Characterization of subglacial pathways draining two tributary meltwater streams through the lower ablation zone of Gangotri glacier system, Garhwal Himalaya, India
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An attempt has been made to characterize the subglacial pathways that transport the meltwaters from Chaturangi and Raktavarn glaciers through the lower ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier, Indian Himalaya, by means of dye tracer experiments. These inactive tributaries of the Gangotri glacier contribute significantly to the proglacial discharge, which is dominantly controlled by air temperature (0–20°C at the measurement site) rather than rainfall (117 mm from June to September 2008). The breakthrough flow velocities, ranging from 0.6 to 1.7 m s⁻¹ and dispersivity values varying between 2.1 and 17.5 m, decreased with bulk discharges in the proglacial stream (R² = 0.66), indicating flow through highly efficient subglacial channels that develop during the melt season with increased meltwater influx. However, the dye return curves giving high velocities in a narrow range from 1.3 to 1.7 m s⁻¹ as seasonal discharges were peaking, are interpreted as indicative of flow through pressurized channel/s. In general, the subglacial channels routing meltwater from these input points are arguably controlled by recharge hydrographs rather than channel geometry during the ablation season. Closely spaced dye tests need to be carried out to better understand the factors that control the diurnal and seasonal development of these hydrological pathways, which are important for downstream water management.
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The size and shape of subglacial flow pathways exert a strong influence over the pressure and speed at which meltwaters are routed through glaciers¹. Glacier drainage system governs how meltwater is routed through the glacier, which in turn influences ice flow dynamics by affecting basal water pressures and sliding rates². Since majority of the bulk run-off from temperate glaciers is derived from meltwater generated at the glacier surface, it is likely that changes within the englacial/subglacial drainage system are linked directly to surface processes that control how meltwater is delivered to it³. Thus, seasonal changes in the bulk run-off hydrographs from glaciers may reflect both the effect of supraglacial melt removal and routing to the englacial/subglacial system⁴ as well as consequent changes in the efficiency and morphology of the system⁵. Since the interior of a glacier and its bed is inaccessible for direct observation, tracers become a useful tool for understanding the subglacial drainage and its development. Glaciers in the Alps and Rockies have been extensively studied to understand their subglacial systems and their seasonal development through dye tracer experiments, bore-hole investigations, etc.⁶⁻⁷. These studies suggest that subglacial drainage system imposes short-term storage effects on run-off that decreases during a melt season since the drainage system of a glacier tends to become more efficient at carrying large discharges through the glacier as the melt season progresses. Velocities in the subglacial drainage system also increase linearly with discharge, implying an effective decrease in apparent sinuosity⁸. The relationship between discharge and velocity as measured at the proglacial gauging station is often used to identify whether subglacial channels linking the injection site to the measurement site are unfilled and flowing, like surface streams, at atmospheric pressure, or whether they are filled with meltwater and pressurized above atmospheric pressure⁹. Flow velocity through subglacial drainage system is dependent on the character of flow pathways through which the water has travelled¹. Breakthrough velocities of >0.2 m s⁻¹ are commonly interpreted as indicative of flow through hydraulically efficient drainage systems, while those lesser than this value are indicative of flow through inefficient distributed hydrologic systems⁹⁻¹¹. A switching between distributed and channelized systems for subglacial drainage in the ablation zone of Dokriani glacier, Garhwal Himalaya was inferred based on transit velocities obtained from dye tracer
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experiments through one ablation season\textsuperscript{12}. Recently, Covington \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{13} used pipe flow approach to develop a conceptual and mathematical framework for determining the influence of conduit recharge and conduit geometry on proglacial hydrographs suggesting two limiting cases: in the first, the conduit system remains in near hydraulic equilibrium with slow changes in storage volume, and the recharge and discharge hydrographs are similar (recharge-dominated limit), whereas in the second limiting case, rapid changes in recharge result in increased storage and a discharge hydrograph different from the recharge hydrograph (geometry-dominated limit). A rare study combining dye-tracing with direct observation of conduit geometry in Rieperbreen, an outlet glacier of Foxfonna ice cap, Svalbard, suggested that seasonal changes in subglacial hydrological systems could be strongly influenced by seasonal changes in the hydraulic capacity of channelized zones, rather than simply by changes in the configuration of the subglacial drainage system\textsuperscript{15}.

