated with 20 nm thin layer of carbon (LEICA-EM ACE200 carbon coater). Analytical conditions were tested on the two standards, viz. boron (B) metal standard and boron nitride (BN) standard both of which were provided by CAMECA, France (Figure 3). A focused beam of diameter ~1 μm was used on the homogeneous volume of the sample throughout the analysis. During the experiment heterogeneity, other than composition difference affecting X-ray counts, is least since we carefully polished the samples. Repeated analysis was done and more than 500 points were analysed during the experiment at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and current of 40 nA. A special type of crystal (PC3) was used for the measurement of light elements like Be, B and C, which also prevents overlapping of the counts produced by other similar types of elements. The instrument was operated with SXFive
software using LaB$_6$ as the filament in the gun source. Andradite, a natural silicate mineral, was used to verify positions of crystals in all five spectrometers.

The PC2 and PC3 crystals have been installed in the SP2 spectrometer. In the PC3 crystal, only three elements, i.e. beryllium, boron and carbon can be accommodated at very far positions. Hence a wide range of background offset can be selected with least interference. Peak shift and peak alteration is a common phenomenon in the quantification of low atomic number elements, and can be reduced by the selection of a crystal that contains the least number of elements. The resulting large background offset value can be used in the measurement, and the PC3 crystal fulfils this requirement. In the wavelength dispersive spectra (WDS), spectra of boron generated by the PC2 crystal (Figure 4a and 4b), on the BN standard and a tourmaline sample peak shift is relatively large compared to that of PC3 crystal (Figure 4c and 4d). Hence we infer that the PC3 crystal is more suitable for the quantification of boron with higher satisfaction.

Table 1 presents the analytical conditions for boron in spectrometer SP2 along with those for major oxides. The X-ray intensity displays an inverse relation with voltage at the same current for boron, which is due to the migration of volatile elements (Figure 3). The X-ray intensity is best tested at low voltage and current, but we have used 15 kV and 40 nA since excitation of X-rays of other elements present (Fe, Mg, Al, etc.) is reduced at such a low voltage and current.

The calibration of B–K$_{α}$ was carried out at 15 kV and 40 nA using BN standard with the background offset ranging from –4500 to +1000 on the PC2 crystal and from –4000 to +2500 on the PC3 crystal attached to the SP2 spectrometer. The time for analysis was set to 30 sec each for the peak and the background. The WDS spectra was generated at 15 kV and 100 nA. The observed standard intensity of B–K$_{α}$ on PC2 crystal at BN standard was 49.92 cps/nA, whereas on the PC3 crystal it was 369.97 cps/nA for the same analytical conditions. Since the standard intensity of PC3 is approximately 7–8 times lower than PC2, the PC3 crystal is more suitable for the quantification of boron with higher satisfaction.
### Table 2: Representative X-ray data along with major oxides in normalised of this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;F&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>B&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG-32</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>100.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG-27/1</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>100.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG-29</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>100.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG-19</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>100.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG-27/2</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>100.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structural formula based on 31 anions (O, OH, F):

- Ti: 7.12
- Si: 7.11
- Al: 0.27
- Mg: 0.17
- Ca: 0.01
- Na: 0.51
- K: 0.01
- Cl: 0.00
- F: 0.00
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higher than that of the PC2 for same analytical conditions, the former is more useful for measurement of boron concentration.

In the present study, five samples of tourmaline collected from different pegmatitic veins of CGC were analysed. The composition of tourmaline in terms of the major element oxides, viz. SiO₂ (33.52–35.63 wt%), Al₂O₃ (30.15–33.24 wt%), TiO₂ (0.05–2.33 wt%), FeO (6.84–17.16 wt%), MgO (0.89–7.00 wt%), Na₂O (1.41–11.56 wt%) along with B₂O₃ (10.58–10.73 wt%) was measured (Table 2). Stoichiometric mineral formula was calculated using a computer program developed by Julie Seley and Jian Xiang [42,43]. The measured boron content was compared with that calculated (Figure 4) since the presence, albeit very limited, of boron at the tetrahedral T site has been reported in tourmaline from several localities. For example, tetrahedral boron (IVB) contents were reported with: (i) ~0.25 apfu from a schorl in a pegmatite near Stoffhütte, Koralpe, Styria, Austria [44]; (ii) ~0.40 apfu from an olenite in a pegmatite near Oleni Ridge, Russia [29] and (iii) ~0.17 apfu from the metamorphic dravite in Syros, Greece [5]. In the studied samples, the measured boron content is slightly higher (10.58–11.56 wt%) compared to that calculated (9.96–10.53 wt%; Figure 5).

Tourmaline from the studied samples comes under the alkali group in general (Figure 6a) and belongs to the schorl–dravite solid solution series in particular. Brown tourmaline has been classified as schorl, whereas green tourmaline as the dravite variety (Figure 6b). This study highlights that boron measurement in tourmaline can be made using electron probe microanlysers equipped with PC3 crystal, and the resulting data can be used to better constrain its paragenesis.
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The use of Cesium-137 ($^{137}$Cs) as a potential environmental marker was examined for estimating soil erosion induced carbon losses on slopping agricultural land. Depth-wise incremental soil samples were taken from uneroded reference sites and four levels of cultivated slopping lands representing different erosion phase in Doon valley region of India. Comparing the $^{137}$Cs inventories for eroded sites with the reference inventory, the erosion rates were computed. The estimated erosion rates were then compared with the actual measured values of erosion at each erosion phase. Since soil erosion preferentially removes the finer soil particles, these results were used to assess erosion induced loss of OC. The result indicated that erosion in different phases relocate 137 kg C ha$^{-1}$ in slightly eroded plots to 384 kg C ha$^{-1}$ in severely eroded plots which in turn contributes to 27 to 77 kg C ha$^{-1}$ the atmosphere as net source of C respectively.

Keywords: $^{137}$Cs technology, soil erosion, soil erosion induced C-loss, soil conservation, slopping agricultural land.

Soil erosion at slow rates is acceptable$^1$. However, if it goes beyond the soil regeneration rate, then soil erosion becomes a destructive process$^2$-$^4$. Anthropogenic activities such as intensive cultivation and inappropriate soil management techniques have accelerated the process of soil erosion, therefore, worldwide it is now described as the principal form of degradation$^1$-$^4$. The demand for more agricultural productivity increased the pressure on land, leading to accelerated soil loss$^5$-$^7$.

Hill and mountain landscapes are most susceptible to erosion. Globally, nearly 1.1 billion ha (b ha) of land area is affected by water-induced erosion, of which about 0.75 b ha is in a severe state$^3$. Erosion process impacts the redistribution of soil material, including carbon colloids within a landscape$^8$-$^{12}$.

1$^{37}$Cs – a potential environmental marker for assessing erosion-induced soil organic carbon loss in India
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