

The war against MDR pathogens: move fungi to the frontline

T. S. Suryanarayanan* and D. L. Hawksworth

The evolution and spread of resistance among pathogenic microbes to different antibiotics currently in use is a global health problem. Attempts are being made to tackle this major health burden by involving policy makers, scientists, healthcare professionals, the general public and industry. Several strategies, including improvement of prescribing practices, use of combination therapies and synthetic antibiotics, and development of species-specific antibiotics have been suggested to retard the evolution of drug resistance. However, most of the new antibiotic molecules which are being prepared to be marketed are only modifications of existing ones, thus lacking novelty in their mechanism of action or target sites. It is reasonable to expect that the introduction of totally new antibiotics would delay the evolution of drug resistance. In this context, the filamentous fungi are a promising source of novel antibiotics. Their diverse biochemical pathways, the range of ecological niches they occupy, and that 8% or less of the 2.2–3.8 million estimated fungal species are known, underscore the now urgent need to screen them for novel antibiotics.

Keywords: Drug resistance, filamentous fungi, novel antibiotics, pathogenic microbes.

THE resistance of pathogenic microbes to almost all the antibiotics currently in use is seen as a global threat to public health^{1,2}. Multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria and fungi are a major concern worldwide as they may cause severe and fatal infections (www.who.int/drugresistance/en/), and by 2050, could cause 10 million deaths annually³. For example, the mathematical model of Sharma *et al.*⁴ predicts that the percentage of MDR and extensively drug resistant (XDR) tuberculosis bacteria would rise with time in India, the Philippines, Russia, and South Africa. Over the years, many species of human pathogenic bacteria and fungi have developed resistance to antibiotics. These include multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter*, fluconazole-resistant *Candida*, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), fluoroquinolone-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (FQRPA), methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), and drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*⁵. In February 2017, the World Health Organization (WHO) released a first list of antibiotic resistant ‘priority pathogens’ including 12 species of bacteria which present the greatest health risk (http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/WHO-PPL-Short_Summary_25Feb-ET_NM_WHO.pdf?ua=1). Fair

and Tor⁶ and Ventola² list the causes for this crisis situation, which include indiscriminate and overuse of antibiotics among humans and in agriculture, and inappropriate prescribing. Furthermore, most antibiotics in current use have been obtained from a minimum set of molecular architectures and their lifetimes have been extended by synthetic modifications⁷. This scenario is compounded by the disinclination of pharmaceutical industries to develop new antibiotics from organisms in nature due to economic and regulatory constraints^{3,6,8}. As one of the strategies to control MDR pathogens, here we underscore the need to screen fungi in general, and particularly those from largely unexplored habitats, for novel antibiotics.

Antibiotics in nature

In nature, antibiotics are natural products, primarily of bacteria and fungi, but also from some plants and other organisms; their production is a multi-step process under the control of many genes. The ability to produce and resist antibiotics is considered to have evolved early in the evolution of microbes². In the natural environment, these compounds have roles in giving species an ecological advantage, for example, as antifeedents or natural biocides inhibiting or eliminating other species competing for the same resource. This results in increased fitness of the antibiotic producer in interspecific competitions^{9,10}. Experiments using soil bacteria confirm that antibiotics aid the producer by inhibiting the establishment of

T. S. Suryanarayanan is in the Vivekananda Institute of Tropical Mycology, RKM Vidyapith, Chennai 600 004, India; D. L. Hawksworth is in the Department of Comparative Plant and Fungal Biology, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 3DS, UK and the Department of Life Sciences, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK.

*For correspondence. (e-mail: t_sury2002@yahoo.com)

competitors, but they also select for antibiotic resistance among the competitors¹¹.