Another commonly used parameter derived from the shape of breakthrough curves is dispersivity ($d$, in m), which represents the rate of spreading of a tracer cloud (described by the dispersion coefficient, $D$, in m$^2$ s$^{-1}$) relative to the rate of advection of the tracer during transit through a flow system ($u$, in m s$^{-1}$)\textsuperscript{8,15}. Dispersivity is a process by which a dye cloud in a fluid spreads out as it travels downstream. The dispersivity describes the rate of dispersion of the dye cloud relative to its rate of advection through the glacier, which has been used to infer the complexity of the flow path that it has followed\textsuperscript{6-10}. In general, more dispersed tracer returns ($d > 10$) reflect inefficient drainage pathways, while highly peaked, less dispersed ($d < 10$) curves are interpreted in terms of flow through efficient channels\textsuperscript{8}. Unlike Alpine and sub-polar glaciers, Himalayan glaciers are found at a much higher altitude, more debris-covered and also variously influenced by the Asian monsoon as well as by neotectonic activity\textsuperscript{16,17}, which make them more complex and less amenable to scientific research. Thus, studies that address the routing of meltwater through the glaciers are rare in the Himalaya. The proglacial discharges monitored during 2000–2006 ablation seasons suggest that discharges peak between end July and early August\textsuperscript{18}. The changes in time lag and time to peak are inversely correlated with variations in discharge on this glacier\textsuperscript{5}. The higher percentage of coarser particles found towards the end of the melt season suggests a subglacial sediment evacuation pattern that indicates progressively more interconnected drainage system with advancing melt season in the Gangotri glacier\textsuperscript{18}. The lower ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier is characterized by a thick debris cover and fracturing of ice with numerous supraglacial lakes and ponds. Variations in glacier surface velocities in the lower part of the Gangotri glacier suggest that subsequent to peak melting and discharge in July/August, flow velocities decrease to slower than average levels\textsuperscript{20}. Also unlike moulins fed by supra-glacial channels, these input streams which transport a large amount of sediment-laden water must be active the year round and the subglacial drainage development may have additional forcing factors. Nienow \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{3} opines that dramatic changes in subglacial/englacial systems may occur in glaciers where large streams form on the glacier surface and enter it at only a small number of input points. An interesting assessment of water transport in glaciers suggests that conduit density is primarily determined by the number of locations on the surface where water sinks into the aquifer along high-permeability pathways, rather than by the total surface area of the drainage basin\textsuperscript{21}. The present work attempts to understand the seasonal development of the subglacial drains that service the Chaturangi and Raktavarn glacier meltwater streams discharging into the lower ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier, by injecting known quantities of a dye tracer into the glacier near the inlets of these streams.

\section*{Study area}

The Gangotri glacier system (30\textdegree 43’–31°01’N and 79\textdegree 00’–79°17’E) is the source of the River Ganga, important to the socio-economic life and culture of northern India, that it has been declared the national river of India. This system comprises three major glacier tributaries, namely Chaturangi glacier (length 22.45 km; area 67.70 sq. km), Raktavarn glacier (length 15.90 km; area 55.30 sq. km) and Kirti glacier (length 11.05 km; area 33.14 sq. km), with the main Gangotri glacier (length 30.20 km; area 86.32 sq. km) as the trunk part of the system\textsuperscript{19}. Of these, Raktavarn and Chaturangi glaciers have receded 3.4 and 0.6 km respectively, from the main glacier trunk (distances measured on Google Earth image, 2010) and are discharging their meltwaters into the lower ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier tongue (Figure 1) although the Chaturangi glacier was reportedly an active tributary feeding the Gangotri glacier prior to 1971 (ref. 22). Their sediment-laden meltwater streams flow through morainic material at steep slopes and enter the Gangotri glacier at the eastern margin near the glacier base (Figure 2).

