which are mosquitoicidal, and safe to man and environment. The Vector Control Research Centre (ICMR), Puducherry, under the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), Government of India, isolated an indigenous strain of a bacterium, Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis. This strain was found highly lethal to a variety of mosquitoes that transmit filariasis, malaria, dengue and other vector-borne diseases. The Pasteur Institute, Paris, a WHO collaborating centre for identifying and testing bioefficacy of biocontrol agents, rated this strain as the most toxic.

Over a period of two decades, the Centre worked on aspects such as bioefficacy against a variety of mosquitoes and safety to non-targets organisms, especially economically important insects such as honey bees and silk worms. The bacterium was found highly effective in killing the aquatic stages of mosquitoes and not harmful to any other organisms, except mosquitoes. Scientists continued their efforts towards developing cost-effective production and formulation technologies. The agent was tested in several distant geographical areas with different geoclimatic conditions for its efficacy, shelf-life, etc. and was found to be fit for an efficient mosquito control operation in those areas. Thus, an indigenous bio-friendly mosquito control agent was developed. Further, the efficacy of this agent was tested independently by other national and international agencies and certified to be highly effective.

Based on these facts a few Indian entrepreneurs came forward to commercialize this product and take it to the public. But, to their dismay, they lost their money. While they struggled to obtain the Central Insecticide Board (CIB) registration, their greatest block was the approval of their product by the National Vector Borne Diseases Control Programme (NVBDCP), another body under MoHFW. This organization has approved a product imported from Russia, and turned down the product developed by Indian scientists. The reasons given for not approving the indigenous product by NVBDCP have been changing during successive years at the meetings of the Technical Advisory Committee that came out with the recommendations leading to blocking of the indigenous product from marketing. It is to be noted that this product has met all the requirements necessary for obtaining the clearance by the CIB, an apex body which gives approval for use of insecticides in the country. NVBDCP has been successfully blocking the sale of indigenous products because of reasons best known to the health officials of State and Central agencies, including the highest level of health authorities of the country. It appears that all this is to protect the interests of one firm, Biotech International Ltd, New Delhi. This company has been doggedly pursuing the prevention of not only indigenous products, but also other products of similar type, including those of multinational giants such as Sumitomo, let alone the small Indian investors.

It is thus one government organization with the responsibility of public health sabotaging the efforts of another government research organization committed to taking indigenous research to the health of the nation. If this is the fate of an indigenously developed technology by an institute of national importance, the fate of those technologies that are developed at lesser known institutions will end up on papers. When there is a lot of public outcry about public-funded research not reaching the common man, an indigenous product with immense use in combating NTDs is struggling to survive.
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Indian science is speeding up

Arunan1 has focused on some of the pitfalls and burning issues of the Indian science scenario. It is true that India is lagging behind China in scientific research, but it has the potential to stand neck-to-neck with many developed countries, including France, Germany and the United Kingdom. Though India may take some more time to gain a prestigious position in the scientific world, data from many of our research laboratories already suggest that Indian science is ready to make a big leap.

Our scientific funding in S&T is rapidly increasing every year. We spent more than Rs 29,000 crores in 2010, which was about 16% higher than the S&T expenditure in the previous year. India can lead in the scientific world if expenditure on higher education is increased substantially. Institutions like IITs, IIMs and IISc have their own importance in professional education, but the vast majority of the younger generation should derive benefits from higher education.

We must ensure that all science subjects have good laboratory manuals and more emphasis is put on research methodology and training skills, so that a skilled and dedicated ‘science force’ may be developed to take up challenges of the new millennium.
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