Resistance to antibiotics

Antibiotics, whether produced directly by organisms or synthetically, are arguably the most successful therapeutic agents in the treatment of human disease to date, but these are becoming less effective due to the evolution of antibiotic-resistant strains. Antibiotic resistance does develop in nature, but there the selection and transmission of this trait amongst bacteria and fungi has been accelerated by the indiscriminate use of antibiotics. Generally, antibiotics work by inhibiting cell-wall synthesis, protein synthesis or nucleic acid synthesis of the susceptible bacterial or fungal species/strain. They may also act by disrupting a metabolic pathway or cell membrane of the pathogen. A bacterium becomes resistant to an antibiotic either through mutation or by horizontal gene transfer (HGT) from a resistant strain^{12,13}. HGT is the major mechanism for the evolution of resistance; the resistance genes are usually located in mobile genetic elements of the bacterium, such as plasmids and transposons which function as vectors transferring resistance gene between individual cells of the same or different species¹⁴. A bacterium resists antibiotics through enzymatic inactivation of the antibiotic, alteration of the metabolic pathway targeted by an antibiotic, active efflux of the antibiotic¹⁵, or by modification of the antibiotic-binding site¹⁶.

Currently, a few strategies are being explored to counter the major health threat posed by MDR pathogens. These include improvement of prescribing practices¹⁷, use of combination or host-directed therapies¹⁸ and synthetic antibiotics¹⁹, activation of silent or cryptic antibiotic gene clusters of microbes to obtain new antibiotics²⁰, development of synthetic⁶ and species-specific antibiotics^{21,22}, identification of novel target sites in bacteria by functional and structural genomics²³, and discovery of novel antibiotics through culture-independent approach²⁴. In addition to such laboratory-oriented solutions, incentive programmes have been suggested to push many novel antibiotics from their pre-clinical phase to commercialization phase²⁵. According to Venkataraman Ramakrishnan, a Nobel laureate, cooperation between governments at the international level will be the critical step towards solving this crisis²⁶. Although antibiotic development through all these initiatives now appears to have a fresh impetus, only 33% of the new antibiotics being studied is likely to become marketable²⁷. Most of these are not, however, completely new molecules, but modifications of existing ones. They consequently lack novelty in their modes of action or target sites – attributes essential for effectiveness against resistant pathogens²⁸.

The ideal scenario is to discover entirely novel antibiotics that can tackle drug-resistant pathogens. Bioprospect-

ing for less-studied and novel organisms can be expected to be particularly promising in this regard. Natural products exhibit enormous chemical diversity with chemicals of unprecedented molecular structures and which are necessarily vested with different bioactivities – all advantages over the combinatorial chemistry approach, thus expanding the base for the search for novel antibiotics. This consideration, and that the synthetic methods have not as yet resulted in any game-changing success²⁹, heighten the importance and urgency of bioprospecting for novel drugs.

Fungi as a platform for novel antibiotics

A majority of the commercialized antibiotics so far obtained is from soil-dwelling actinobacteria²². A consequence of this lack of diversity in the source of antibiotics facilitates the acceleration of the development of drug resistance in the target pathogens. Tackling the antibiotic crisis needs a thorough understanding of the evolution and mode of drug resistance, identification of new genetic and chemical indicators of antibiotic action and resistance, the use of systems biology for antibiotic discovery and finding new chemical scaffolds³⁰. Although recent endeavours involving genome analysis could aid in revealing novel scaffolds, it is imperative that we explore untapped natural resources to augment the variety of antibiotics used which, by being hitherto unencountered by the pathogens of concern, may be more effective and so could slow down the evolution drug resistance⁷.

In this context, screening fungi for novel antibiotics appears to be a prime option. Despite the knowledge that fungi produce an extraordinary number of compounds, earlier discoveries of pharmaceutically important compounds from fungi were accidental or due to screening of ubiquitous fungal species³¹. Now, however, the pharmaceutical industry is inclined to study fungi more systematically as they are relatively less explored for novel compounds^{32,33} and their biosynthetic pathways are highly diverse³⁴.