The glacier basin being located in the rain shadow region has a mean seasonal rainfall of about 260 mm (117 mm during June–September 2008) and air temperatures vary from 0°C to 20°C during the ablation season below the snout of the glacier\textsuperscript{23}. Bhagirathi, the meltwater stream that emerges from the Gangotri glacier terminus is important as a source of water for the Garhwal region, with several operational and under construction hydro-electric power projects.

\section*{Methods}

During the 2008 melt season, dye tracer tests were carried out injecting known quantities of rhodamine B near the
entry points of meltwater streams of Raktavarn (2100 m upstream) and Chaturangi glaciers (4300 m upstream) into the Gangotri glacier as well as into a supraglacial channel. This dye was chosen as the tracer as it has been successfully used in glaciers around the world to delineate subglacial hydrology and is non-toxic at ppb levels. Dye emergence was detected by a Turner Designs model 10-AU Continuous Flow Field Fluorometer about 1000 m below the Gangotri glacier terminus. A 25 mm flow cell was used and measurement intervals were either 5 or 10 sec. Injections were conducted along both the rising and falling limbs of the seasonal bulk discharge hydrograph. All injections, except one, were carried out between 10:30 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. when discharges at the measurement site on the proglacial stream began to increase, while the 21 June injection was carried out as discharge peaked for the day (Table 1). A single injection on 2 September was carried out on an active supraglacial channel between these two injection sites. Discharge measurements were carried out from June to September 2008 using area–velocity method at the measurement site, the stage being measured manually at regular intervals and surface flow velocity computed from wooden floats. This site was chosen for having a single channel with no braiding and a comparatively straight stretch, and suited logistically for long-term observations. During the tracer experiments, discharge measurements were carried out at half-hourly intervals by recording the stage beginning with the time of injection and continuing up to end of the experiment. Apart from these, daily rainfall was also recorded using a standard rain gauge near the dye detection site.

**Data processing**

The experimental data obtained as dye return curves were normalized to make them independent of discharge and amount of tracer injected following Schuler et al. The in this study the dispersion coefficient; velocity and dispersivity have been calculated according to the following equations derived by Brugman, applied only for the rising limb of the normalized breakthrough curve

\[ D = \frac{x^2(t_m - t_1)^2}{4t_m^2t_1 \ln[2(t_m/t_1)^{0.5}]}, \]  
\[ u = x/t_m, \]  
\[ d = D/u, \]

where \( x \) is the straight line distance between the points of dye injection and detection, \( t_m \) the time to maximum dye concentration taken from field data, and \( t_1 \) the time when the dye concentration reaches half its peak value on the rise to peak concentration. Tracer load was overestimated by six of the tests (Table 1), probably due to the combined effect of fluorescence from sediment and some drift in the instrument, because of which the area under the falling limb of the dye breakthrough curve could be exaggerated. However, this does not affect the main results as the travel time, velocity and dispersivity of the dye cloud has been calculated based on the rising limb of the dye breakthrough curve. There was no significant correlation observed between percentage dye recovered and straight-line velocity \((r = 0.18)\) or dispersivity \((r = 0.10)\).

