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Page 1613, para 3, line 9: read ‘Durayappah’ instead of ‘Durayappa’

Page 1614, para 5, lines 2 & 3: read ‘Deci and Ryan’s’ instead of ‘Ryan and Deci’s’

Page 1614, para 6, line 1: read ‘Deci and Ryan’s theory’ instead of ‘Ryan’s and Deci’s theory’

Page 1616 bottom last paragraph: A significant part is verbatim from Reference 35 quoting Reference 34. The paragraph could thus read corrected as: ‘Well-being is important in the thinking of a benefactor and in moral argument because of its importance for the individual whose well-being it is’. 34 35 Rodogno 35 quotes Scanlon 34 on whether well-being is important to the individual whose well-being it is, as: ‘(a) It sounds absurd to say that individuals have no reason to be concerned with their own well-being, (b) because this seems to imply that they have no reason to be concerned with those things that make their lives go better. (c) Clearly they do have reason to be concerned with these things. (d) But in regard to their own lives they have little need to use the concept of well-being itself, either in giving justifications or in drawing distinctions...The concept of one’s overall well-being does not play as important a role as it is generally thought to do in the practical thinking of a rational individual.’

Page 1620, ref. 9: read ‘Durayappah, A.,’ instead of ‘Durayappa, A.,’

We regret the errors.

–Authors