The existence of fungi in a myriad of habitats attests to their superior competitive ability. Survival in different ecological niches necessitates competition with different organisms which again entails elaboration of suitable bioactive compounds. Nearly half of the 33,500 known microbial bioactive metabolites are of fungal origin²⁴. The extent of unexplored and unnamed fungal biota is enormous, with only around 120,000 described species compared with an estimated range of 2.2 to 3.8 million actual species on Earth³⁵. Such realization and that hitherto actinobacteria have been the principal candidates screened for antibiotic discovery³⁶ are sufficient justification for the exploration of more fungi for novel antibiotics effective against MDR pathogens³⁷. This potential is evidenced by studies on fungi from extreme and less-

studied habitats. For instance, endophytic fungi, asymptomatic endosymbionts of plants have proved to be prolific producers of novel bioactive compounds^{38–41}. Similarly, fungi inhabiting marine habitats³⁶, deep seas^{42–45} and mangrove ecosystems⁴⁶ elaborate an array of novel compounds, including some active against drug resistant bacteria. Fungi associated with sea weeds⁴⁷ and marine sponges^{48,49} are another untapped source of novel compounds and some are active against MRSA^{50,51}. Therefore, in addition to the various methods already being devoted to counter the antibiosis crisis, it would be prudent to explore the highly diverse unexplored fungi for novel antibiotics⁵².

Apart from endophytes and deep-sea fungi, numerous other ecological niches that have not been explored sufficiently for species diversity have been identified⁵³ – the basic step *sine qua non* for exploiting their chemical diversity. Although a highly specialized niche may entail lesser interspecific competition, reducing the need for any antibiotic production, fungi from such niches may have novel molecular templates for other products important for their survival. A few fungi from such specialized niches have already been found to produce novel compounds, including antibiotics reinforcing and substantiating the argument that these unique fungi should be explored assiduously. Examples include salt-tolerant and halophilic fungi⁵⁴, endolithic, thermo- and psychrophilic fungi^{55,56}, fungi associated with insects⁵⁷, rumens, tropical peat, corals⁵⁸, resins, bryophytes and lichens^{59,60}, and plant pathogenic fungi⁶¹.

We emphasize that apart from screening fungi from such unusual and less-studied habitats for novel antibiotics and their scaffolds, subjecting them to recently developed methodologies such as the identification of biosynthetic gene clusters⁶² using the OSMAC approach⁶³, triggering the normally unexpressed cryptic genes^{64–66} and the synthetic biology approach⁶⁷ would be worthwhile.

Altering the fermentation parameters increases the chances of induction of a wider range of compounds metabolites from a particular strain of a fungus⁶⁸, since not all genes are expressed under one set of culture conditions. For instance, the number of metabolite gene clusters housed by members of the fungal subphylum Pezizomycotina group exceeds the number of compounds produced by them⁶⁹. Challenging a test fungus with strains of drug-resistant microbes may well be expected to induce the production of novel antibiotics by stimulating the expression of otherwise silent genes. Co-culturing also has the potential to reveal novel compounds. For instance, when *Penicillium fuscum* and *Penicillium camembertii/clavigerum* are cultured together, several novel macrolides are formed which neither fungus produces when cultured alone⁷⁰; one of these, berkeleylactone A, inhibits MRSA⁷⁰. The need for a focused study of fungal biosynthetic pathways is underscored by the recent

work of Nielsen *et al.*⁷¹; genome mining of just 24 species of *Penicillium* revealed 1317 putative biosynthetic gene clusters involved in compound synthesis. Here, potentially prolific fungal producers of natural products outside the relatively well-studied *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* species, remain to be identified and subjected to genomic mining and epigenetic induction of silent genes⁵². Another facet is to identify methods to increase the production of bioactive compounds in culture by fungi. Numerous lead compounds of fungal origin remain unutilized since they are not produced in required titres⁵², at least by routinely used methodologies.