**Results**

Figure 3 gives the seasonal discharge hydrograph and daily rainfall for the 2008 field season with the dye tracer...
Table 1. Dye tracer experiment data for Chaturangi and Raktavarn streams and a supraglacial channel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Input point</th>
<th>Straight line distance (m)</th>
<th>Tracer (g)</th>
<th>Injection time (h)</th>
<th>Travel time (min)</th>
<th>Dispersion coefficient $D$ (m$^2$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Transit velocity $u$ (ms$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Dispersivity $d$ (m)</th>
<th>Discharge at dye injection (m$^3$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Discharge at dye peak (m$^3$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Average daily discharge (m$^3$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Dye recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21 June</td>
<td>Raktavarn S.</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>16:35</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 June</td>
<td>Chaturangi S.</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 July</td>
<td>Chaturangi S.</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11:15</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 July</td>
<td>Chaturangi S.</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10:55</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>216.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 July</td>
<td>Raktavarn S.</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>14:25</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 August</td>
<td>Raktavarn S.</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11:13</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>194.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 August</td>
<td>Chaturangi S.</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11:35</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>151.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 August</td>
<td>Raktavarn S.</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10:55</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>134.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 September</td>
<td>Chaturangi S.</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10:40</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 September</td>
<td>Supraglacial C.</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11:55</td>
<td>189.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 September</td>
<td>Chaturangi S.</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11:11</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>137.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 September</td>
<td>Raktavarn S.</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>10:35</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S, Stream; C, Channel.
tests marked on the hydrograph. The discharge peaked during end July and thereafter declined. Rainfall was scanty, except for an extreme event in September accounting for 52 mm rainfall. The dye tests conducted in four phases during the 2008 melt season began as the discharges were rising to the peak value, near peak discharge, midway along the falling limb of the seasonal hydrograph, and towards the tail end of the seasonal hydrograph following the exceptionally high rainfall event.

**Characteristics of dye concentration curve**

Table 1 shows the dye concentration return data from 12 successful tracer injections between June and September, while Figures 4 and 5 display the normalized dye breakthrough curves for each injection into Chaturangi and Raktavarn inlet points respectively. The breakthrough curves mostly were characterized by a distinct single peak whose rising limb was steep and falling limb was more or less gentle and long-tailed. Secondary peaks are observed for injections in Chaturangi meltwater stream, except for the injections on 3 July and 30 August, when the measurements could not be continued due to power failure. The straight-line transit velocities ranged from 0.3 to 1.7 m s\(^{-1}\), while the dispersivities ranged between 2.1 and 23.1 m, the lowest velocity and highest dispersivity having been obtained from the single test conducted on a supraglacial channel. A decreasing trend in the straight-line transit velocity computed from the travel time for the dye peak is observed as the ablation season advances (\(R^2 = 0.59\)), based on all successful tests discussed in this study (Figure 6).

Dispersivity on the other hand does not show any linear relationship with progress of the ablation season. For injections on the Chaturangi glacier stream 4300 m up-stream of the measurement site, dispersivity showed a decrease from 16.7 to 2.1 m from 22 June to 12 July, as the average daily discharge increased from 79.5 to

**Figure 3.** Average daily snout discharge, daily rainfall from June to September 2008. (Tracer injections marked as arrows pointing down.)

**Figure 4.** Dye concentration curves resulting from injections at Chaturangi meltwater stream.
96.3 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ on the rising limb of the seasonal discharge hydrograph, while it was 6.5, 7.5 and 2.6 m for injections on 30 August, 1 September and 24 September respectively, as diurnal discharges fell from 51.9 through 37.4 to 10.9 m$^3$s$^{-1}$. On the Raktavarn stream further down the glacier, the dispersivity remained relatively stable throughout the season, varying within a narrow range of 13.5–17.5 m from June to end August, when the average daily discharge decreased from 87.1 to 43.1 m$^3$s$^{-1}$, while showing a significant reduction to 3.1 m as diurnal discharges decreased rapidly to 8.0 m$^3$s$^{-1}$. The 2 September supraglacial channel dye injection gave a dispersivity of 23.1 m, significantly higher than that for Raktavarn (13.5 m) and Chaturangi (7.5 m) streams carried out on the preceding days.

Proglacial discharge–velocity–dispersivity relationships

The breakthrough velocities and dispersivities obtained from the 12 tests were plotted against proglacial discharge at the time of dye peak (Figure 7a), which showed a strong positive linear relationship between discharge and velocity ($R^2 = 0.66$), while obtaining only a weakly positive power function between proglacial discharge and dispersivity ($R^2 = 0.14$). For tests conducted on the rising limb of the seasonal discharge hydrograph, the relationships between discharge and velocity ($R^2 = 0.02$) as well as dispersivity ($R^2 = 0.43$) are weak and moderate respectively (Figure 7b), while for the recession limb of the seasonal hydrograph discharge and velocity ($R^2 = 0.95$) as well as dispersivity ($R^2 = 0.74$) are strongly correlated (Figure 7c). Tests conducted on the drainage from Chaturangi glacier, gave a very strong velocity–discharge relationship ($R^2 = 0.98$) and a discharge–dispersivity relationship described by a polynomial function ($R^2 = 0.65$; Figure 8a). However, experiments carried out at Raktavarn glacier input give strong power functions that approximate linear regression for both velocity–discharge ($R^2 = 0.95$) and discharge–dispersivity relationships ($R^2 = 0.91$; Figure 8b).