In the light of the evidence obtained so far, we wish to encourage funding agencies to adopt a more proactive approach to exploring and assessing the potential of fungi in unexplored habitats as a platform for the production of compounds active against drug-resistant bacteria and fungi. We also wish to see pertinent authorities revisiting regulations that currently restrict exploration of the unexplored fungal biota by inhibiting the isolation, culture and movement of fungi⁸. In December 2015, the General Assembly of the International Union of Biological Sciences passed a Resolution drawing the attention of nations to Article 8a of the Nagoya Protocol to the Convention on Biological Diversity, urging them to ensure that in developing national regulations they facilitate the exchange of living materials for non-commercial scientific purposes. This is an issue each nation needs to take on board in the fight against MDR organisms.

1. Kumarasamy, K. K. *et al.*, Emergence of a new antibiotic resistance mechanism in India, Pakistan, and the UK: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, 2010, **10**, 597–602.
2. Ventola, C. L., The antibiotic resistance crisis. Part 1: causes and threats. *Pharm. Ther.*, 2015, **40**, 277–283.
3. The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. Antimicrobial resistance: tackling a crisis for the health and wealth of nations. 2014, pp. 1–20; https://maerreview.org/sites/default/files/AMR%20Review-20Review%20Paper%20%20Tackling%20a%20crisis%20for%20the%20health%20and%20wealth%20of%20nations_1.pdf
4. Sharma, A. *et al.*, Estimating the future burden of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in India, the Philippines, Russia, and South Africa: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, 2017, **17**, 707–715.
5. Martens, E. and Demain, A. L., The antibiotic resistance crisis, with a focus on the United States. *J. Antibi.*, 2017, **70**, 520–526.
6. Fair, R. J. and Tor, Y., Antibiotics and bacterial resistance in the 21st century. *Perspect. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 25–64.
7. Fischbach, M. A. and Walsh, C. T., Antibiotics for emerging pathogens. *Science*, 2009, **325**, 1089–1093.
8. Hawksworth, D. L. and Dentinger, B. T. M., Antibiotics: relax UK import rule on fungi. *Nature*, 2013, **496**, 169.
9. Davies, J., What are antibiotics? Archaic functions for modern activities. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2015, **4**, 1227–1232.
10. Hibbing, M. E., Fuqua, C., Parsek, M. R. and Peterson, S. B., Bacterial competition: surviving and thriving in the microbial jungle. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.*, 2010, **8**, 15–25.
11. Wiener, P., Experimental studies on the ecological role of antibiotic production in bacteria. *Evol. Ecol.*, 1996, **10**, 405–421.

12. Aminov, R. I. and Mackie, R. I., Evolution and ecology of antibiotic resistance genes. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2007, **271**, 147–161.
13. Wang, R. *et al.*, The global distribution and spread of the mobilized colistin resistance gene *mcr-1*. *Nature Commun.*, 2018, **9**; doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03205-z
14. Hiltunen, T., Virta, M. and Laine, A.-L., Antibiotic resistance in the wild: an eco-evolutionary perspective. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B*, 2017, **372**, 20160039; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0039>
15. Munita, J. M. and Arias, C. A., Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Microbiol. Spectrum*, 2016, **4**; doi:10.1128/microboilspec.VMBF-0016-2015
16. Belousoff, M. J. *et al.*, Structural basis for linezolid binding site rearrangement in the *Staphylococcus aureus* ribosome. *mBio*, 2017, **8**, e00395-17; <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00395-17>
17. Ventola, C. L., The antibiotic resistance crisis: Part 2: management strategies and new agents. *Pharm. Ther.*, 2015, **40**, 344–352.
18. Chiang, C.-Y., Uzoma, I., Moore, R. T., Gilbert, M., Duplantier, A. J. and Panchal, R. G., Mitigating the impact of antibacterial drug resistance through host-directed therapies: current progress, outlook, and challenges. *mBio*, 2018, **9**, e01932-17; <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01932-17>.
19. Seiple, I. B. *et al.*, A platform for the discovery of new macrolide antibiotics. *Nature*, 2016, **533**, 338–345.
20. Onaka, H., Novel antibiotic screening methods to awaken silent or cryptic secondary metabolic pathways in actinomycetes. *J. Antibiot.*, 2017, **70**, 865–870.
21. Wilson, D. N. *et al.*, Species-specific antibiotic–ribosome interactions: implications for drug development. *Biol. Chem.*, 2005, **386**, 1239–1252.
22. Lewis, K., Platforms for antibiotic discovery. *Nature Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2013, **12**, 371–387.
23. Rossolini, G. M. and Thaller, M. C., Coping with antibiotic resistance: contributions from genomics. *Genome Med.*, 2010, **2**, 15.
24. Hover, B. M. *et al.*, Culture-independent discovery of the malacindins as calcium-dependent antibiotics with activity against multi-drug-resistant Gram-positive pathogens. *Nature Microbiol.*, 2018, **3**, 415–422.
25. Simpkin, V. L., Renwick, M. J., Kelly, R. and Mossialos, E., Incentivising innovation in antibiotic drug discovery and development: progress, challenges and next steps. *J. Antibiot.*, 2017, **70**, 1087–1096.
26. Moskvitch, K., How to solve the problem of antibiotic resistance. *Sci. Am.*, 2015, **28**.
27. Stern, S., Chorzelski, S., Franken, L., Völler, S., Rentmeister, H. and Grosch, B., A call for concerted action on antibiotics research and development, The Boston Consulting, 2017.
28. Renwick, M. J., Simpkin, V. and Mossialos, E., Targeting innovation in antibiotic drug discovery and development: the need for a one-health, one-Europe, one-world framework. European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, London, UK, Health Policy Series No. 45, 2017, pp. 1–133.
29. Ling, L. L. *et al.*, A new antibiotic kills pathogens without detectable resistance. *Nature*, 2015, **517**, 455–459.
30. Wright, G. D., Solving the antibiotic crisis. *ACS Infect. Dis.*, 2015, **1**, 80–84.
31. Ho, W. H., To, P. C. and Hyde, K. D., Induction of antibiotic production of freshwater fungi using mix-culture fermentation. *Fungal Divers.*, 2003, **12**, 45–51.
32. Hyde, K. D., Increasing the likelihood of novel compound discovery from filamentous fungi. In *Bio-exploitation of Filamentous Fungi* (eds Pointing, S. B. and Hyde, K. D.), Fungal Diversity Research Series 6, Fungal Diversity Press, Hong Kong, 2001, pp. 77–91.
33. Macheleidt, J. *et al.*, Regulation and role of fungal secondary metabolites. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, 2016, **50**, 371–392.