Discussion

The results of the dye tracer experiments presented above suggest that the lower part of the ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier sampled by dye tracer tests has a highly efficient channelized drainage system transporting water
pockets at appreciably high velocities to the glacier terminus. For both the injection points on the Chaturangi and Raktavarn glacier meltwater streams, the breakthrough peaks (Figures 4 and 5) become smoother and delayed with the progress of ablation season. Along the falling limb of the discharge hydrograph, regardless of injection point, transit velocities decrease with discharge with a strong cross-correlation (breakthrough velocities ranging between 0.6 and 1.7 ms\(^{-1}\) are indicative that efficient subglacial channels in the lower ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier were closely linked to the injection points at Chaturangi glacier and Raktavarn glacier meltwater streams). This is in agreement with the observation of Fountain and Walder\(^{25}\) that low-pressure englacial and subglacial conduits exist near the valley walls of ablation zones of most temperate glaciers. This is also corroborated by the gradual shifting of the main ice cave at the Gangotri glacier terminus from the centre flowline to the eastern flank, observed during the last decade. This also gives rise to the paradoxical situation of a glacier trunk covered with thick debris that retards ablation, being opened up from the flanks by meltwater influx from inactive tributary glaciers and valley slopes. The subglacial sediment evacuation observed by Haritashya et al.\(^{18}\) could be accentuated by the subglacially entrained sediments from these tributary meltwaters being twice sorted in each subglacial location – first under the tributary and then in a short while under the trunk glacier.

A single injection carried out on 2 September on a supra-glacial channel on the Gangotri glacier tongue about 3800 m upstream of the measurement site, gave a transit velocity of 0.3 ms\(^{-1}\), about 30% of that obtained for Raktavarn and Chaturangi streams carried out on preceding days, suggesting that this channel is served by inefficient englacial/subglacial pathways, unlike those routing meltwaters from Raktavarn and Chaturangi glaciers.

Table 2 compares transit velocities obtained from dye tracer tests conducted in the ablation zones of glaciers in the Himalaya and elsewhere. The breakthrough velocity ranges obtained from the present study are significantly higher than those obtained from injections into ablation zones of other temperate glaciers and imply that the subglacial system that drains the Chaturangi and Raktavarn meltwaters through the lower ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier is far more efficient and appears to be kept so by
the continuous meltwater input from these inactive tributary glaciers. Unlike small supraglacial streams whose limited meltwater input into moulins is further limited in the near absence of melting during cold nights, Chaturangi and Raktavarn meltwater streams drain large glacier systems that continuously supply substantial amounts of sediment-laden meltwater into the Gangotri glacier. Though actual measurements could not be carried out, based on the glacier covered areas it can be safely estimated that both these meltwater streams carry at least 20–30% of the proglacial discharges from the Gangotri glacier measured at the dye detection site at any given time. Such high influxes would ensure that ice creep does not effectively collapse the subglacial network it serves at least during the ablation season and possibly through most of the winter. Based on dye transit velocities in the range 0.6–1.7 ms\(^{-1}\) obtained for the tests, the subglacial system that was accessed by the tracer has been acting as a highly efficient channel for most of the period covered by the dye tests. A study\(^{28}\) on the seasonal variation of suspended and solute load during the same period concluded that dilution effect plays a major role in controlling the variability of solute concentration in the Gangotri glacier meltwaters. Thus the observed decrease in transit velocities with decreasing proglacial discharge could indicate the prevalence of recharge-dominated hydraulic responses as postulated by Covington et al.\(^{13}\).

Dispervisity values in the 2.1–23.1 m range (Table 1), suggest that dye dispersion is consistent with channelized flow. The July injections giving highly skewed breakthrough curves, indicating somewhat pressurized pathways being filled with meltwater as the discharge peaks, and temporary storage in the drainage system is deduced from the massive tail and secondary peak on the falling limb of the normalized dye breakthrough curve. This could be the result of conduits being recharged from large catchments at dye inlet points, with increasing run-off giving rise to increased hydraulic head in the conduit, creating hydraulic gradients that drive water from the conduit into the distributed system\(^{21}\). However, with limited number of injections near peak discharges, we are constrained to surmise that at peak ablation season, the subglacial channels could be pressurized by meltwater during June–August period. The decrease in dispersivity for the two dye injections at the end of September when proglacial discharges were about 10% of peak discharges, suggests that all of the water flowed through the wide open subglacial channel network.

In general, the Raktavarn stream injections have higher dispersivities than those at the Chaturangi stream, though the distance traversed is lesser by 2200 m, indicating that the latter is more efficiently connected to the main subglacial channel network. It must be stated that variation in input channel slopes, turbulence, etc. could have also dispersed the dye even before reaching the main subglacial channel. On the other hand, transit velocities are remarkably similar for both the injection points, suggesting that most of the traverse of the dye is through hydraulically efficient channels and possibly feed the same subglacial channel emerging from the glacier terminus. The decrease of dispersivity from end August to end September coupled with decreasing transit velocities as proglacial discharges fall rapidly, arguably indicate that the subglacial network is kept open throughout this period by an increase in the contribution of these tributary meltwater streams.

### Conclusion

The analysis of tracer test data suggests that the subglacial system in the lower part of the Gangotri glacier served by both the Chaturangi and Raktavarn glaciers (both inactive tributaries) sampled through this study is a channelized system at atmospheric pressure that approximates to proglacial streams in terms of hydraulic behaviour during the ablation season. The breakthrough flow velocities ranging from 0.6 to 1.7 ms\(^{-1}\) and dispersivity values varying between 2.1 and 17.5 m, decreased with bulk discharges in the proglacial stream \((R^2 = 0.66)\), indicating that the meltwater input from these inactive tributaries is the dominant factor that governs the character of subglacial drainage during the ablation season. In the falling limb of the discharge hydrograph, the decreasing trend in breakthrough velocities \((R^2 = 0.95)\) and dispersivities \((R^2 = 0.74)\) reveals highly efficient channelized system, with frictional forces decreasing flow velocities as meltwater inflow reduces. Such flow conditions in the

### Table 2. Breakthrough velocities obtained from dye tracer tests conducted in ablation zones of some glaciers around the world

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Breakthrough velocity (ms(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gangotri glacier</td>
<td>Indian Himalaya</td>
<td>0.6–1.7</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dokriani glacier</td>
<td>Indian Himalaya</td>
<td>0.10–0.47</td>
<td>Hasnain et al.(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gornergletscher</td>
<td>Swiss Alps</td>
<td>0.5–0.75</td>
<td>Werder et al.(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unterargletscher</td>
<td>Swiss Alps</td>
<td>0.1–0.75</td>
<td>Werder et al.(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haut Glacier D’Arolla</td>
<td>Swiss Alps</td>
<td>0.07–0.72</td>
<td>Nienow et al.(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storglaciaren</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>0.03–0.16</td>
<td>Seaberg et al.(^6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
subglacial drainage fed by the large meltwater streams from the Chaturangi and Raktavarn glaciers may affect solute acquisition rates in the lower ablation zone. In view of the fact that both these meltwater input points serve its eastern margin, the variation in ice flow velocities along E–W profiles in the lower ablation zone of the Gangotri glacier merits investigation. However, as a significant portion of straight-line distance for both injection points was covered in the proglacial stream, a series of closely spaced tracer experiments covering both these injection points and other meltwater inlets in the lower ablation zone with detection site close to the glacier terminus need to be carried out. Such detailed studies covering seasonal and diurnal development of the glacier hydrological system are important not only for understanding the glacier dynamics, but also downstream water resource management.
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