34. Kück, U., Bloemendal, S. and Teichert, I., Putting fungi to work: harvesting a cornucopia of drugs, toxins, and antibiotics. *PLoS Pathog.*, 2014, **10**, e1003950; <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003950>
35. Hawksworth, D. L. and Lücking, R., Fungal diversity revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 million species. *Microbiol. Spectrum*, 2017, **5**, FUNK-0052-2016.
36. Bugni, T. S. and Ireland, C. M., Marine-derived fungi: a chemically and biologically diverse group of microorganisms. *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2004, **21**, 143–163.
37. Sugie, Y. *et al.*, CJ-15,801, a novel antibiotic from a fungus, *Seimatosporium* sp. *J. Antibiot.*, 2001, **54**, 1060–1065.
38. Kaushik, N. K., Murali, T. S., Sahal, D. and Suryanarayanan, T. S., A search for antiplasmodial metabolites among fungal endophytes of terrestrial and marine plants of southern India. *Acta Parasitol.*, 2014, **59**, 745–757.
39. Chang, W., Zhang, M., Li, Y., Li, X., Gao, Y., Xie, Z. and Lou, H., Lichen endophyte derived pyridoxatin inactivates *Candida* growth by interfering with ergosterol biosynthesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2015, **1850**, 1762–1771.
40. Yedukondalu, N. *et al.*, Diapolic acid A–B from an endophytic fungus, *Diaporthe terebinthifolii* depicting antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity. *J. Antibiot.*, 2017, **70**, 212–215.
41. Adelin, E., Le Goff, G., Retailleau, P., Bonfill, M. and Ouazzani, J., Isolation of the antibiotic methyl (R,E)-3-(1-hydroxy-4-oxocyclopent-2-en-1-yl)-acrylate EA-2801 from *Trichoderma atroviridae*. *J. Antibiot.*, 2017, **70**, 1053–1056.
42. Takahashi, K. *et al.*, Cladomarine, a new anti-saprolegniasis compound isolated from the deep-sea fungus, *Penicillium coralligerum* YK-247. *J. Antibiot.*, 2017, **70**, 911–914.
43. Wang, Y.-T., Xue, Y.-R. and Liu, C.-H., A brief review of bioactive metabolites derived from deep-sea fungi. *Mar. Drugs*, 2015, **13**, 4594–4616.
44. Wang, J. *et al.*, Screening of anti-biofilm compounds from marine-derived fungi and the effects of secalonic acid D on *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **27**, 1078–1089.
45. Gao, X.-W., Liu, H.-X., Sun, Z.-H., Che, Y.-C., Tan, Y.-Z. and Zhang, W.-M., Secondary metabolites from the deep-sea derived fungus *Acaromyces ingoldii* FS121. *Molecules*, 2016, **21**, 371.
46. He, K.-Y. *et al.*, New chlorinated xanthone and anthraquinone produced by a mangrove-derived fungus *Penicillium citrinum* HL-5126. *J. Antibiot.*, 2017, **70**, 823–827.
47. Suryanarayanan, T. S., Venkatachalam, A., Thirunavukkarasu, N., Ravishankar, J. P., Doble, M. and Geetha, V., Internal mycobiota of marine macroalgae from the Tamil Nadu coast: distribution, diversity and biotechnological potential. *Bot. Mar.*, 2010, **53**, 456–468.
48. Suryanarayanan, T. S., The diversity and importance of fungi associated with marine sponges. *Bot. Mar.*, 2012, **55**, 553–564.
49. Thirunavukkarasu, N., Suryanarayanan, T. S., Girivasan, K. P., Venkatachalam, A., Geetha, V., Ravishankar, J. P. and Doble, M., Fungal symbionts of marine sponges from Rameswaram, southern India: species composition and bioactive metabolites. *Fungal Divers.*, 2012, **55**, 37–46.
50. Ookura, R., Kito, K., Ooi, T., Namikoshi, M. and Kusumi, T., Structure revision of circumdatins A and B, benzodiazepine alkaloids produced by marine fungus *Aspergillus ostianus* by X-ray crystallography. *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 4245–4247.
51. Weise, J. *et al.*, Phylogenetic identification of fungi isolated from the marine sponge *Tethya aurantium* and identification of their secondary metabolites. *Mar. Drugs*, 2011, **9**, 561–585.
52. Karwehl, S. and Stadler, M., Exploitation of fungal biodiversity for discovery of novel antibiotics. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.*, 2016, **398**, 303–338.
53. Suryanarayanan, T. S. and Hawksworth, D. L., Fungi from little-explored and extreme habitats. In *Bio-Diversity of Fungi: Their*

- Role in Human Life* (eds Deshmukh, S. K. and Rai, M. K.), Oxford & IBH Publishing, 2005, pp. 33–48.
54. Liu, T. *et al.*, Two new amides from a halotolerant fungus, *Myrothecium* sp. GS-17. *J. Antibiot.*, 2015, **68**, 267–270.
 55. Lu, X.-L. *et al.*, Pimarane diterpenes from the arctic fungus *Eutypella* sp. D-1. *J. Antibiot.*, 2014, **67**, 171–174.
 56. Chávez, R., Fierro, F., García-Rico, R. O. and Vaca, I., Filamentous fungi from extreme environments as a promising source of novel bioactive secondary metabolites. *Front. Microbiol.*, 2015, **6**, 903.
 57. Blackwell, M., Made for each other: ascomycete yeasts and insects. In *The Fungal Kingdom* (eds Heitman, J. *et al.*), ASM Press, Washington, USA, 2017, pp. 945–962.
 58. Bao, J. *et al.*, Antifouling and antibacterial polyketides from marine gorgonian coral-associated fungus *Penicillium* sp. SCSGAF 0023. *J. Antibiot.*, 2013, **66**, 219–223.
 59. He, H., Bigelis, R., Yang, Y. H., Chang, L.-P. and Singh, M. P., Lichenicolins A and B, new bisnaphthopyrones from an unidentified lichenicolous fungus, strain LL-RB0668. *J. Antibiot.*, 2005, **58**, 731–736.
 60. Suryanarayanan, T. S., Govindarajulu, M. B., Rajamani, T., Tripathi, M. and Joshi, Y., Endolichenic fungi in lichens of Champawat district, Uttarakhand, northern India. *Mycol. Prog.*, 2017, **16**, 205–211.
 61. Chepkirui, C. and Stadler, M., The genus *Diaporthe*: a rich source of diverse and bioactive metabolites. *Mycol. Prog.*, 2017, **16**, 477–494.
 62. Andersen, M. R. *et al.*, Accurate prediction of secondary metabolite gene clusters in filamentous fungi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2013, **110**, E99–E107.
 63. Hemphill, C. F. P., Sureechatchaiyan, P., Kassack, M. U., Orfali, R. S., Lin, W., Georgios Daletos, G. and Proksch, P., OSMAC approach leads to new fusarielin metabolites from *Fusarium tricinctum*. *J. Antibiot.*, 2017, **70**, 726–732.
 64. Brakhage, A. A. and Schroekh, V., Fungal secondary metabolites. Strategies to activate silent gene clusters. *Fungal Genet. Biol.*, 2011, **48**, 15–22.
 65. Connolly, L. R., Smith, K. M. and Freitag, M., The *Fusarium graminearum* histone H3 K27 methyltransferase KMT6 regulates development and expression of secondary metabolite gene clusters. *PLoS Genet.*, 2013, **9**, e1003916.
 66. Smith, K. M., Gautschi, J. T. and Freitag, M., Decoding the cryptic genomes of fungi: the promise of novel antibiotics. *Future Microbiol.*, 2014, **9**, 265–268.
 67. Thaker, M. N. and Wright, G. W., Opportunities for synthetic biology in antibiotics: expanding glycopeptide chemical diversity. *ACS Synth. Biol.*, 2015, **20**, 195–206.
 68. Bills, G. F. *et al.*, Enhancement of antibiotic and secondary metabolite detection from filamentous fungi by growth on nutritional arrays. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2008, **104**, 1644–1658.
 69. Scherlach, K. and Hertweck, C., Discovery of aspoquinolones A–D, prenylated quinoline-2-one alkaloids from *Aspergillus nidulans*, motivated by genome mining. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, **4**, 3517–3520.
 70. Stierle, A. A. *et al.*, The berkeleylactones, antibiotic macrolides from fungal coculture. *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2017, **80**, 1150–1160.
 71. Nielsen, J. C. *et al.*, Global analysis of biosynthetic gene clusters reveals vast potential of secondary metabolite production in *Penicillium* species. *Nature Microbiol.*, 2017, **2**, article number: 17044.
- ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. T.S.S. thanks Prof. Dr Marc Stadler, Department of Microbial Drugs, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany that helped improve the manuscript.
- Received 5 January 2018; revised accepted 17 September 2018
- doi: